

Type II string effective action and UV behavior of maximal supergravities

Jorge Russo

U. Barcelona – ICREA

Based on work in coll. with **M.B. Green** and **P. Vanhove**,

[PRL (2007), JHEP 0702 (2007), JHEP 0802:020 (2008); GRV june 2008; and work in progress]

Plan

1. Review: Non-renormalization theorems for higher derivative couplings in 10 dimensions and implications for the UV behavior of N=8 supergravity.
2. The type II string effective action according to **L loop eleven dimensional supergravity**.
3. Conclusions

UV behavior of N=8 Supergravity from string theory

Consider the four-graviton amplitude in string theory at genus h .

By explicit calculation one finds (*)

$$\text{genus 1: } A_4^{(1)} = (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

$$\text{genus 2: } A_4^{(2)} = s^2 (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

By using the pure spinor formalism, one further finds (+)

$$\text{genus 3: } A_4^{(3)} = s^3 (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

$$\text{genus 4: } A_4^{(4)} = s^4 (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

$$\text{genus 5: } A_4^{(5)} = s^5 (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

$$\text{genus 6: } A_4^{(6)} = s^6 (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

*These results alone imply that there should not be any ultraviolet divergence in N=8 4d supergravity until at least **9 loops**.*

They suggest the pattern:

$$\text{genus } h: A_4^{(h)} = s^h (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

(*) [Green, Schwarz, Brink], [Green, Vanhove, 2000],[D'Hoker, Gutperle, Phong]

(+) [Berkovits, 2006]

Supergravity amplitudes from string theory

Genus h four-graviton amplitude in string theory:

$$\begin{aligned} A_4^h &= \alpha'^{\beta_h - 1} e^{2(h-1)\phi} s^{\beta_h} (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4 \\ &= \kappa_{(10)}^{2(h-1)} \alpha'^{3-4h+\beta_h} s^{\beta_h} (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4, \quad \kappa_{(10)}^2 = \alpha'^4 e^{2\phi} \end{aligned}$$

h -loop supergravity amplitude is obtained by taking the limit $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$.
The inverse string length is interpreted as a UV cutoff.

$$A_4^h = \kappa_{(10)}^{2(h-1)} \Lambda^{8h-6-2\beta_h} s^{\beta_h} (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

The presence of $s^{\beta_h} R^4$ means that the leading divergences Λ^{8h+2} of individual h -loop Feynman diagrams cancel and the UV divergence is reduced by a factor $\Lambda^{-8-2\beta_h}$

Maximal supergravity in lower dimensions:

Start with string loop amplitude on a 10-d torus with the radii = $\sqrt{\alpha'}$.

In the limit $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ all massive KK states, winding numbers and excited string states decouple.

In terms of the d-dimensional gravitational constant κ_d^2 ,

$$A_{4,d}^h = \kappa_{(d)}^{2(h-1)} \Lambda^{(d-2)h-6-2\beta_h} s^{\beta_h} (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4,$$

$$\kappa_d^2 = \alpha'^{(d-2)/2} e^{2\phi}, \quad \text{radii} = \sqrt{\alpha'}$$

Therefore UV divergences are absent in dimensions satisfying the bound

$$(d-2)h - 6 - 2\beta < 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d < 2 + \frac{2\beta_h + 6}{h}$$

KNOWN CASES:

- $h = 1$: $\beta_1 = 0$, therefore UV finite for $d < 8$
- $h = 2$: $\beta_2 = 2$ therefore UV finite for $d < 7$
- $h = 3$: $\beta_3 = 3$ [Bern, Carrasco, Dixon, Johansson, Kosower and Roiban 2007]. Therefore UV finite for $d < 6$
- $h > 3$: expected $\beta_h > \text{or} = 3$. This gives $d < 2 + 12/h$. Thus $d = 4$ finite up to $h < 6$.

For $h > 3$ we use the non-renormalization theorems obtained in the pure spinor formalism [Berkovits, 2006].

One finds

• $\beta_h = h$ for $h = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$

• $\beta_h > 6$ for $h > 5$.

