

Strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and AdS/CFT

Arkady Tseytlin

- Review
- Spinning string in AdS_5
- Recent work: large spin and small spin limits
long and short strings/operators
- Structure of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring

AdS/CFT

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at $N = \infty$

dual to type IIB superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

$\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ related to string tension

$$2\pi T = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\lambda}$$

$$g_s = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi N} \rightarrow 0$$

String theory: beyond BPS states and

“supergravity + classical probes” approximation

Problems:

- spectrum of states (exact energies in λ)
- construction of vertex operators (closed and open string ones)
- computation of their correlation functions (graviton scattering, application to DIS in QCD ?)
- expectation values of various Wilson loops
- gluon scattering amplitudes
- generalizations to simplest less supersymmetric cases
 - orbifolds, exactly marginal deformations, ...
- strings at finite temperature in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ (without black hole and with it ...)
- solution of type 0 theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$...
- non-critical superstrings: $AdS_5 \times S^1$, ...

$AdS_5 \times S^5$

Recent remarkable progress in quantitative understanding
interpolation from weak to strong 't Hooft coupling
based on/checked by perturbative gauge theory (4-loop in λ)
and perturbative string theory (2-loop in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$) “data”
and assumption of exact integrability
string energies = dimensions of gauge-invariant operators

$$E(\sqrt{\lambda}, J, m, \dots) = \Delta(\lambda, J, m, \dots)$$

J - charges of $SO(2, 4) \times SO(6)$: S_1, S_2 ; J_1, J_2, J_3

m - windings, folds, cusps, oscillation numbers, ...

Operators: $\text{Tr}(\Phi_1^{J_1} \Phi_2^{J_2} \Phi_3^{J_3} D_+^{S_1} D_-^{S_2} \dots F_{mn} \dots \Psi \dots)$

Solve susy 4-d CFT = Solve string in R-R background:

compute $E = \Delta$ for **any** λ (and J, m)

Perturbative expansions are **opposite**:

$\lambda \gg 1$ in perturbative string theory

$\lambda \ll 1$ in perturbative planar gauge theory

use perturbative results on both sides

and other properties (integrability, susy,...)

to come up with an exact answer – Bethe ansatz

Last 6 years: remarkable progress:

“semiclassical” string states with large quantum numbers

dual to “long” gauge operators (BMN, GKP, ...)

$E = \Delta$ – same dependence on J, m, \dots

coefficients = **interpolating functions** of λ

SYM: dilatation operator that determines Δ

is same as an integrable spin chain Hamiltonian

integrability at both perturbative gauge ($\lambda \ll 1$)

and string ($\lambda \gg 1$) sides

suggests Bethe ansatz for the spectrum at any λ

But only asymptotic BA known:
restriction to very long operators –
strings with large quantum numbers (e.g. fast-moving, large spin)
Dimensions of “short” operators –
energies of small/slow quantum strings?

Problems:

1. Solve string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ on an infinite line
→ determine the magnon (BMN excitation) scattering S-matrix
→ derive BA for the spectrum with BHL/BES phase
2. Generalize to finite-energy closed strings on S^1 :
→ TBA as for standard sigma models ?

Importance of better understanding the structure
of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS string theory

String Theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

bosonic coset $\frac{SO(2,4)}{SO(1,4)} \times \frac{SO(6)}{SO(5)}$

generalized to supercoset $\frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(1,4) \times SO(5)}$ (Metsaev, AT 98)

$$S = T \int d^2\sigma \left[G_{mn}(x) \partial x^m \partial x^n + \bar{\theta} (D + F_5) \theta \partial x \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\theta} \theta \bar{\theta} \theta \partial x \partial x + \dots \right]$$

tension $T = \frac{R^2}{2\pi\alpha'} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}$

Conformal invariance: $\beta_{mn} = R_{mn} - (F_5)_{mn}^2 = 0$

Classical integrability of coset σ -model (Luscher-Pohlmeyer 76)

also for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring (Bena, Polchinski, Roiban 02)

Progress in understanding of implications of (semi)classical integrability (Kazakov, Marshakov, Minahan, Zarembo 04; Beisert et al 05; Dorey, Vicedo 06,...)

Computation of 1-loop **quantum** superstring corrections to classical string energies (Frolov, AT 02-4, ...)
results were used as input for 1-loop term
in strong-coupling expansion of the phase θ in BA
(Beisert, AT05; Hernandez, Lopez 06)

Tree-level S-matrix of BMN states from $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS string agrees with limit of elementary magnon S-matrix
(Klose, McLoughlin, Roiban, Zarembo 06)

Semiclassical S-matrix in different limits:
string solitons on an infinite line – Giant magnons
(Hofman, Maldacena 06; Dorey 06, ...)

Last year:

2-loop string corrections (Roiban, Tirziu, AT; Roiban, AT 07)

2-loop check of finiteness of the GS superstring;

agreement with BA

– implicit check of integrability of quantum string theory

– non-trivial confirmation of BES exact phase in BA

– comparison to strong-coupling expansion

of BES equation (Basso, Korchemsky, Kotansky 07)

should extend to higher loop level

Key example of weak-strong coupling interpolation:

Spinning string in AdS_5

Folded spinning string in flat space:

$$X_1 = \epsilon \sin \sigma \cos \tau, \quad X_2 = \epsilon \sin \sigma \sin \tau$$

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2$$

$$t = \epsilon\tau, \quad \rho = \epsilon \sin \sigma, \quad \phi = \tau$$

If tension $T = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}$

energy $E = \epsilon\sqrt{\lambda}$ and spin $S = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\sqrt{\lambda}$ satisfy Regge relation:

$$\mathcal{E} = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2S}$$

Analogous solution in AdS_5 :

(de Vega, Egusquiza 96; Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov 02)

$$ds^2 = -\cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2$$

in conformal gauge

$$t = \kappa\tau, \quad \phi = w\tau, \quad \rho = \rho(\sigma)$$

$$\rho'^2 = \kappa^2 \cosh^2 \rho - w^2 \sinh^2 \rho, \quad 0 < \rho < \rho_{\max}$$

$$\coth \rho_{\max} = \frac{w}{\kappa} \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}}$$

ϵ measures length of the string

$$\sinh \rho = \epsilon \operatorname{sn}(\kappa \epsilon^{-1} \sigma, -\epsilon^2)$$

periodicity in $0 \leq \sigma < 2\pi$

$$\kappa = \epsilon {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -\epsilon^2\right)$$

classical energy $E_0 = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_0$ and spin $S = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{S}$

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \epsilon {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -\epsilon^2\right), \quad \mathcal{S} = \frac{\epsilon^2 \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2}}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; -\epsilon^2\right)$$

solve for ϵ as in flat space – get analog of Regge relation

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{S}), \quad E_0 = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_0\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)$$

Flat space – AdS interpolation:

$$\mathcal{E}_0 \sim \sqrt{\mathcal{S}} \text{ at } \mathcal{S} \ll 1, \quad \mathcal{E}_0 \sim \mathcal{S} \text{ at } \mathcal{S} \gg 1$$

Novel AdS “Long string” limit: $\epsilon \gg 1$, i.e. $\mathcal{S} \gg 1$

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{S} + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \mathcal{S} + \dots$$

$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \infty$: ends of string reach the boundary ($\rho = \infty$)

$E = S$ from massless end points at AdS boundary (null geodesic)

$E - S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \ln S$ from tension/stretching of the string

[Open string connection: Wilson loop interpretation (Kruczenski)

Same world surface as for open string ending on null cusp

at boundary of Poincare patch (Kruczenski, Roiban, Tirziu, AT)]

