## In the search for Supersymmetry

#### María E. Cabrera Catalán

GRAPPA Institute University of Amsterdam

June 6, 2013

Work in collaboration with: C. Arina

G. Bertone

A. Casas

R. Ruiz de Austri

B. Zaldivar

## Outline



2 Supersymmetry Searches

## Introduction

• The discovery of a SM-like Higgs boson

$$m_h = 126.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.4 \text{ (sys) GeV} \text{ (ATLAS)}$$

 $m_h = 125.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.4 \text{ (sys) GeV} \text{ (CMS)}$ 

- LHC bounds on supersymmetric particles.
- XENON100 constraints on fermionic Dark Matter
- The health of Supersymmetry

## Implication of a higgs of 125GeV

The higgs mass,

$$m_h^2 \simeq M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta + \frac{3m_t^4}{2\pi^2 v^2} \left[ \log \frac{M_{\rm SUSY}^2}{m_t^2} + \frac{X_t^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2} \left( 1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12M_{\rm SUSY}^2} \right) \right]$$

- $M_{
  m SUSY}$  : Geometrical average of stop masses
- *X<sub>t</sub>* : Mixing parameter



## Implication of a higgs of 125GeV



A higgs mass of 126 are not very good news for MSSM.

## The health of Supersymmetry

#### Naturalness and Supersymmetry

# From the minimization of the tree-level form of the scalar potential

$$M_Z^2 = 2 \; rac{m_{H_d}^2 - m_{H_u}^2 an^2 eta}{ an^2 eta - 1} - 2 \mu_{low}^2$$

#### Barbieri-Giudice fine-tuning parameters

$$c_i = \left| \frac{\partial \ln M_Z^2}{\partial \ln p_i} \right|,$$

The global measure of the fine-tuning is taken as  $c\equiv \max\{c_i\}$  or  $c\equiv \sqrt{\sum c_i^2}.$ 

## Naturalness and Supersymmetry

Since naturalness arguments are deep down statistical arguments,

$$\mathcal{L} = N_Z e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_Z - M_Z^{\exp}}{\sigma_Z}\right)^2} \mathcal{L}_{\text{rest}} \simeq \delta(M_Z - M_Z^{\exp}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{rest}}$$

Use  $M_Z$  to marginalize  $\mu$ 

$$p(s, m, M, A, B| \text{ data}) \sim \left[\frac{d\mu}{dM_Z}\right]_{\mu_0} \mathcal{L}_{\text{rest}} p(s, m, M, A, B, \mu_0)$$

then,

$$p(s, m, M, A, B| \text{ data}) = 2 \frac{\mu_0}{M_Z} \frac{1}{c_\mu} \mathcal{L}_{\text{rest}} p(s, m, M, A, B, \mu_0)$$

An effective penalization of fine-tunings arises from the Bayesian analysis itself.

## Constrained SUSY scenarios

#### CMSSM

$$\{\theta_i\} = \{m_0, m_{1/2}, A, B, \mu, s\} ,$$

#### NUHM

$$\{\theta_i\} = \{m_0, m_H, m_{1/2}, A, B, \mu, s\} .$$

 $m_0$ ,  $m_H$ , M, A and B: soft parameters  $\mu$ : Higgs mass term in the superpotential, s: SM-like parameters.



$$v^2 = 2(v_u^2 + v_d^2)$$

where 
$$\tan \beta \equiv v_u / v_c$$

Neutralinos  $\tilde{B}$ ,  $\tilde{W}$ ,  $\tilde{H}_u$ ,  $\tilde{H}_d$   $\downarrow$  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_3^0$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}_4^0$ 



## Supersymmetry: The CMSSM

#### Single-component CDM



The contours enclose the 68% (yellow) and 95% (blue) of integrated probability.

SuperBayeS: SoftSusy, FeynHiggs, SuperIso, SusyBSG, MicrOMEGAs, DarkSusy

## Comparison with previous literature

Balazs et al [1205.1568], Fowlie et al. [1206.0264], Akula et al. [1207.1839], Buchmueller et al. [1207.7315], Strege et al [1212.2636]



The contours enclose the 68% (yellow), 95% (blue) and 99.9% (black) of integrated probability.