Hence there would be no UV divergences if

$$d < 2 + 18/h \quad , \quad h \geq 6$$

$$d < 4 + 6/h \quad , \quad h = 2, \dots, 5$$

$d = 4$:

$$4 < 2 + 18/h \quad \Rightarrow \quad h < 9$$

$d = 4$: UV finite at least up to $h = 8$

Now using IIA/M theory duality [Green, J.R., Vanhove, 2007]: $\beta_h = h$ for all $h > 1$

Hence there would be no UV divergences if

$$d < 2 + \frac{2\beta_h + 6}{h} = 2 + \frac{2h + 6}{h} \Rightarrow d < 4 + \frac{6}{h}, \quad h > 1$$

$d = 4$: UV FINITE FOR ALL h

Remarkably, this is the same condition as **maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills in d dimensions**

[Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Perelstein, Rozowsky, 1998], [Howe, Stelle, 2002]

Checked explicitly up to $h = 3$ [Bern, Carrasco, Dixon, Johansson, Kosower and Roiban 2007].

M-graviton amplitudes

The Berkovits non-renormalization theorems can easily be generalized to the M-graviton amplitude. This leads to the conditions for finiteness of an R^M term,

$$\begin{aligned} d < 4 + 6/h \quad , \quad 1 < h < 4 + M/2 \\ d < 2 + (14 + M)/2 \quad , \quad h \geq 4 + M/2 \end{aligned}$$

In $d = 4$, this implies finiteness if $h < 7 + M/2$.

Duality arguments now show

$$A_M^h = s^{h+4-M} R^M (1 + O(\alpha' s))$$

Following previous analysis, this shows that there is no UV divergence in the M-graviton supergravity amplitude for

$$d < 4 + 6/h \quad , \quad h > 1$$

According to this, the $d=4$ $N=8$ supergravity theory would be completely finite in the UV.

Summary: loop order at which we expect the first divergence to occur in d dimensional *Maximal supergravities*

D	$\beta = h$, up to $h=3$ (explicit calculation)	$\beta = h$, up to $h=5$ (Berkovits theorems)	$\beta = h$, for all h (string dualities)
4	6	9	finite
5	4	6	6
6	3	3	3
7	2	2	2
8	1	1	1
9	1	1	1
10	1	1	1

Superspace arguments:

- Howe, Stelle: $D = 4$ is finite up to 6 loops
- Kallosh: $D = 4$ is finite up to 7 loops (constructs 8 loop counterterm).

NON-RENORMALIZATION THEOREMS IN STRING THEORY

Type II effective action: Consider the terms

$$R^4, D^2 R^4, D^4 R^4, D^6 R^4, \dots, D^{2k} R^4$$

Terms involving **other supergravity fields**, or terms with the **same number of derivatives (like e.g. R^m or $|G|^{4n} R^4$ terms)**, are expected to be connected to these terms by N=2 supersymmetry.

The statement that

$$A_4^{(h)} = s^h (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$
$$A_4^{(h+1)} = s^{h+1} (1 + O(\alpha' s)) R^4$$

...

is equivalent to saying that

The term $s^h R^4 = D^{2h} R^4$ does not receive any quantum correction beyond genus h

(since $A^{(h+1)}$ starts contributing to $s^{h+1} R^4$)

What would be the expected contributions from M-theory?

String theory analogy: integrating out string excitations gives rise to an effective action of the general form:

$$S = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} c_n T^{-n} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} D^{2(n-3)} R^4, \quad T = \frac{1}{2\pi \alpha'}$$

Similarly, in d=11 on S^1 one would expect that M2 brane excitations should give contributions of the form

$$S = \sum_{n,k \geq 0}^{\infty} c_{nk} T_2^{-n} R_{11}^{-m} \int d^{10}x R_{11} \sqrt{-g} D^{2k} R^4, \quad [T_2] = (l_P)^{-3}$$

$$8 + 2k + m = 3n + 11$$

Convert to type IIA variables:

$$ds^2 = R_{11}^{-1} ds_{IIA}^2 + R_{11}^2 (dx^{11} - C_\mu dx^\mu)^2, \quad R_{11}^3 = g_A^2 l_P^3$$

$$\Rightarrow S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^k c_{nk} g_s^{2(k-n-1)} \int d^{10}x (\sqrt{-g} D^{2k} R^4)_{IIA}$$

Genus: $\Rightarrow h = k - n \leq k \quad !!$

For M5-branes, $[T_5] = L^{-6}$, leading to corrections $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{k} - 2\mathbf{n}$.