$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \infty$: solution drastically simplifies

$$t = \kappa\tau, \quad \phi \approx \kappa\tau, \quad \rho \approx \kappa\sigma, \quad \kappa \sim \epsilon \sim \ln \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \infty$$

string length is infinite, $R \times R$ effective world sheet

Can now compute quantum superstring corrections to E
remarkably, they respect the $S + \ln S$ structure:

string solution is homogeneous \rightarrow const coeffs

in fluctuation Lagrangian, $\kappa \sim \ln S \rightarrow \infty$ is “volume”

Semiclassical string theory limit

$$1. \lambda \gg 1, \quad S = \frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \text{fixed}, \quad 2. S \gg 1$$

$$E = S + f(\lambda) \ln S + \dots,$$

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \left[1 + \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{a_2}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} + \dots \right]$$

a_n –Feynmann graphs of **2d CFT** – $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring

$a_1 = -3 \ln 2$: Frolov, AT 02

$a_2 = -K$: Roiban, AT 07

$K = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} = 0.915$ from 2-loop σ -model integrals

Gauge theory: dual operators – minimal twist ones

$$\text{Tr}(\Phi D_+^S \Phi), \quad \Delta - S - 2 = O(\lambda)$$

Remarkably, same $\ln S$ asymptotics of anomalous dimensions on gauge theory side [symmetry argument: Alday, Maldacena]

Perturbative gauge theory limit:

$$1. \lambda \ll 1, \quad S = \text{fixed}, \quad 2. S \gg 1$$

$$\Delta - S - 2 = f(\lambda) \ln S + \dots$$

$$f(\lambda \ll 1) = c_1 \lambda + c_2 \lambda^2 + c_3 \lambda^3 + c_4 \lambda^4 + \dots$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left[\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{48} + \frac{11\lambda^3}{2^8 \times 45} - \left(\frac{73}{630} + \frac{4(\zeta(3))^2}{\pi^6} \right) \frac{\lambda^4}{2^7} + \dots \right]$$

c_n are given by Feynmann graphs of **4d CFT** – N=4 SYM

c_3 : Kotikov, Lipatov, et al 03;

c_4 : Bern, Czakon, Dixon, Kosower, Smirnov 06;

Cachazo, Spradlin, Volovich

The two limits are formally different
but for leading $\ln S$ term that does not appear to matter \rightarrow
single $f(\lambda)$ provides smooth interpolation
from weak to strong coupling

remarkably, both expansions are reproduced from one
Beisert-Eden-Staudacher integral equation for $f(\lambda)$
[strong coupling expansion: numerical – Benna et al; Alday et al;
analytic – Basso, Korchemsky, Kotansky 07;
Kostov, Serban, Volin 08]
exact expression for $f(\lambda)$ from BES equation?

Generalization: add momentum J in S^5

related to $sl(2)$ sector of gauge theory: $\text{Tr}(D_+^S \Phi^J)$

string side: $\lambda \gg 1$, $S = \frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \gg 1$, $\mathcal{J} = \frac{J}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \gg 1$,

$\ell \equiv \frac{\mathcal{J}}{\ln S} = \text{fixed} \ll 1$

$$E = S + [f(\lambda) + h(\ell, \lambda)] \ln S + \dots$$

limit on gauge theory side: $\lambda \ll 1$, $S, J = \text{fixed}$,

then $S \gg 1$, $j = \frac{J}{\ln S} = \text{fixed} \ll 1$

[Frolov, AT 02; Beisert, Frolov, Staudacher, AT 03;

Belitsky, Gorsky, Korchemsky 06; Frolov, Tirziu, AT 06;

Alday, Maldacena 07; Roiban, AT 07;

Freyhult, Rej, Staudacher 07;

Gromov 08; Basso, Korchemsky 08; Fioravanti et al, ...]

structure of h as function of $\ell = \frac{j}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ or j

is different at weak and strong coupling:

string-theory and gauge-theory limits are different – need to resum

Connection to $O(6)$ sigma model (AM; BK)

Another extension: spiky strings vs higher twist operators
(Belitsky, Gorsky, Korchemsky 03; Kruczenski 04)

large S , large number of spikes limit:

all string near the boundary, pp-wave in AdS and at the boundary
ends of string move along null lines at the boundary:

Penrose limit $R \times S^3 \rightarrow$ 4d pp-wave

cusp anomaly as pp-wave anomaly (Kruczenski, AT 08)

$$P_+ = \frac{1}{4} f(\lambda) \ln P_-$$

Subleading terms in large \mathcal{S} expansion

(Park, AT 05, unpublished; Forini, AT, to appear)

string has large but finite length: does not reach boundary

no longer expect connection to open strings –

to gauge theory amplitudes/Wilson lines

Classical string solution gives:

$E_0 = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$: expand in large \mathcal{S}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{S} \gg 1) = & \mathcal{S} + c_0 \ln \mathcal{S} + c_1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}(c_2 \ln \mathcal{S} + c_3) \\ & + \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}^2}(c_4 \ln^2 \mathcal{S} + c_5 \ln \mathcal{S} + c_6) + O\left(\frac{\ln^3 \mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{S}^3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{\pi}, \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln(8\pi) - 1, \quad \dots$$

$$E(S \gg 1) = S + f_0 \ln(aS) + \frac{1}{S} [f_{11} \ln(aS) + f_{10}]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{S^2} [f_{22} \ln^2(aS) + f_{21} \ln(aS) + f_{20}] + O\left(\frac{\ln^3 S}{S^3}\right)$$

$$f_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}, \quad a = \frac{8\pi}{e\sqrt{\lambda}}, \quad f_{11} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2}, \quad f_{10} = 0,$$

$$f_{22} = -\frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{8\pi^3}, \quad f_{21} = \frac{5\lambda^{3/2}}{16\pi^3}, \quad f_{20} = \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{8\pi^3},$$

expect same structure including string loop corrections –
coefficients will have $\frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^n}$ corrections

→ new “interpolating functions” like $f(\lambda)$?

Surprisingly, large spin expansion on the gauge side
has same structure in the “gauge-theory” limit:

$\lambda \ll 1$, S =fixed and then $S \gg 1$

look at known anomalous dimensions of (scalar, vector, spinor)
operators with small $J = 2, 3$ twist

$\Delta(S)$ given in terms of harmonic sums (...Kotikov et al, ...)

$$\Delta - S - J = \gamma_1 \lambda + \gamma_2 \lambda^2 + \gamma_3 \lambda^3 + \dots$$

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} S_1$$

$$\gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{16\pi^4} [S_3 + S_{-3} - 2S_{-2,1} + 2S_1 (S_2 + S_{-2})]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3 = & -\frac{1}{64\pi^6} [2S_{-3}S_2 - S_5 - 2S_{-2}S_3 - 3S_{-5} + 24S_{-2,1,1,1} \\ & + 6(S_{-4,1} + S_{-3,2} + S_{-2,3}) - 12(S_{-3,1,1} + S_{-2,1,2} + S_{-2,2,1}) \\ & - (S_2 + 2S_1^2)(3S_{-3} + S_3 - 2S_{-2,1}) - S_1(8S_{-4} + S_{-2}^2) \\ & + 4S_2S_{-2} + 2S_2^2 + 3S_4 - 12S_{-3,1} - 10S_{-2,2} + 16S_{-2,1,1}] \end{aligned}$$

$$S_n(S) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^S \frac{1}{k^n}, \quad S_{n,m}(S) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^S \frac{1}{k^n} S_m(k), \dots$$

all harmonic sums evaluated at argument S

twist two operators in the same supermultiplet

$$\Delta_{scalar} = \Delta(S), \quad \Delta_{spinor} = \Delta(S + 1), \quad \Delta_{vector} = \Delta(S + 2)$$

expand at large S

$$\Delta - S - J = S + f_0 \ln(aS) + \frac{1}{S} [f_{11} \ln(aS) + f_{10}]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{S^2} [f_{22} \ln^2(aS) + f_{21} \ln(aS) + f_{20}] + O\left(\frac{\ln^3 S}{S^3}\right)$$

coeffs of $\frac{\ln^k S}{S^k}$ appear to be universal (in flavor and twist)

