



Spectral vs periodic orbit correlations

joint work with: Alexander Altland (Cologne), Petr Braun & Fritz Haake (Duisburg-Essen), Stefan Heusler (Münster), Jon Keating (Bristol)

EMS-IAMP Summer school on Quantum Chaos





Orbit correlations

Orbit correlations

Example (Sieber & Richter 2001):



Realistic picture:





Example (Sieber & Richter 2001):



encounters

= regions where parts of an orbit come close to each other (up to time reversal)

- can switch connections to get different (but very similar) orbits
- present example requires time reversal invariance

Underlying mechanism

Phase space directions in hyperbolic systems:

• stable direction:

deviations shrink asymptotically like $e^{-\lambda t}$ (λ =Lyapunov exponent)

- unstable direction:

deviations grow for $t \to \infty$ and shrink for $t \to -\infty$ like $e^{\lambda t}$ \Rightarrow sensitive dependence on initial conditions

Construction of partner orbit:



Underlying mechanism

Phase space directions in hyperbolic systems:

• stable direction:

deviations shrink asymptotically like $e^{-\lambda t}$ (λ =Lyapunov exponent)

• unstable direction:

deviations grow for $t \to \infty$ and shrink for $t \to -\infty$ like $e^{\lambda t}$ \Rightarrow sensitive dependence on initial conditions

Construction of partner orbit:



Underlying mechanism

Phase space directions in hyperbolic systems:

• stable direction:

deviations shrink asymptotically like $e^{-\lambda t}$ (λ =Lyapunov exponent)

• unstable direction:

deviations grow for $t \to \infty$ and shrink for $t \to -\infty$ like $e^{\lambda t}$ \Rightarrow sensitive dependence on initial conditions

Construction of partner orbit:



deviation (mostly) along stable direction

deviation (mostly) along unstable direction

Generalisation

 orbits can differ in arbitrarily many encounters where arbitrarily many stretches come close



 for time reversal invariant systems: stretches may be almost mutually time reversed



Periodic orbits in chaotic systems come in bunches.

Spectral correlations

2-point correlation function $R_2(x)$: how many pairs of levels with distance *x*?

here: spectral form factor= Fourier transform of $R_2(x) - 1$

$$d(E) = \sum_{j} \delta(E - E_{j}) = \bar{d}(E) + d_{\rm osc}(E)$$

$$K(\tau) = \frac{1}{\bar{d}^{2}} \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_{\rm osc} \left(E + \frac{x}{2\bar{d}} \right) d_{\rm osc} \left(E - \frac{x}{2\bar{d}} \right) e^{2\pi i x \tau} dx \right\rangle$$

Predictions from Random Matrix Theory

on symmetries: H Hermitian, Gaussian Unitary Ensemble



$$\mathcal{K}(au) = egin{cases} au & (au < 1) \ 1 & (au > 1) \end{cases}$$

 systems with time reversal invariance: *H* real symmetric, Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble



 $\tau >$ 1 terms connected to oscillatory terms in

$$R_2(x) = \operatorname{Re}\sum_n (c_n + d_n e^{2\pi i x}) \frac{1}{x^n}$$

Predictions from Random Matrix Theory

• no symmetries: H Hermitian, Gaussian Unitary Ensemble



$$K(au) = egin{cases} au & (au < 1) \ 1 & (au > 1) \end{cases}$$

 systems with time reversal invariance: *H* real symmetric, Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble



Bohigas, Giannoni, Schmit: Spectral statistics of individual (generic) chaotic systems are faithful to these predictions for large energies.

Why?

Semiclassical approach

Weyl term

average level density approximated by

$$ar{d}(E) \sim rac{\Omega(E)}{(2\pi\hbar)^f}$$

$$\Omega(E)$$
 = volume of energy shell

$$f = #$$
degrees of freedom (e.g. 2)

Gutzwiller trace formula

$$d_{
m osc}(E) \sim rac{1}{\pi \hbar} \; \operatorname{Re} \sum_{
m periodic \; orbits \; \gamma} A_{\gamma} e^{i S_{\gamma} / \hbar}$$

here:

- S_{γ} = classical action
- A_{γ} = stability amplitude

(incorporates factor $e^{-i\mu_{\gamma}rac{\pi}{2}},\mu_{\gamma}\in\mathbb{N}$)

Valid if actions $\gg \hbar$, i.e., for large energies.