TYPE IIA EFFECTIVE ACTION FROM ELEVEN DIMENSIONS

$$A_4^L = \text{const } s^{\beta_L} (1 + O(s)) R^4$$

$$S = \kappa_{11}^{2(L-1)} \Lambda^n R_{11}^{-m} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} D^{2\beta_L+2r} R^4 \quad , \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$0 \leq n \leq 9L - 6 - 2\beta_L$$

Convert to type IIA variables:

$$S = \Lambda^n g_A^{2(k-3L+\frac{n}{3}+2)} \int d^{10}x (\sqrt{-g} D^{2k} R^4)_A$$

$$\text{genus } h: g_A^{2h-2} \rightarrow h = k + \frac{n}{3} - 3(L-1) \leq k + 1 - \frac{2\beta_L}{3}, \quad \text{if } n > 0$$

$$h = k - 3(L-1) \leq k \quad \text{if } n = 0$$

Using that $S^2 R^4 = D^4 R^4$ is not renormalized beyond $L=2$

$$\beta_L \geq 2 \rightarrow h < k - \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{for } n > 0$$

so in general $h \leq k$

Thus we find a maximum genus for every $D^{2k}R^4$. This is irrespective of the value of L . The highest genus contribution is $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{k}$ and comes from $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{1}$.

The argument only uses power-counting and the relation between type IIA and M-theory parameters. **So the argument equally applies to all interactions of the same dimension as $D^{2k}R^4$, in particular, R^{4+k} , etc.**

Thus the highest-loop contribution for a term of dimension $2k+8$, with $k > 1$, is genus k .

As an aside remark, note that this implies that the **M-theory effective action** can only contain terms with $6n+2$ derivatives (e.g. R^{3n+1} or $D^{6n-6}R^4$) [J.R. and Tseytlin, 1998]

$$S = \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + c_1 R^4 + c_2 R^7 + c_3 R^{10} + \dots \right)$$

Reason: a term R^{4+k} produces a dependence on the coupling $g_A^{2k/3}$. For terms where k is not a multiple of 3, one gets $1/3$ powers of the coupling. Such dependence cannot possibly arise if the genus expansion stops.

The type II string effective action according to
L loop eleven dimensional supergravity.



Regularize supergravity amplitudes by a cutoff $\Lambda = 1/l_p$

- Eleven-dimensional supergravity on $S^1 =$ Type IIA

$$A(S, T, R_{11}) = A(s, t, g_s)$$

- Eleven-dimensional supergravity on $T^2 =$ Type IIB

$$\boxed{A_4(S, T, \Omega, V) = A_4(s, t, \Omega, r_B)$$

$$\Omega = \chi + i \exp(-\phi) \quad , \quad \Omega_2 = \exp(-\phi) = g_s^{-1}}$$

Low momentum expansion gives analytic terms $S^k R^4$ and massless threshold terms $s^k \text{Log}(s) R^4$

TREE-LEVEL FOUR GRAVITON AMPLITUDE

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_4 &= K \frac{1}{stu} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)\Gamma(1-t)\Gamma(1-u)}{\Gamma(1+s)\Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1+u)} = K \frac{1}{stu} \exp\left[2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(2k+1)}{2k+1} (s^{2k+1} + t^{2k+1} + u^{2k+1})\right] \\
 &= K \frac{1}{stu} (1 + 2\zeta(3)stu + \dots)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$K = \xi_1^{\mu\mu'} \xi_2^{\nu\nu'} \xi_3^{\rho\rho'} \xi_4^{\sigma\sigma'} K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(k_i) K_{\mu'\nu'\rho'\sigma'}(k_i), \quad K_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(k_i) = -\frac{1}{4} st \eta_{\mu\rho} \eta_{\nu\sigma} + \dots$$

$$[K] \rightarrow "R^4", \quad s+t+u=0, \quad s \rightarrow \frac{\alpha' s}{4}, \quad \alpha' = 4$$

Remarkably, it is a polynomial in two kinematical structures σ_2 and σ_3 :

$$A(\sigma_2, \sigma_3) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} T_{(p,q)} \sigma_2^p \sigma_3^q$$

$$\sigma_2 \equiv s^2 + t^2 + u^2, \quad \sigma_3 \equiv s^3 + t^3 + u^3 = 3stu$$

$$T_{(p,q)} = \sum c_k^{(p,q)} \zeta(r_1) \dots \zeta(r_k)$$

The **tree-level four-graviton effective action** is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S = \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} & (R + \alpha'^3 2\zeta(3)R^4 + \alpha'^5 \zeta(5)D^4 R^4 + \alpha'^6 \frac{2}{3}\zeta(3)^2 D^6 R^4 \\
 & + \alpha'^7 \frac{1}{2}\zeta(7)D^8 R^4 + \alpha'^8 \frac{2}{3}\zeta(3)\zeta(5)D^{10} R^4 \\
 & + \alpha'^9 [\frac{1}{4}\zeta(9)D^{12} R^4 + \frac{2}{27}(2\zeta(3)^3 + \zeta(9))\tilde{D}^{12} R^4] + \dots)
 \end{aligned}$$