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left[\lambda - \frac{1}{48} \lambda^2 + \frac{11}{11520} \lambda^3 - \left(\frac{73}{1290240} + \frac{\zeta_3^2}{512\pi^6} \right) \lambda^4 \right]$$

$$f_{11} = \frac{1}{8\pi^4} \left[\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{24} \lambda^3 + \frac{3}{1280} \lambda^4 \right]$$

$$f_{22} = \frac{1}{64\pi^6} \left[-\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{16} \lambda^4 \right], \quad f_{33} = \frac{1}{384\pi^8} \lambda^4$$

same for twist 3 up to 4 loops in $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ sector (using Beccaria 07)

For twist two scalar operators $\text{Tr}(\Phi D_+^S \Phi)$

$$a = \frac{e^{\gamma_E}}{16\pi^2} \left[1 + 3\zeta_3 \lambda + \left(\frac{\zeta_3}{48} - \frac{5\zeta_5}{4\pi^2} \right) \lambda^2 - \left(\frac{7\zeta_3}{11520} + \frac{5\zeta_5}{384\pi^2} - \frac{175\zeta_7}{256\pi^4} \right) \lambda^3 \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{59\zeta_3}{430080} + \frac{3\zeta_3^3}{512\pi^6} + \frac{17\zeta_5}{18432\pi^2} + \frac{175\zeta_7}{12288\pi^4} \right) \lambda^4 \right]$$

$$f_{10} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[\lambda - \frac{1}{48} \lambda^2 + \frac{11}{11520} \lambda^3 + \left(-\frac{73}{1290240} - \frac{\zeta_3^2}{512\pi^6} \right) \lambda^4 \right]$$

$$f_{21} = \frac{1}{16\pi^4} \left[-\lambda^2 + \left(\frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \right) \lambda^3 - \left(\frac{1}{1920} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} - \frac{\zeta_3}{64\pi^4} \right) \lambda^4 \right]$$

$$f_{20} = \frac{1}{24\pi} \left[-\lambda + \left(\frac{1}{48} + \frac{9}{4\pi^2} \right) \lambda^2 - \left(\frac{11}{11520} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \right) \lambda^3 \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{73}{1290240} + \frac{17}{5120\pi^2} + \frac{\zeta_3^2}{512\pi^6} \right) \lambda^4 \right]$$

Connection to gauge theory amplitudes?

only for first two coefficients ?

$$E - S = f_0(\lambda) \ln S + b(\lambda) + O\left(\frac{\ln S}{S}\right), \quad b = f_0 \ln a$$

(Dixon, Magnea, Sterman 07)

sl(2) sector:

(S, J) states, new limit $S \gg 1, \mathcal{J} \gg 1$

above expansion applies if

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{\ln S} \ll \frac{\ln S}{S}$$

Expansion in $\frac{1}{S}$ cannot be convergent:

behavior at small S is different

generically $E(S, \lambda)$ non-trivial function of both arguments

nontrivial orders of limits; need resummation

Short string or small \mathcal{S} limit of folded spinning string (Tirziu, AT, to appear)

Spinning folded string at the center of AdS_5 :

slowly rotating string = short string \approx as in flat space

expansion in small $\mathcal{S} = \frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ – near flat space expansion

Motivation: understand strong-coupling coupling limit of Δ
for short operators – beyond asymptotic BA on string side

classical string solution: $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ expansion

$$\rho = \epsilon \sin \sigma \left[1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{24} (5 + \cos 2\sigma) + O(\epsilon^3) \right]$$

$$\rho_{\max} = \epsilon - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^3)$$

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{2\mathcal{S}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{S} + O(\mathcal{S}^2) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \sqrt{2\mathcal{S}} \left[1 + \frac{3}{8} \mathcal{S} + O(\mathcal{S}^2) \right]$$

Quantum string ($\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$) corrections respect this structure

in string limit: $\lambda \gg 1$, $\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \text{fixed} \ll 1$

$$E(S, \lambda) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2S} [h_0(\lambda) + h_1(\lambda)S + h_2(\lambda)S^2 + \dots],$$

$$h_n = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^n} \left(a_{n0} + \frac{a_{n1}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{a_{n2}}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} + \dots \right)$$

classical string: $a_{00} = 1$, $a_{10} = \frac{3}{8}$, $a_{20} = -\frac{21}{128}$, ...

1-loop superstring:

$$a_{01} = 1, \quad a_{11} = -\frac{\zeta(3)}{2} + \frac{13}{64}, \quad \dots$$

UV finiteness of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring implies

$$h_0(\lambda) = 1$$

(cf. non-renormalization of Regge $\sqrt{2S}$ term in flat space case)

$$E(S, \lambda) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2S} \left[1 + \left(\frac{3}{8} - \frac{32\zeta(3) - 13}{64\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots \right) \frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + O(S^2) \right]$$

Here one cannot interpolate to weak λ coupling for fixed small S
 Indeed, $\Delta(S, \lambda)$ for $\text{Tr}(\Phi D_+^S \Phi)$ computed for
 $\lambda \ll 1$ and S =fixed and then formally expanded in $S \rightarrow 0$

$$E(\lambda, S) = q_1(\lambda)S + q_2(\lambda)S^2 + O(S^3)$$

$$q_0(\lambda) = 1 + d_{01}\lambda + d_{02}\lambda^2 + \dots, \quad q_2(\lambda) = d_{21}\lambda + d_{22}\lambda^2 + \dots$$

Both series in S in string and in gauge theory should not converge:
 formal sum $E(S, \lambda)$ at large S should give $\ln S$ behaviour

To relate “small spin” string theory and gauge theory expansions
 would need to sum up the gauge expansion and then

re-expand the result first in large λ for fixed $\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$

and then in small $\frac{S}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$

$\zeta(3)$ also in dimensions of short operators at weak coupling...

Some details:

Expanding $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action in conformal gauge to quadratic order in fluctuations

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}_B &= -\partial_a \tilde{t} \partial^a \tilde{t} - \mu_t^2 \tilde{t}^2 + \partial_a \tilde{\phi} \partial^a \tilde{\phi} + \mu_\phi^2 \tilde{\phi}^2 \\ &+ 4\tilde{\rho}(\kappa \sinh \rho \partial_0 \tilde{t} - w \cosh \rho \partial_0 \tilde{\phi}) + \partial_a \tilde{\rho} \partial^a \tilde{\rho} + \mu_\rho^2 \tilde{\rho}^2 \\ &+ \partial_a \beta_u \partial^a \beta_u + \mu_\beta^2 \beta_u^2 + \partial_a \varphi \partial^a \varphi + \partial_a \chi_s \partial^a \chi_s\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_t^2 = 2\rho'^2 - \kappa^2, \quad \mu_\phi^2 = 2\rho'^2 - w^2, \quad \mu_\rho^2 = 2\rho'^2 - w^2 - \kappa^2, \quad \mu_\beta^2 = 2\rho'^2$$

β_u ($u = 1, 2$) AdS_5 fluctuations transverse to AdS_3

φ, χ_s ($s = 1, 2, 3, 4$) fluctuations in S^5

Fermionic part of quadratic fluctuation Lagrangian

$$\tilde{L}_F = 2i(\bar{\Psi} \gamma^a \partial_a \Psi - \mu_F \bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{234} \Psi), \quad \mu_F^2 = \rho'^2$$

same as 4+4 2d Majorana fermions with σ -dependent mass μ_F

expand the coefficients in $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\mu_t^2 = \epsilon^2 \cos 2\sigma + \dots, \quad \mu_\phi^2 = -1 + \epsilon^2(\cos 2\sigma + \frac{1}{2}) + \dots$$

$$\mu_\rho^2 = -1 + \epsilon^2(\cos 2\sigma - \frac{1}{2}) + \dots, \quad \mu_\beta^2 = 2\mu_F^2 = 2\epsilon^2 \cos^2 \sigma + \dots$$