## Supersymmetry: The CMSSM

#### Multi-component CDM



Where  $\xi \equiv \Omega_{LSP} / \Omega_{DM}$ .

## Supersymmetry: The MSSM

In constrained scenarios:

 $m_h = 125 \text{ GeV} \longrightarrow \text{large sfermion masses}$ 

XENON100 bounds  $\rightarrow$  excludes higgsino-bino LSP

The neutralino is essentially a **Higgsino**, with a mass of  $\sim 1$  **TeV**.

To which extent the problems of the CMSSM remains in the MSSM?

#### Breaking universality

- Light third generation and maximal mixing
- Compressed spectrum

## Supersymmetry: The MSSM

#### Regarding CDM:

(a) Almost purely higgsino ( $\simeq 1$ TeV) or wino ( $\simeq 2.5$ TeV) like  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 

- (b) Bino/Wino  ${ ilde \chi}_1^0$  ( $M_1\simeq M_2$ )
- (c) Higgs funnel region

(d) Coannihilation with light sleptons (sfermions)





(a), (b) and (c) appear when breaking gaugino masses unification at GUT scale

## Supersymmetry: NUGM + NUHM



#### Single-component CDM

- \* Prior independent
- \*  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \simeq 1$  TeV (higgsino)
- \*  ${ ilde \chi}_1^{ extsf{0}}\simeq$  2.5 TeV (Wino)

\* 
$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \simeq m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$$

Multi-component CDM



- \* Prior dependence
- \*  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  higgsino-like
- \*  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  wino-like

\* 
$$m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0} \simeq m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$$

#### Work in progress...

## Supersymmetry: NUGM + NUHM

"Low energy" Supersymmetry: the region accessible to LHC

Imposing the conditions:

Observable	Condition
m <sub>q̃</sub>	$\leq$ 3 TeV
m <sub>ĝ</sub>	$\leq$ 3 TeV
$m_{\tilde{t}_1}$	$\leq 1.5$ TeV
$m_{\chi^0_{2(3)}}$	$\leq$ 1.0 TeV

Prior dependence, but

- \* split susy like scenarios
- \* It is easier to get light neutralinos and charginos rather that light gluinos and stops
- \* Neutralino-chargino production !



## "Low energy" Supersymmetry

#### **Collider signatures: Neutralinos and Charginos**

- Long live  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  (wino-like)
- $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$   $(\tilde{\chi}_2^0)$  soft decay

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{If } \tilde{\chi}^0_1 \mbox{ wino-like } & \rightarrow & m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1} \simeq m_{\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1} \\ \mbox{If } \tilde{\chi}^0_1 \mbox{ higgsino-like } & \rightarrow & m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1} \simeq m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} \simeq m_{\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1} \end{array}$ 

Effectively,  ${ ilde \chi}^\pm_1$   $({ ilde \chi}^0_2)$  are invisible particles

- Production:
  - \*  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \ \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$  will produce "invisible" final state (monojets ?)
  - \* One heavy  $(\tilde{\chi}^0_{2,3},\,\tilde{\chi}^\pm_2)$  and one light  $(\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1,\,\tilde{\chi}^0_{1(2)})$  state.
  - \* Production of heavy states  ${ ilde \chi}^0_{2(3)}$   ${ ilde \chi}^\pm_2$  : three leptons plus missing energy.

Work in progress...