- In **type IIB**, each $D^{2k}R^4$ term in the exact effective action will contain, in addition, perturbative (higher genus) and non-perturbative corrections. For the exact coupling, the zeta functions must be replaced by a modular function of the $SL(2,Z)$ duality group.

$$f_k(g_s) D^{2k} R^4 = \left(\frac{c_0}{g_s^2} + c_1 + c_2 g_s^2 + \dots + (\text{nonpert}) \right) D^{2k} R^4$$

- In **type IIA**, there are perturbative corrections only.

To the extent we checked, all coefficients in the higher genus amplitudes are always products of Riemann zeta functions.
 (this includes e.g. up to genus 9 coefficients)

L = 1 eleven-dimensional supergravity amplitude on T²

$$S^{L=1} = \int dx^9 r_B \sqrt{-g_{IB}} (r_B^{-2} \Lambda^3 R^4 + Z_{3/2}(\Omega) R^4 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k r_B^{-2k} Z_{k-1/2}(\Omega) D^{2k} R^4)$$

(i.e. $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r_B^{-2k} (\text{genus } 1 + \text{genus } k + \text{nonpert}) D^{2k} R^4$)

where

$$(\Delta_{\Omega} - r(r-1))Z_r = 0, \quad \Delta_{\Omega} = \Omega_2^2 (\partial_{\Omega_1}^2 + \partial_{\Omega_2}^2)$$

$$Z_r(\Omega, \bar{\Omega}) = \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\Omega_2^r}{|m + n\Omega|^2r}$$

$$= 2\zeta(2r)\Omega_2^r + \gamma_k \Omega_2^{1-r} + \sum_{n,w=1}^{\infty} c_{nw}^{(k)} \cos(2\pi wn\Omega_1) K_k(2\pi wn\Omega_2)$$

$$\Omega = \chi + i \exp(-\phi), \quad \Omega_2 = \exp(-\phi) = g_s^{-1}$$

L = 2 eleven-dimensional supergravity amplitude on T²

$$A_4^{L=2}(s, t) = r_{IIB} \left(g_s^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_{5/2}(\Omega) D^4 R^4 + g_s E_{(3/2, 3/2)}(\Omega) D^6 R^4 + \frac{g_s^2}{r_{IIB}^2} E(\Omega) D^8 R^4 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{g_s^3}{r_{IIB}^4} F(\Omega) D^{10} R^4 + \frac{g_s^4}{r_{IIB}^6} G^{(i)}(\Omega) D^{12} R^4 + \frac{g_s^4}{r_{IIB}^6} G^{(ii)}(\Omega) \tilde{D}^{12} R^4 \right) + \dots$$

$$E_{\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)} D^6 R^4 : (\Delta_\Omega - 12) E_{\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)} = -6 Z_{\frac{3}{2}}^2$$

[Green, Vanhove, 2005]

$$E D^8 R^4 : E = E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3 \\ (\Delta_\Omega - 6) E_1 = Z_{\frac{3}{2}} Z_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (\Delta_\Omega - 20) E_2 = Z_{\frac{3}{2}} Z_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (\Delta_\Omega - 42) E_3 = Z_{\frac{3}{2}} Z_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$D^{10} R^4 : F = F_0 + F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4$$

$$(\Delta_\Omega - \lambda_j) F_j = Z_{\frac{3}{2}} Z_{\frac{3}{2}} + w_j Z_{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\lambda_j = 2, 12, 30, 56, 90$$

$$D^{12} R^4 : G^{x,y} = G_0 + G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4 + G_5 + G_6$$

$$(\Delta_\Omega - \lambda_j) G_j^x = f_j^x Z_{\frac{3}{2}} Z_{\frac{5}{2}} + c_j^x Z_{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\lambda_j = 2j(2j+1) = 0, 6, 20, 42, 72, 110, 156$$

$E, F, G^{(i)}, G^{(ii)}$ are new [Green, J.R., Vanhove, june 2008]. The source terms that appeared could have been predicted using supersymmetry.