$\epsilon = 0$ is flat-space case: free action after a rotation

Leading ϵ^2 part of 1-loop correction to string energy vanishes

1-loop correction to string energy

$$E_1 = \frac{\Gamma_1}{\kappa T}, \quad \mathcal{T} \equiv \int d\tau \rightarrow \infty, \quad \kappa = \epsilon + \dots$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (-1)^{n_i} \ln \frac{\det[\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 + \epsilon^2 M_i^2]}{\det[\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2]}$$

$$\sim \epsilon^2 \int d\tau \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \text{Tr} \sum_i (-1)^{n_i} M_i^2 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

1-loop UV divergencies in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action expanded near arbitrary string solution cancel (Frolov, AT 02)

mass sum rule \rightarrow no ϵ^2 term in Γ_1 : no $\epsilon \sim \sqrt{2\mathcal{S}}$ term in E_1

Should generalize to higher string loops

To find first non-trivial correction – 2nd order perturbation theory for determinants with σ dependent masses

$$\ln \frac{\det[A + \epsilon^2 B + \epsilon^4 C]}{\det A} = \epsilon^2 \text{Tr}[A^{-1} B] - \frac{\epsilon^4}{2} \text{Tr}[A^{-1} B A^{-1} B] + \epsilon^4 \text{Tr}[A^{-1} C] + \dots$$

e.g.

$$\ln \frac{\det[-\partial_1^2 + \omega^2 + \epsilon^2 \cos^2 \sigma]}{\det[-\partial_1^2 + \omega^2]} \approx \epsilon^2 \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2 + \omega^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \cos^2 \sigma = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2 + \omega^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(\epsilon^4) = & -\frac{\mathcal{I}\epsilon^4}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left\{ \sum_n \left[-\frac{7}{8} \frac{1}{n^2 + \omega^2} - \frac{1}{32} \frac{1 - 8i\omega}{n^2 + (\omega + i)^2} + c.c. \right] \right. \\ & + \sum_n \left[-\frac{\omega^2}{[n^2 + (\omega + i)^2]^2} + \frac{1}{[n^2 + \omega^2][(n-2)^2 + \omega^2]} + \frac{1}{[n^2 + (\omega + i)^2][n^2 + (\omega - i)^2]} \right. \\ & + \omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^2 + \omega^2} + \frac{1}{(n-1)^2 + \omega^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n^2 + (\omega + i)^2} + \frac{1}{n^2 + (\omega - i)^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{(1 + \frac{i\omega}{2})^2}{4} \frac{1}{n^2 + (\omega - i)^2} \left(\frac{1}{(n-2)^2 + (\omega - i)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+2)^2 + (\omega - i)^2} \right) \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{(1 - \frac{i\omega}{2})^2}{4} \frac{1}{n^2 + (\omega + i)^2} \left(\frac{1}{(n-2)^2 + (\omega + i)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+2)^2 + (\omega + i)^2} \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{n^4 - 7n^3 + 3n^2 + 16n - 16}{n^3(n^2 - 4)(n - 1)} = \frac{149}{32} - 4\zeta(3)$$

$$E_1 = \frac{\Gamma_1}{\mathcal{T}\kappa} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{13}{32} - \zeta(3) \right] \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^5)$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{13}{32} - \zeta(3) \right] \mathcal{S}^{3/2} + O(\mathcal{S}^{5/2})$$

Generalisation to J in S^5 :

related to $sl(2)$ sector in gauge theory

start with $(S = \sqrt{\lambda}\mathcal{S}, J = \sqrt{\lambda}\mathcal{J})$ solution

expand in short string limit: $\epsilon \ll 1$, i.e. $\rho_{\max} \rightarrow 0$

If $\mathcal{J} \gg 1$ – fast (BMN-like) short string limit

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \nu + \mathcal{S} + \frac{\mathcal{S}}{2\nu^2} + \dots, \quad \mathcal{J} \gg 1, \quad \frac{\mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{J}} \ll 1$$

Instead, in slow short string limit

$$\mathcal{S} \ll 1, \quad \mathcal{J} \ll \sqrt{\mathcal{S}} \ll 1$$

classical energy has “near flat space” expansion form

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \sqrt{2\mathcal{S}} \left(1 + \frac{\nu^2}{4\mathcal{S}} + \dots\right) + \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{S}^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{5\nu^2}{12\mathcal{S}} + \dots\right) + \dots$$

Again coeff. of $\sqrt{2\mathcal{S}}$ term is not changed by quantum corrections

Interpretation on gauge theory side?

Moral: $E(\lambda, S, J)$ function of 3 arguments ...

Green-Schwarz superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Superstring in curved type II supergravity background

$$\int d^2\sigma G_{MN}(Z)\partial Z^M\partial Z^N + \dots, \quad Z^M = (x^m, \theta_\alpha^I)$$

$$m = 0, 1, \dots, 9, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 16, \quad I = 1, 2$$

Explicit form of action is generally hard to find

$AdS_5 \times S^5$: coset space symmetry facilitates explicit construction

Algebraic construction of unique κ -invariant action as in flat space

GS superstring in flat space:

$$R^{1,9} = \frac{G}{H} = \frac{\text{Poincare}}{\text{Lorentz}}$$

$$\text{Flat superspace} = \frac{\widehat{G}}{H} = \frac{\text{SuperPoincare}}{\text{Lorentz}}$$

structure of action is fixed by superPoincare algebra (P, M, Q)

$$[P, M] \sim P, \quad [M, M] \sim M, \quad [M, Q] \sim Q, \quad \{Q, Q\} \sim P$$

$$g^{-1}dg = J^m P_m + J_\alpha^I Q_I^\alpha + J^{mn} M_{mn}$$

Supercoset action = $\int \text{Tr}(g^{-1}dg)_{G/H}^2 + \text{fermionic WZ-term}$

$$I = \int d^2\sigma (J^m J^m + a \bar{J}^I J^I) + b \int J^m \wedge \bar{J}^I \Gamma_m J^J s_{IJ}$$

$$s_{IJ} = (1, -1)$$

$$J^m = dx^m - i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^m \theta^I, \quad J^I_\alpha = d\theta^I_\alpha$$

Manifest superPoincare symmetry, but

unitarity and right fermionic spectrum iff $a = 0$, $b = \pm 1$:

κ -invariance \rightarrow Green-Schwarz action:

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_a x^m - i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^m \partial_a \theta^I)^2 \\ + i\epsilon^{ab} s_{IJ} \bar{\theta}^I \Gamma_m \partial_a \theta^J (\partial_b x^m - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}^K \Gamma^m \partial_b \theta^K)$$

peculiar “degenerate” Lagrangian: no $\partial\bar{\theta}\partial\theta$ term

$$L \sim \partial x \partial x + \partial x \bar{\theta} \partial \theta + (\bar{\theta} \partial \theta)^2$$

perturbative expansion is well-defined

near \bar{x} background, e.g., $x^m = N_a^m \sigma^a$

$$x = \bar{x} + \xi, \quad \theta' = \sqrt{\partial \bar{x}} \theta$$

$$L \sim \partial \xi \partial \xi + \bar{\theta}' \partial \theta' + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\partial \bar{x}}} \partial \xi \bar{\theta}' \partial \theta' + \dots$$

non-renormalizable by power counting

but κ -symmetry (uniqueness of action) implies finiteness

direct check of cancellation of 2-loop logarithmic UV divergences
and trivial partition function (Roiban, Tirziu, AT 07)

preservation of κ -symmetry implies that semiclassical loop (α')
expansion must be finite also in curved space

but regularization issues are non-trivial starting with 2 loops

$$AdS_5 \times S^5 = \frac{SO(2,4)}{SO(1,4)} \times \frac{SO(6)}{SO(5)}$$

Killing vectors and Killing spinors of $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