Spectral form factor

Spectral form factor

$$\mathcal{K}(au) \sim rac{1}{T_H} \sum_{\gamma,\gamma'} \left\langle \mathcal{A}_{\gamma} \mathcal{A}^*_{\gamma'} e^{i(\mathcal{S}_{\gamma} - \mathcal{S}_{\gamma'})/\hbar} \delta\left(au T_H - rac{T_{\gamma} + T_{\gamma}'}{2}
ight)
ight
angle$$

relevant periods of order

Heisenberg time
$$T_H = 2\pi \hbar \bar{d} \sim rac{\Omega}{2\pi\hbar}
ightarrow \infty$$

Need pairs of orbits with small action difference!

Diagonal approximation

(Berry, Hannay/Ozorio de Almeida)

• for systems without time reversal invariance: take $\gamma' = \gamma$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{diag}}(\tau) = rac{1}{T_H} \left\langle \sum_{\gamma} |\mathbf{A}_{\gamma}|^2 \delta(\tau T_H - T_{\gamma})
ight
angle \sim au$$

sum over orbits evaluated using ergodicity

• time reversal invariant systems: $\gamma' = \gamma$ or time reversed of γ

$$K_{\text{diag}}(\tau) = 2\tau$$

Sieber/Richter pairs



Decompose separation between encounter stretches into unstable component u and stable component s. These determine:

action difference

 $S_{\gamma} - S_{\gamma'} \sim us$

• duration of the encounter (defined by |s|, |u| < c)

$$t_{
m enc}(u,s) \sim rac{1}{\lambda} \ln rac{c}{|u|} + rac{1}{\lambda} \ln rac{c}{|s|} = rac{1}{\lambda} \ln rac{c^2}{|us|}$$

relevant encounters of order

$$T_{\mathrm{Ehrenfest}} = rac{1}{\lambda} \ln rac{c^2}{\hbar} \ll T_{\mathrm{Heisenberg}} = rac{\Omega}{2\pi\hbar}$$

(Spehner 2003; Turek/Richter 2003; Heusler, S.M., Braun, Haake 2003)

Sieber/Richter pairs

• probability of encounters with given separations

$$w_T(u,s) \sim rac{T(T-2t_{
m enc}(u,s))}{\Omega t_{
m enc}(u,s)}$$

determined using

- ergodicity
- orbits must leave encounter before reentering



 \Rightarrow Contribution to form factor

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{SR}}(au) \sim \left\langle \sum_{\gamma} |\mathcal{A}_{\gamma}|^{2} \delta(au T_{H} - T_{\gamma}) \int du \int ds \; w_{ au T_{H}}(u, s) e^{ius/\hbar}
ight
angle = -2 au^{2}$$

agrees with GOE ③



 \Rightarrow contributions cancel, agreement with GUE

• additional pairs requiring time reversal invariance



 $\Rightarrow 2\tau^3$, agreement with GOE

(Heusler, S.M., Braun, Haake 2003)

 τ^3

All orders in τ

need arbitrarily many encounters with arbitrarily many stretches



contribution of each "diagram" proportional to

 $_{ au}$ #stretches-#encounters+1

sum over infinitely many diagrams!

Result:

 $\mathcal{K}(\tau) = egin{cases} au & ext{without reversal invariance} \ 2 au - au \ln(1+2 au) & ext{with reversal invariance} \end{cases}$

(S.M., Heusler, Braun, Haake, Altland, PRL 2004 + PRE 2005)

$\tau > 1$

Need improved semiclassical approximation (Berry, Keating 1990)

$$d(E) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial E'} \frac{\det(E - H)}{\det(E' - H)} \Big|_{E' = E}$$

$$\det(E - H) = \frac{e^{-i\pi \overline{d}E}}{E} \times \sum_{\Gamma} A_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{iS_{\Gamma}(E)/\hbar}}{E' = E} + \text{c.c.}$$

sum over sets of classical periodic
orbits shorter than $T_{H}/2$

This incorporates more QM (det $(E - H) \in \mathbb{R}$). Now orbits may decompose:



\Rightarrow Full agreement with random matrix theory

Heusler, S.M., Altland, Braun, Haake, PRL 06; Keating, S.M., Proc. R. Soc. 07; S.M., Heusler, Altland, Braun, Haake, NJP 09

Conclusions

- periodic orbits of chaotic systems come in bunches
- bunching explains universal spectral statistics
- for $\tau > 1$ need improved semiclassical approximation
- examples for further applications:
 - symmetries: geometric, many particle, arithmetic
 - mesoscopic quantum transport

see also S.M. & Martin Sieber, Quantum Chaos and Quantum Graphs, The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory (2011)

Appendix

sum over infinitely many diagrams!

- describe diagrams by permutations, derive recursion between coefficients in *K*(τ)
- similarity to Feynman diagrams:





establish 1-to-1 relation to diagrams in RMT

- existence of bunches requires hyperbolicity
- universal contribution obtained using
 - ergodicity, mixing
 - semiclassical limit
- no other orbit correlations