## "Low energy" Supersymmetry

#### Multijet final states

# $\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{Gluinos} \\ \tilde{g} & \rightarrow & t \ \bar{t} \ \tilde{\chi}_{1(2)}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} & \rightarrow & t \ \bar{b} \ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \\ \tilde{g} & \rightarrow & q \ \bar{q} \ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} & \rightarrow & q' \ \bar{q} \ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{Light stop} \\ \tilde{t}_1 & \to & t \; \tilde{\chi}^0_{1(2)} \\ \tilde{t}_1 & \to & b \; \tilde{\chi}^+_1 \end{array}$ 

#### What about light sleptons?

Efficient CDM annihilation channel:  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  with light sleptons

Neutralinos and charginos will decay throw sleptons.

$$p \ p \to \chi_i^0 \ \chi_j^{\pm}, \quad \chi_i^0 \ \to l^{\tilde{\pm}} \ l^{\mp} \ \to \ l^{\pm} \ l^{\mp} \ \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \quad \chi_j^{\pm} \ \to l^{\tilde{\pm}} \ \nu, \to \ l^{\pm} \ \nu \ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$

Three lepton + missing momentum final state

#### Going beyond: The PMSSM

## Outline





## Supersymmetry searches

- LHC is working with impressive performance
- CMSSM was a starting point to optimize SUSY searches.
- Are the CMSSM strategies optimal to the MSSM searches?
- The PMSSM and Simplified models

## Supersymmetry searches: The CMSSM

#### The golden channel: multijets, 0 leptons and missing energy



## Supersymmetry searches: The PMSSM

Boundary conditions at EW breaking scale

Gauginos Squarks 1st, 2nd  $m_{\tilde{u}_L} = m_{\tilde{d}_I}, m_{\tilde{u}_R}, m_{\tilde{d}_R}$ Squarks 3rd Sleptons 1st, 2nd  $m_{\tilde{e}_l} = m_{\tilde{\nu}_l}$ ,  $m_{\tilde{e}_R}$ Sleptons 3rd Higgs masses  $\mu$  parameter Higgs vev's ratio Trilinears parameters  $A_t, A_b, A_{\tau}$ 

 $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$  $m_{\tilde{t}_l} = m_{\tilde{b}_l}, m_{\tilde{t}_R}, m_{\tilde{b}_R}$  $m_{\tilde{\tau}_{I}}, m_{\tilde{\tau}_{P}}$  $m_{H_u}, m_{H_d}$ sign of  $\mu$ tan β

Many possible hierarchy masses:

- \* Compressed spectrum
- \* Light stops and sbottoms
- \* Light sleptons.
- Different hierarchy between sleptons and squarks.

## Supersymmetry searches: Simplified Models

#### How to test the PMSSM?

## Focusing on specific final state and picking the relevant parameter to build a **simplified model**



- A simple way of testing MSSM and beyond!
- Assumptions are needed to make the model simple
- A challenge: to capture all the possibilities.

## Supersymmetry searches: Colored particles

#### **Gluinos and squarks**

#### Multijets, 0 leptons and missing energy



- The most important channel for 1st and 2nd generation of squarks Unless: higgssino-lsp and light sleptons,...
- Third generation: stops and sbottoms

$$\begin{array}{cccc} { ilde t} & 
ightarrow & t \; { ilde \chi}^0_i \ { ilde t} & 
ightarrow & b \; { ilde \chi}^\pm_i \ { ilde b} & 
ightarrow & b \; { ilde \chi}^0_i \ { ilde b} & 
ightarrow & b \; { ilde \chi}^0_i \end{array}$$

## Supersymmetry searches: Colored particles

Extracting information from multijets final states:

- Large systematic uncertainties
- Final parton  $\neq$  Identified jet

### The Effective Mass $(M_{\rm eff})$

$$M_{
m eff} = \sum_{i}^{
m jets} |p_T^i| + p_T$$

$$M_{\rm SUSY} = \min \{m_{\tilde{u}_R}, m_{\tilde{g}}\}$$

Good correlation between  $M_{
m eff}\simeq 1.8M_{
m susy}$ 



## Supersymmetry searches: Colored particles

$$\mathcal{E}_{T}^{\text{eff}} \quad \text{[Cabrera et al 2012]}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{T}^{\text{eff}} = E_{T}^{J} + 2\not{p}_{T}^{2} \quad \text{where} \quad (E_{T}^{J})^{2} = \sum_{i}^{\text{jets}} (E_{T}^{i})^{2} - (P_{Z}^{i})^{2} \bigvee_{p_{2}}^{p_{1}} \bigvee_{q_{1}}^{p_{1}} \bigvee_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}} \bigvee_{q_{1}}^{p_{2}} \bigvee_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}} \bigvee_{q_{2}}^{p_{2}}$$

#### Improving $M_{\rm eff}$



See also  $\sqrt{\hat{s}}_{\min}$ . Matchev et al arXiv:0812.1042 [hep-ph]

#### **Charginos and Neutralinos**





Regarding the 3 leptons  $+ p_T$  simplified model:

• Even when exclusion limits assume a specific relation between  $m_{\tilde{1}}$  and  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ , the analysis is optimized for the two configurations

Is this the "golden" channel for neutralino-chargino production?