$PSU(2, 2|4)$ symmetry

replace G/H =SuperPoincare/Lorentz in flat GS case by

$$\frac{PSU(2, 2|4)}{SO(1, 4) \times SO(5)}$$

generators: $(P_q, M_{pq}); (P'_r, M'_{rs}); Q^I_\alpha, \quad m = (q, r)$

$$[P, P] \sim M, \quad [P, M] \sim P, \quad [M, M] \sim M,$$

$$[Q, P_q] \sim \gamma_q Q, \quad [Q, M_{pq}] \sim \gamma_{pq} Q$$

$$\{Q^I, Q^J\} \sim \delta^{IJ} (\gamma \cdot P + \gamma' \cdot P') + \epsilon^{IJ} (\gamma \cdot M + \gamma' \cdot M')$$

PSU(2, 2|4) invariant action:

$\int \text{Tr}(g^{-1}dg)_{G/H}^2 + \text{WZ-term}$

$$J = g^{-1}dg = J^m P_m + J_\alpha^I Q_I^\alpha + J^{mn} M_{mn}$$

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \left[\int d^2\sigma (J^m J^m + a \bar{J}^I J^I) + b \int J^m \wedge \bar{J}^I \Gamma_m J^J s_{IJ} \right]$$

as in flat space $a = 0$, $b = \pm 1$ required by κ -symmetry

unique action with right symmetry and right flat-space limit

Formal argument for UV finiteness (2d conformal invariance):

1. global symmetry – only overall coefficient of J^2 term (radius) can run
2. non-renormalization of WZ term (homogeneous 3-form)
3. preservation of κ -symmetry at the quantum level
– relating coefficients of J^2 and WZ terms

Component form:

coset representative $g(x, \theta) = f(x)e^{\theta Q}$

$$J^m = e^m(x) - i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^m D\theta^I + O(\theta^4), \quad J^I = D\theta^I + O(\theta^3)$$

solving Maurer-Cartan eqs:

$$J_a^A = \partial_a x^m e_m^A - 4i\bar{\theta}^I \Gamma^A \left[\frac{\sinh^2\left(\frac{s}{2}\mathcal{M}\right)}{\mathcal{M}^2} \right]_{IJ} D_a \theta^J, \quad J_a^I = \left[\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{s}{2}\mathcal{M}\right)}{\mathcal{M}} \right]_{IJ} D_a \theta^J$$

$$D\theta^I = \mathcal{D}\theta^I - \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{IJ} e^A(x) \Gamma_* \Gamma_A \theta^J, \quad \mathcal{D}\theta^I = d\theta^I + \frac{1}{4}\omega^{AB}(x) \Gamma_{AB} \theta^I$$

$$(\mathcal{M}^2)^{IL} = -\epsilon^{IJ} \Gamma_* \Gamma^A \theta^J \bar{\theta}^L \Gamma_A + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{LK} (\Gamma^{pq} \theta^I \bar{\theta}^K \Gamma_{pq} \Gamma_* - \Gamma^{rs} \theta^I \bar{\theta}^K \Gamma_{rs} \Gamma_*)$$

$$e^A(x) = dx^m e_m^A(x), \quad A = (p, r)$$

$$\Gamma_* = i\Gamma_0\Gamma_1\Gamma_2\Gamma_3\Gamma_4, \quad \Gamma'_* = i\Gamma_5\Gamma_6\Gamma_7\Gamma_8\Gamma_9$$

RR coupling: “mass term” in D

$$D \text{ in IIB Killing spinor eq. } D^{IJ} \epsilon^J = 0, \quad [D_M, D_N] = 0$$

Expansion near string soliton solution $x = \bar{x}$:

conformal gauge and κ -symmetry gauge $\theta^1 = \theta^2$

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \int d^2\sigma (L_{\text{kin}} + L_{\text{WZ}})$$

$$L_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a x^\mu \partial^a x^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(x) + 2ie_a^A \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \mathcal{D}^a \theta + 2\bar{\theta} \Gamma^A \mathcal{D}_a \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \mathcal{D}^a \theta + \frac{1}{12} e_a^A e^{aB} \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A (\Gamma^{pq} \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{pq} - \Gamma^{rs} \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{rs}) \Gamma_B \theta + O(\theta^6)$$

$$L_{\text{WZ}} = \epsilon^{ab} \left[-e_a^A e_b^B \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \Gamma_* \Gamma_B \theta + \frac{4i}{3} e_a^A \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \Gamma_* \Gamma_B \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \mathcal{D}_b \theta \right] + O(\theta^6)$$

Expansion: $x \rightarrow x + \xi$, $L = \xi D^2 \xi + \bar{\theta} D \theta + \xi^3 + \xi^4 + \xi \theta^2 + \theta^4 + \dots$

1-loop results:

- check of finiteness of GS action for generic \bar{x} solution
- computation of 1-loop quantum string corrections to energies of rigid rotating string solutions (Frolov, AT 02,03; Park, AT 05)

Simple form of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ action

special choice of coordinates (Poincaré)

and special κ -symmetry gauge: $\theta^1 = \Gamma_{0123}\theta^2$

plus “Killing spinor” redefn of fermions (Kallosh, Rajaraman 98)

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \left[z^2 (\partial_a x^m - i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^m \partial_a \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} \partial^a z^s \partial_a z^s + 4\epsilon^{ab} \bar{\theta} \partial_a z^s \Gamma_s \dot{\theta}^b \right]$$

$$m = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad s = 4, \dots, 9, \quad z^2 = z^s z^s, \quad a, b = 0, 1$$

after formal T-duality: $x^m \rightarrow \tilde{x}^m$

action becomes exactly quadratic in θ (Kallosh, AT 98)

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \left[\frac{1}{z^2} (\partial^a x^m \partial_a x_m + \partial^a z^s \partial_a z^s) + 4\epsilon^{ab} \bar{\theta} (\partial_a x^m \Gamma_m + \partial_a z^s) \dot{\theta}^b \right]$$

starting point of computation of 2-loop string correction

to cusp anomalous dimension (Roiban, AT 07)

check of 2-loop finiteness of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS string

relation to dual superconformal symmetry

How to solve quantum string theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$?

GS string on supercoset $\frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(1,4) \times SO(5)}$

not of known solvable type (cf. free oscillators; WZW)

analogy with exact solution of $O(n)$ model (Zamolodchikovs) or principal chiral model (Polyakov-Wiegmann; KWZ; ...) ?

– 2d CFT – no mass generation

Try as in flat space –

light-cone gauge: analog of $x^+ = p^+ \tau$, $p^+ = \text{const}$, $\Gamma^+ \theta = 0$

Two natural options:

(i) null geodesic parallel to the boundary in Poincare patch – action/Hamiltonian quartic in fermions (Metsaev, Thorn, AT, 01)

(ii) null geodesic wrapping S^5 :

hidden $su(2|2) \times su(2|2)$ symmetry

but complicated action (Callan et al, 03;

Arutyunov, Frolov, Plefka, Zamaklar, 05-06)

Common problem:

lack of manifest 2d Lorentz symmetry

hard to apply known 2d integrable field theory methods –

S-matrix depends on two rapidities, not on their difference only
constraints on it are unclear, etc.