L LOOPS IN ELEVEN DIMENSIONS

$$S_L = S_L^{div} + S_L^{finite}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_L^{div} &= \sum_{m=[2k/3]_+}^{3L-3} \Lambda^{9L-6-3m} V^{k-\frac{3m}{2}} \int d^9 x V \sqrt{-G} f_{(m,k)}^{(L)}(\Omega, \bar{\Omega}) D^{2k} R^4 \\ &= \sum_{m=[2k/3]_+}^{3L-3} \Lambda^{9L-6-3m} g_B^{k-\frac{m}{2}} r_B^{2m-2k-1} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-g} f_{(m,k)}^{(L)}(\Omega, \bar{\Omega}) D^{2k} R^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_L^{finite} &= V^{3+k-\frac{9L}{2}} \int d^9 x V \sqrt{-G} f_{(k)}^{(L)}(\Omega, \bar{\Omega}) D^{2k} R^4 \\ &= g_B^{k+1-\frac{3L}{2}} r_B^{6L-2k-5} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-g} f_{(k)}^{(L)}(\Omega, \bar{\Omega}) D^{2k} R^4 \end{aligned}$$

For $L = 1$ and $L = 2$, these expressions reproduce the several terms previously obtained by explicit calculation.

The terms that decompactify in ten dimensions are linear with r_B .

For the finite part, this is the term $\mathbf{k} = 3\mathbf{L} - 3$. For the divergent part, it is $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{k} + 1$

L = 3 eleven-dimensional supergravity amplitude on T²

This was not computed explicitly. Combining the general formula with some genus 1 data one gets

$$A_4^{L=3}(s,t) = r_B^5 \zeta(5) D^6 R^4 + (r_B g_B^{3/2} E_{7/2} + r_B^3 g_B E_X + r_B^5 g_B^{1/2} E_Y) D^8 R^4 + \dots$$

Similarly, one can write down the expected L loop modular functions for general L.

Consider the IIB effective action in **nine** dimensions.

The modular group is $SL(2,Z) \times R^+$, where $SL(2,Z)$ acts on the complexified coupling constant Ω and R^+ acts on the size of the circle r_B .

Its action is naturally defined on the dimensionless volume ν of the compactification manifold measured in the ten-dimensional Planck length unit

$$\nu \equiv g_B^{1/2} / r_B^2$$

$$S_{10d} = \int dx^{10} \sqrt{-g_E^{(10)}} \left(R - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_\mu \Omega \partial^\mu \bar{\Omega}}{\Omega_2^2} \right)$$

$$(e^{(10)})_\mu^r = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^{-\frac{1}{28}} e_\mu^r & \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_\mu^9 \\ 0 & \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_{9d} = \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_E^{(9)}} \left(R - \frac{2}{7} \nu^{-2} \partial_\mu \nu \partial^\mu \nu - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_\mu \Omega \partial^\mu \bar{\Omega}}{\Omega_2^2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{(9)} = \Delta_\Omega + \frac{7}{4} \nu^2 \partial_\nu^2 + \frac{9}{4} \nu \partial_\nu$$

TAKE GENERAL L LOOP FORMULA APPLIED TO R^4 , D^4R^4 AND D^6R^4

$$R^4 : \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IB}} r_B (Z_{3/2}(\Omega) + r_B^{-2} 4\zeta(2)) R^4 \equiv \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IB}} M_0(\Omega, r_B) R^4$$

$$(\mathbf{L} = 1) \quad (\mathbf{L} = 1) \Lambda^3$$

$$\left(\Delta^{(9)} - \frac{6}{7}\right)M_0 = 0$$

$$\Delta^{(9)} = \Delta_\Omega + \frac{7}{4}v^2 \partial_v^2 + \frac{9}{4}v \partial_v, \quad v \equiv g_B^{1/2} / r_B^2$$

$$D^4R^4 : \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IB}} r_B (Z_{5/2}(\Omega) + r_B^2 \frac{8}{15} \zeta(2)\zeta(3) + r_B^{-4} \frac{4}{15} Z_{3/2}(\Omega)) D^4R^4$$

$$(\mathbf{L} = 2) \quad (\mathbf{L} = 2) \Lambda^3 \quad (\mathbf{L} = 1)$$

$$\equiv \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IB}} M_4(\Omega, r_B) D^4R^4$$