- \* If  $\chi_1^0$  is Bino like, YES
- \* What about higgsino or wino  $\chi_1^0$ ?
  - 3 leptons +  $\not\!\!\!/_{T}$  corresponds to the production of heavy states:  $\chi^{\pm}_{2},~\chi^{0}_{2(3)}$
  - $\chi^0_{1(2)}, \ \chi^\pm_1$  soft decays.
  - $p \ p > \chi_i^0 \ \chi_j^\pm, \ \chi_i^0 \ \chi_j^0, \ \chi_i^\pm \ \chi_j^\pm$  become relevant

The nature of the lsp plays a very important roll.

#### **Kinematics**

- Leptons are "clean" final states:
- \* Precise energy and momentum measurements,
- \* Ability to distinguish between flavor.
- \* Allows to separate decay chains.

The case of 
$$\ ilde{\chi}^0_2 \ o \ ilde{l}^\pm \ l^\mp \ o \ l^\pm \ l^\mp \ ilde{\chi}^0_1$$

$$M_{II}^{edge} = m_{ ilde{\chi}_2^0}^2 \sqrt{1 - rac{m_{ ilde{l}}^2}{m_{ ilde{\chi}_2^0}^2}} \sqrt{1 - rac{m_{ ilde{l}_1}^2}{m_{ ilde{l}_1}^2}}$$



The case of  $\ {\tilde \chi}^0_2 \ 
ightarrow \ Z \ {\tilde \chi}^0_1 \ 
ightarrow \ l^\pm \ l^\mp \ {\tilde \chi}^0_1$ 

#### A complementarity strategy

Whatever the  $\chi^0_2$  is decaying through, in the rest-frame of  $\chi^0_2,$  the transverse energy

$$\mathcal{E}_T = E_T^v + E_T^\chi$$

with

$$(E_T^v)^2 = M_v^2 + (p_T^v)^2$$
 ,  $(E_T^\chi)^2 = M_\chi^2 + (p_T^\chi)^2$ 

This translate into a pole in the visible transverse energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}}|_{\mathrm{pole}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{CM}\chi} = \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\chi}_2}$$

which can be rewritten as,

$$E_T^{v} = rac{m_{\widetilde{\chi}_2^0}^2 + M_v^2 - M_{\chi_1^0}^2}{2M_{\chi_2^0}}$$

## Supersymmetry searches: $E_T^{\nu}$





 $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow j j \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 



## Supersymmetry searches: $E_T^{v}$

#### An Example

$$M_1 = 47 \,\,{
m GeV} \,\,, \quad M_2 = 244 \,\,{
m GeV} \,\,, \mu = -515 \,\,{
m GeV} \,\,, aneta = 19$$

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1} = 53~{
m GeV}~, \quad m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} = 273~{
m GeV}~, \quad m_{\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1} = 273~{
m GeV}$$



## Supersymmetry searches: Beyond the MSSM

- MSSM + seesaw
  - $ilde{
    u}$  LSP  $\longrightarrow$  many leptons at final state



[On going project]

- NMSSM
- R parity violation

## Conclusions

- SUSY (MSSM) is in trouble but still alive and attractive.
- An attractive possibility: light charginos and neutralinos.
- New challenges to optimize the LHC discovery potential.
- MSSM-like models can be consistent with DM and provide testable signals at LHC and direct-detection experiments.
- An alternative/complementary experimental strategy to identify/interpret the signal of  $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$   $\tilde{\chi}^{0}$ .