An alternative approach: “Pohlmeyer reduction”

use conf. gauge, solve Virasoro conditions in terms of currents,

find “reduced” action for physical number of d.o.f.,

use it as a starting point for quantization

Aim: **construct PR version for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring**

(i) introduce new fields locally related to supercoset currents

(ii) solve conformal gauge (Virasoro) condition explicitly

(iii) find local 2d Lorentz-invariant

action for independent (8B+8F) d.o.f

– **fermionic generalization of non-abelian Toda theory**

PR: a nonlocal map that preserves integrable structure

1. gauge-equivalent Lax pairs; map between soliton solutions gives integrable massive local field theory

2. quantum equivalence to original GS model ?

may expect for full $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string model = **CFT**

3. integrable theory: semiclassical solitonic spectrum

may essentially determine quantum spectrum

the two solitonic S-matrices should be closely related:

Lorentz-invariant S-matrix of PR-model should effectively give complicated **magnon S-matrix**

Pohlmeyer reduction: bosonic coset models

Prototypical example: S^2 -sigma model \rightarrow Sine-Gordon theory

$$L = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m - \Lambda(X^m X^m - 1), \quad m = 1, 2, 3$$

Equations of motion:

$$\partial_+ \partial_- X^m + \Lambda X^m = 0, \quad \Lambda = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m, \quad X^m X^m = 1$$

Stress tensor: $T_{\pm\pm} = \partial_{\pm} X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m$

$$T_{+-} = 0, \quad \partial_+ T_{--} = 0, \quad \partial_- T_{++} = 0$$

implies $T_{++} = f(\sigma_+)$, $T_{--} = h(\sigma_-)$

using the conformal transformations $\sigma_{\pm} \rightarrow F_{\pm}(\sigma_{\pm})$ can set

$$\partial_+ X^m \partial_+ X^m = \mu^2, \quad \partial_- X^m \partial_- X^m = \mu^2, \quad \mu = \text{const.}$$

3 unit vectors in 3-dimensional Euclidean space:

$$X^m, \quad X_+^m = \mu^{-1} \partial_+ X^m, \quad X_-^m = \mu^{-1} \partial_- X^m,$$

X^m is orthogonal ($X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m = 0$) to both X^m_+ and X^m_-
remaining $SO(3)$ invariant quantity is scalar product

$$\partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m = \mu^2 \cos 2\varphi$$

then $\partial_+ \partial_- \varphi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \sin 2\varphi = 0$

following from **sine-Gordon action** (Pohlmeyer, 1976)

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

2d Lorentz invariant despite explicit constraints

Classical solutions and integrable structures

(Lax pair, Backlund transformations, etc) are directly related

e.g., SG soliton mapped into rotating folded string on S^2

“giant magnon” in the $J = \infty$ limit (Hofman, Maldacena 06)

other examples for CSG (Chen, Dorey, Okamura 06;

Okamura, Suzuki, Hayashi, Vicedo 07;

Jevicki, Spradlin, Volovich, et al 07)

Analogous construction for S^3 model gives

Complex sine-Gordon model (Pohlmeyer; Lund, Regge 76)

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \cot^2 \varphi \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

φ, θ are $SO(4)$ -invariants:

$$\mu^2 \cos 2\varphi = \partial_+ X^m \partial_- X^m$$

$$\mu^3 \sin^2 \varphi \partial_{\pm} \theta = \mp \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{m n k l} X^m \partial_+ X^n \partial_- X^k \partial_{\pm}^2 X^l$$

“String on $R_t \times S^n$ ” interpretation

conformal gauge plus $t = \mu\tau$ to fix conformal diffeomorphisms:

$\partial_{\pm} X^m \partial_{\pm} X^m = \mu^2$ are **Virasoro** constraints

Similar construction for AdS_n case,

i.e. string on $AdS_n \times S_{\psi}^1$ with $\psi = \mu\tau$

e.g. reduced theory for $AdS_3 \times S^1$

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \coth^2 \varphi \partial_+ \chi \partial_- \chi - \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cosh 2\phi$$

Comments:

- Virasoro constraints are solved by a special choice of variables related nonlocally to the original coordinates
- Although the reduction is not explicitly Lorentz invariant the resulting Lagrangian turns out to be 2d Lorentz invariant
- The reduced theory is formulated in terms of manifestly $SO(n)$ invariant variables: “blind” to original global symmetry
- reduced theory is equivalent to the original theory as integrable system: the respective Lax pairs are gauge-equivalent
- PR may be thought of as a formulation in terms of physical d.o.f. – coset space analog of flat-space l.c. gauge (where 2d Lorentz is unbroken)
- In general reduced theory can **not** be quantum-equivalent to the original one (e.g., conformal symmetry was assumed in the reduction procedure)

PR for bosonic F/G -coset model

To find reduced theory for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS model need to understand PR of F/G coset sigma models as G/H gauged WZW models modified by relevant integrable potential and then generalize to GS supercoset

F/G -coset sigma model:

symmetric space condition ($\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}$ are Lie algebras of F and G)

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

with $\langle \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} \rangle = 0$ (choose $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{Tr}(ab)$)

Lagrangian:

$$L = -\text{Tr}(P_+ P_-), \quad P_{\pm} = (f^{-1} \partial_{\pm} f)_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

$$J = f^{-1} df = \mathcal{A} + P, \quad \mathcal{A} = J_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad P = J_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Symmetries: G gauge transformations $f \rightarrow fg$;

global F -symmetry: $f \rightarrow f_0 f, f_0 = \text{const} \in F$

classical conformal invariance

Equations of motion in terms of currents

let $J = \mathcal{A} + P$ be fundamental variables, not f

$$D_+ P_- = 0, \quad D_- P_+ = 0, \quad D = d + [\mathcal{A}, \] \quad - \text{EOM}$$

$$D_- P_+ - D_+ P_- + [P_+, P_-] + \mathcal{F}_{+-} = 0 \quad - \text{Maurer-Cartan}$$

$$\text{Tr}(P_+ P_+) = -\mu^2, \quad \text{Tr}(P_- P_-) = -\mu^2 \quad - \text{Virasoro}$$

Main idea: – **first** solve EOM and Virasoro and **then** MC

using special choice of G gauge condition and conformal diffs

then find reduced action giving eqs. resulting from MC

gauge fixing that **solves the first Virasoro constraint**

$$P_+ = \mu T = \text{const}, \quad T \in \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{f} \ominus \mathfrak{g}, \quad \text{Tr}(TT) = -1$$

choice of special element $T \rightarrow$ decomposition of the algebra of F

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = T \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \quad [T, \mathfrak{h}] = 0,$$

$$[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \quad [T, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{n}, \quad [T, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{m}.$$

\mathfrak{h} is a centraliser of T in \mathfrak{g}

EOM $D_- P_+ = 0$ is solved by

$$(\mathcal{A}_-)_m = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = (\mathcal{A}_-)_\mathfrak{h} \equiv A_-$$

second Virasoro constraint is solved by

$$P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g, \quad g \in G$$

EOM $D_+ P_- = 0$ is solved by

$$A_+ = g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g$$

To summarise:

solved EOM's and Virasoro constraints introducing
new dynamical field variables

G -valued field g , \mathfrak{h} -valued fields A_+ , A_- , $[T, A_\pm] = 0$

what remains is the **Maurer-Cartan** equation on g, A_\pm

Relation to G/H gauged WZW model

G/H gWZW action with potential:

$$L = \begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(g^{-1} \partial_+ g g^{-1} \partial_- g) + \text{WZ term} \\ & - \text{Tr}(A_+ \partial_- g g^{-1} - A_- g^{-1} \partial_+ g - g^{-1} A_+ g A_- + A_+ A_-) \\ & - \mu^2 \text{Tr}(T g^{-1} T g) \end{aligned}$$