$$\left(\Delta^{(9)} - \frac{30}{7}\right)M_4 = 0$$

$$D^6 R^4 : \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IIB}} r_B \left(E_{\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)}(\Omega) + r_B^{-2} Z_{3/2}(\Omega) + r_B^{-6} \frac{12}{63} Z_{5/2}(\Omega) + r_B^4 \frac{24}{63} \zeta(5) + r_B^{-4} \frac{48}{5} \zeta(2) \right) D^6 R^4$$

$$\equiv \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IIB}} M_6(\Omega, r_B) D^6 R^4$$

$$\left(\Delta^{(9)} - \frac{90}{7} \right) M_6 = -6 M_0^2 \quad (\mathbf{L} = 2) \quad (\mathbf{L} = 2) \quad (\mathbf{L} = 1) \quad (\mathbf{L} = 3) \Lambda^3 \quad (\mathbf{L} = 2)$$

These results can be compared to the 8d modular function of R^4 [Kiritsis, Pioline, 1997] and the 8d modular functions of $D^4 R^4$ and $D^6 R^4$ [Basu, 2007]

Taking the 9d limit on these modular functions, we reproduce the above results.

The differential equations that determine the different modular functions also dictate that they contain a finite number of perturbative contributions. Namely that the corresponding higher derivative coupling is not renormalized beyond a given genus

$$D^8 R^4 : \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IIB}} r_B \left(\frac{2}{315} r_B^{-8} Z_{7/2} + r_B^{-2} E(\Omega) + r_B^{-4} Z_{1/2} + Z_{7/2} + r_B^2 E_X + r_B^4 E_Y + r_B^6 \frac{\zeta(7)}{525} \right) D^8 R^4$$

$(L=1)$
 $(L=2)$
 $(L=2)$
 $(L=3)$
 $(L=3)$
 $(L=3)$
 $(L=4)$

$$\equiv \int dx^9 \sqrt{-g_{IIB}} M_8(\Omega, r_B) D^8 R^4$$

$$(?) \quad M_8(\Omega, r_B) = M_8^{(\lambda_1)}(\Omega, r_B) + \dots + M_8^{(\lambda_n)}(\Omega, r_B)$$

$$\left(\Delta^{(9)} - \lambda_i \right) M_8^{(\lambda_i)} = ?$$

Problem: presence of terms $O(\exp(-1/r_B))$ in genus one amplitude [GRV 2008].

This implies that the complete M_8 contains terms $O(\exp(-1/r_B))$.

These are difficult to calculate. But they could be generated automatically once the correct differential equation is known.

CONCLUSIONS

1. UV BEHAVIOR OF $N = 8$ SUPERGRAVITY

We have argued that for all $D^{2k}R^4$ couplings the perturbative expansion stops at genus k (Agreement up to 18 derivatives with the non-renormalization theorems derived by Berkovits).

This seems to imply finiteness of $N=8$ supergravity.

Conversely, finiteness of $N = 8$ supergravity would imply that all $D^{2k}R^4$ are not renormalized in string theory beyond some given genus.

2. TYPE II EFFECTIVE ACTION

With a few string theory inputs one can determine the full string-theory quantum S matrix just from loops in 11D supergravity.

New modular functions for higher derivative couplings up to $D^{12}R^4$. They obey Poisson equations with different eigenvalues.

It would be important to see if also modular functions arising from $L = 3$ supergravity are determined by differential equations having as source terms modular functions of lower derivative terms (this would give independent evidence on non-renormalization theorems).

General structure of modular functions arising from L loop supergravity (combining power counting and string dualities).

The *complete* modular function multiplying a given coupling R^4 , D^4R^4 or D^6R^4 , in nine dimensions, (which is a sum of several pieces originating from different loop orders in 11d supergravity) is determined by a simple differential equation.

Structure of differential equations expected from supersymmetry

$$\delta \Phi = (\delta^{(0)} + \alpha'^3 \delta^{(3)} + \alpha'^5 \delta^{(5)} + \dots) \Phi$$

$$S = S^{(0)} + \alpha'^3 S^{(3)} + \alpha'^5 S^{(5)} + \dots$$

$$\rightarrow 0 = \delta^{(6)} S^{(0)} + \delta^{(3)} S^{(3)} + \delta^{(0)} S^{(6)}$$

This leads to the Poisson equation defining $E_{(3/2,3/2)}$ with source term $Z_{3/2} Z_{3/2}$

Similarly, one can predict the source terms for E,F,G_i,G_{ii}