Pohlmeyer-reduced theory for F/G coset sigma model

(as first proposed by Bakas, Park, Shin 95)

and thus also for strings on $R_t \times F/G$ or $F/G \times S^1_\psi$

integrable potential: relation at the level of Lax pairs

special case of non-abelian Toda theory:

“symmetric space Sine-Gordon model”

(Hollowood, Miramontes et al 96)

What to do with A_+ , A_- : integrate out or gauge-fix

Reduced equation of motion in the “on-shell” gauge $A_{\pm} = 0$:

Non-abelian Toda equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_-(g^{-1}\partial_+g) - \mu^2[T, g^{-1}Tg] &= 0, \\ (g^{-1}\partial_+g)_{\mathfrak{h}} &= 0, \quad (\partial_-gg^{-1})_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

$$F/G = SO(n+1)/SO(n) = S^n : G/H = SO(n)/SO(n-1)$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \dots & k_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sum_{l=1}^n k_l k_l = 1$$

get (in general **non-Lagrangian**) EOM for k_m

$$\partial_-\left(\frac{\partial_+k_\ell}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{m=2}^n k_m k_m}}\right) = -\mu^2 k_\ell, \quad \ell = 2, \dots, n.$$

Linearising around the **vacuum** $g = 1$ (i.e. $k_1 = 1$, $k_\ell = 0$)

$$\partial_+\partial_-k_\ell + \mu^2 k_\ell + O(k_\ell^2) = 0$$

massive spectrum: non-trivial S-matrix with H global symmetry

$F/G = SO(n+1)/SO(n) = S^n$:

parametrization of g in Euler angles

$$g = e^{T_{n-2}\theta_{n-2}} \dots e^{T_1\theta_1} e^{2T\varphi} e^{T_1\theta_1} \dots e^{T_{n-2}\theta_{n-2}}$$

and integrating out $H = SO(n-1)$ gauge field A_{\pm}

leads to reduced theory that generalizes SG and CSG

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + G_{pq}(\varphi, \theta) \partial_+ \theta^p \partial_- \theta^q + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \cos 2\varphi$$

no B_{mn} coupling

gWZW for $G/H = SO(n)/SO(n-1)$

$$ds_{n=2}^2 = d\varphi^2, \quad ds_{n=3}^2 = d\varphi^2 + \cot^2 \varphi d\theta^2$$

ironically, return of old metrics of “de Sitter” or “ S^n ”

gWZW models (Bars, Nemeschansky,...)

$G/H = SO(4)/SO(3)$ (Fradkin, Linetsky 91)

$$ds_{n=4}^2 = d\varphi^2 + \cot^2 \varphi (d\theta_1 + \cot \theta_1 \tan \theta_2 d\theta_2)^2 + \tan^2 \varphi \frac{d\theta_2^2}{\sin^2 \theta_1}$$

Bosonic strings on $AdS_n \times S^n$

straightforward generalization:

Lagrangian and the Virasoro constraints

$$L = \text{Tr}(P_+^A P_-^A) - \text{Tr}(P_+^S P_-^S),$$

$$\text{Tr}(P_\pm^S P_\pm^S) - \text{Tr}(P_\pm^A P_\pm^A) = 0$$

fix conformal symmetry by

$$\text{Tr}(P_\pm^S P_\pm^S) = \text{Tr}(P_\pm^A P_\pm^A) = -\mu^2$$

then PR applies independently in each sector:

get direct sum of reduced systems for S^n and AdS_n

linked by Virasoro, i.e. common μ

e.g. for $F/G = AdS_2 \times S^2$:

$$\tilde{L} = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi)$$

$AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring sigma-model

$$AdS_5 \times S^5 = \frac{SU(2,2)}{Sp(2,2)} \times \frac{SU(4)}{Sp(4)}$$

supercoset GS sigma model

$$\frac{\widehat{F}}{\widehat{G}} = \frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{Sp(2,2) \times Sp(4)}$$

basic superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = psu(2, 2|4)$

bosonic part $\mathfrak{f} = su(2, 2) \oplus su(4) \cong so(2, 4) \oplus so(6)$

admits Z_4 -grading: (Berkovits, Bershadsky, et al 89)

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_3, \quad [\mathfrak{f}_i, \mathfrak{f}_j] \subset \mathfrak{f}_{i+j \bmod 4}$$

$$\mathfrak{f}_0 = \mathfrak{g} = sp(2, 2) \oplus sp(4)$$

current ($J = f^{-1} \partial_a f$, $f \in \widehat{F}$) decomposes as

$$J_a = f^{-1} \partial_a f = \mathcal{A}_a + Q_{1a} + P_a + Q_{2a}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{f}_0, \quad Q_1 \in \mathfrak{f}_1, \quad P \in \mathfrak{f}_2, \quad Q_2 \in \mathfrak{f}_3 .$$

GS Lagrangian:

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{STr}(\sqrt{-g} g^{ab} P_a P_b + \varepsilon^{ab} Q_{1a} Q_{2b}),$$

very simple structure – but not standard coset model:

fermionic currents in WZ term only

conformal gauge: $\sqrt{-g} g^{ab} = \eta^{ab}$

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \text{STr}[P_+ P_- + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1+} Q_{2-} - Q_{1-} Q_{2+})]$$

$$\text{STr}(P_+ P_+) = 0,$$

$$\text{STr}(P_- P_-) = 0$$

PR procedure: solve first EOM and Virasoro

κ -gauge condition: $Q_{1-} = 0, \quad Q_{2+} = 0$

solves the last (fermionic) pair of EOM

as in the bosonic F/G case can fix the “reduction gauge”

$$P_+ = \mu T, \quad T = \frac{i}{2} \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1 | 1, 1, -1, -1)$$

$$P_- = \mu g^{-1} T g, \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g, \quad \mathcal{A}_- = A_-$$

T defines \mathfrak{h} by $[\mathfrak{h}, T] = 0$:

$$\mathfrak{h} = su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(2)$$

new parametrisation: $G = Sp(2, 2) \times Sp(4)$ -valued field g
and \mathfrak{h} -valued field A_{\pm}

AdS_5 and S^5 sectors now coupled by fermions

remains residual κ -symmetry to be fixed

use T to generalise decomposition of bosonic part

remaining fermionic components

$$\Psi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \Psi_1^{\parallel}, \quad \Psi_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \Psi_2^{\parallel},$$

transform under $H \times H$ as $\Psi_R \rightarrow \bar{h}^{-1} \Psi_R \bar{h}$, $\Psi_L \rightarrow h^{-1} \Psi_L h$.

Lagrangian of PR theory for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring

(Grigoriev, AT 07; related work: Mikhailov, Schafer-Nameki 07)
fermionic generalization of “gWZW+ potential” theory for

$$\frac{G}{H} = \frac{Sp(2,2)}{SU(2) \times SU(2)} \times \frac{Sp(4)}{SU(2) \times SU(2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_{\text{gWZW}}(g, A_+, A_-) + \mu^2 \text{STr}(g^{-1} T g T) \\ &+ \text{STr}(\Psi_L [T, D_+ \Psi_L] + \Psi_R [T, D_- \Psi_R]) \\ &+ \mu \text{STr}(g^{-1} \Psi_L g \Psi_R) \end{aligned}$$

direct sum of PR theories for AdS_5 and S^5

“glued together” by components of fermions

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \tilde{L}_{S^5}(g, A_+, A_-) + \tilde{L}_{AdS_5}(g, A_+, A_-) \\ &+ \psi_L D_+ \psi_L + \psi_R D_+ \psi_R + \mu (\text{interaction terms}) \end{aligned}$$

all gauge symmetries fixed; standard kin. terms (cf. GS action)

The corresponding Lax pair encoding the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_- &= \partial_- + A_- + \ell^{-1} \sqrt{\mu} g^{-1} \Psi_L g + \ell^{-2} \mu g^{-1} T g, \\ \mathcal{L}_+ &= \partial_+ + g^{-1} \partial_+ g + g^{-1} A_+ g + \ell \sqrt{\mu} \Psi_R + \ell^2 \mu T.\end{aligned}$$

use that $[T, [T, \Psi_{L,R}]] = -\Psi_{L,R}$

Comments:

- gWZW model coupled to the fermions interacting minimally and through the “Yukawa term”
- 8 real bosonic and 16 real fermionic independent variables
- 2d Lorentz invariant with Ψ_R, Ψ_L as 2d Majorana spinors
- 2d supersymmetry? yes, at the linearised level, and yes in $AdS_2 \times S^2$ case: $n = 2$ super sine-Gordon
- μ -dependent interaction terms are equal to original GS Lagrangian; gWZW produces MC eq.: path integral derivation via change from fields to currents?
- quadratic in fermions (like susy version of gWZW); integrating out A_{\pm} gives quartic fermionic terms (reflecting curvature)
- linearisation of EOM in the gauge $A_{\pm} = 0$ around $g = 1$ describes 8+8 massive bosonic and fermionic d.o.f. with mass μ : same as in BMN limit
- symmetry of resulting **relativistic** S-matrix: $H = [SU(2)]^4$ – same as bosonic part of magnon S-matrix symmetry $[PSU(2|2)]^2$

Example: superstring on $AdS_2 \times S^2$

Explicit parametrisation:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi & \sinh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \varphi & i \sin \varphi \\ 0 & 0 & i \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Psi_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta & 0 \\ 0 & i\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & -i\nu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu & 0 & 0 \\ i\rho & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

PR Lagrangian: same as $n = 2$ supersymmetric sine-Gordon!

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} = & \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi) \\ & + \beta \partial_- \beta + \gamma \partial_- \gamma + \nu \partial_+ \nu + \rho \partial_+ \rho \\ & - 2\mu [\cosh \phi \cos \varphi (\beta \nu + \gamma \rho) + \sinh \phi \sin \varphi (\beta \rho - \gamma \nu)] . \end{aligned}$$

indeed, equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} = & \partial_+ \Phi \partial_- \Phi^* - |W'(\Phi)|^2 \\ & + \psi_L^* \partial_+ \psi_L + \psi_R^* \partial_- \psi_R + [W''(\Phi) \psi_L \psi_R + W^{*''}(\Phi^*) \psi_L^* \psi_R^*] . \end{aligned}$$

bosonic part is of $AdS_2 \times S^2$ bosonic reduced model if

$$W(\Phi) = \mu \cos \Phi , \quad |W'(\Phi)|^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cosh 2\phi - \cos 2\varphi) .$$

$$\psi_L = \nu + i\rho , \quad \psi_R = -\beta + i\gamma ,$$

Example: superstring on $AdS_3 \times S^3$

Green-Schwarz superstring on $AdS_3 \times S^3$
supported by RR 3-form flux: coset model

$$\frac{PSU(1, 1|2) \times PSU(1, 1|2)}{SU(2) \times SU(1, 1)}$$

superalgebra $psu(1, 1|2)$ admits a Z_4 -grading

GS Lagrangian: in terms of Z_4 -components of $J_{\pm} = \hat{f}^{-1} \partial_{\pm} \hat{f}$

$$J_{\pm} = \mathcal{A}_{\pm} + P_{\pm} + Q_{1\pm} + Q_{2\pm},$$

$$\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_0, \quad Q_1 \in \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_1, \quad P \in \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2, \quad Q_2 \in \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_3$$

$$L_{\text{GS}} = \text{STr} \left[P_+ P_- + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1+} Q_{2-} - Q_{1-} Q_{2+}) \right],$$

conformal gauge constraints: $\text{STr}(P_+ P_+) = 0$ and $\text{STr}(P_- P_-) = 0$

Solving for gauge fields A_{\pm}

$$L_{\text{tot}} = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = L_B + \text{fermionic terms}$$

bosonic terms: direct sum of the CSG action and its “hyperbolic” counterpart – reduced bosonic string in $AdS_3 \times S^3$:

$$L_B = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \cot^2 \varphi \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta \\ + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi + \coth^2 \phi \partial_+ \chi \partial_- \chi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi)$$

$$L_1 = \partial_+ \varphi \partial_- \varphi + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos 2\varphi) \partial_+ \theta \partial_- \theta \\ + \partial_+ \phi \partial_- \phi - \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cosh 2\phi) \partial_+ \chi \partial_- \chi + \frac{\mu^2}{2} (\cos 2\varphi - \cosh 2\phi)$$

$$L_2 = \alpha \partial_- \alpha + \beta \partial_- \beta + \gamma \partial_- \gamma + \delta \partial_- \delta + \lambda \partial_+ \lambda + \nu \partial_+ \nu + \rho \partial_+ \rho \\ - 2\mu \left(\sinh \phi \sin \varphi (\lambda \beta - \nu \alpha + \rho \delta - \sigma \gamma) + \cosh \phi \cos \varphi [\cos (\chi - \theta) \right. \\ \left. + \lambda \delta - \nu \gamma) + \sin (\chi + \theta) (\rho \alpha + \sigma \beta - \lambda \gamma - \nu \delta) \right]$$

$$L_3 = \frac{[\partial_+ \chi (1 + \cosh 2\phi) - 2(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta)][\partial_- \chi (1 + \cosh 2\phi) + 2(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta)]}{2(\cosh 2\phi - 1)} + \frac{[\partial_+ \theta (1 + \cos 2\varphi) + 2(\alpha\beta - \gamma\delta)][\partial_- \theta (1 + \cos 2\varphi) - 2(\lambda\nu - \rho\sigma)]}{2(1 - \cos 2\varphi)}$$

identify the fermions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and $\lambda, \nu, \rho, \sigma$ with 2d MW spinors – 2d supersymmetry ?

Open questions

- Quantum equivalence of reduced theory and GS theory?
Check of UV finiteness? Yes in $AdS_2 \times S^2$. In $AdS_3 \times S^3$?
- Path integral argument of equivalence?

Potential term is original action

$$\text{Tr}(P_+ P_-) = \mu^2 \text{Tr}(T g^{-1} T g)$$

while gWZW should come from change of variables.

Rough idea: string in $R_t \times F/G$ coset

$$L = -(\partial t)^2 + \text{Tr}(f^{-1} df + B)^2, \quad f \in F, \quad B \in \mathfrak{g}$$

string path integral in conformal+ $t = \mu\tau$ gauge:

$$\int Df DB \delta(T_{++} - \mu^2) \delta(T_{--} - \mu^2) e^{iI(f,B)}$$

then replace $f^{-1} df$ by C

$$\int DC DB Dv \delta(T_{++} - \mu^2) \delta(T_{--} - \mu^2) \exp[i \int (C+B)^2 + v(dC$$

set $(C + B)_+ = \mu T$, $(C + B)_- = \mu g^{-1} T g$; change from C, B, v to $g \in G, A \in \mathfrak{h}$: $[\mathfrak{h}, T] = 0$

Transformation may work only in genuine quantum-conformal $(AdS_n \times S^n)$ case.

- Indication of equivalence: semiclassical expansion
near analog of (S, J) rigid string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ leads to the same characteristic frequencies – same 1-loop partition function (Roiban, AT 08, to appear)
- Tree-level S-matrix for elementary excitations?
Manifest $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$ symmetry?
Hidden bigger symmetry? Relation to magnon S-matrix in BA?
- better understanding the relationship between the original and the reduced system: symmetries, vacua, values of conserved charges, etc.; which observables can be related?