jets in heavy ion collisions :: from theory to phenomenology

José Guilherme Milhano

Universidade de Santiago de Compostela & CERN PH-TH guilherme.milhano@cern.ch





h3QCD workshop, ECT* Trento, 19th June 2013

the study of jets

[reconstructed jets and their high-pt hadronic content] in heavy ion collisions aims at their use as probes of the properties of the hot, dense and coloured matter created in the collisions the study of jets [reconstructed jets and their high-pt hadronic content] in heavy ion collisions aims at their use as probes of the properties of the hot, dense and coloured matter created in the collisions

#1 establishing the probe

the study of jets [reconstructed jets and their high-pt hadronic content] in heavy ion collisions aims at their use as probes of the properties of the hot, dense and coloured matter created in the collisions

#1 establishing the probe

#2 probing the medium



vacuum jets under overall excellent theoretical control

• factorization of initial and final state

jet :: collimated spray of hadrons resulting from the QCD branching of a hard [high-p_t] parton and subsequent hadronization of fragments and grouped according to given procedure [jet algorithm] and for given defining parameters [eg, jet radius]



in HIC jets traverse sizable in-medium pathlength

jet :: collimated spray of hadrons resulting from the QCD branching of a hard [high-p_t] parton and subsequent hadronization of fragments and grouped according to given procedure [jet algorithm] and for given defining parameters [eg, jet radius]



same factorizable structure [challengeable working hypothesis]





[calculable to arbitrary pQCD order]





- faithfully implemented in MC generators **medium modified**
- induced radiation [radiative energy loss]
- broadening of all partons traversing medium
- energy/momentum transfer to medium [elastic energy loss]
- strong modification of coherence properties
- modification of colour correlations



- time delayed [high enough pt] thus outside medium
- colour correlations of hadronizing system changed

fragmentation outside medium = vacuum FFs ???



very well [and perturbatively] understood in vacuum

- coherence between successive splittings leads to angular ordering
- faithfully implemented in MC generators

medium modified

- induced radiation [radiative energy loss]
- broadening of all partons traversing medium
- energy/momentum transfer to medium [elastic energy loss]
- strong modification of coherence properties
- modification of colour correlations

in vacuum

- effective description in MC [Lund strings, clusters, ...]
- FF for specific final state [jet, hadron class/species, ...]

in medium

- time delayed [high enough pt] thus outside medium
- colour correlations of hadronizing system changed

¹⁰ fragmentation outside medium = vacuum FFs ???



jet quenching ::

observable consequences [in jet and jet-like hadronic observables] of the effect of the medium

very well [and perturbatively] understood in vacuum

- coherence between successive splittings leads to angular ordering
- faithfully implemented in MC generators

medium modified

- induced radiation [radiative energy loss]
- broadening of all partons traversing medium
- energy/momentum transfer to medium [elastic energy loss]
- strong modification of coherence properties
- modification of colour correlations

in vacuum

- effective description in MC [Lund strings, clusters, ...]
- FF for specific final state [jet, hadron class/species, ...]

in medium

- time delayed [high enough pt] thus outside medium
- colour correlations of hadronizing system changed

¹⁰ fragmentation outside medium = vacuum FFs ???

to establish quenched jets [their hadron 'jet-like' and full jet observables] as medium probes requires a full theoretical account of

• QCD branching

• effect on hadronization [if any]

in the presence of a generic medium

and

a detailed assessment of the sensitivity of observables to specific medium effects

:: probe ::

physical object/process under strict theoretical control for which a definite relationship between its observable properties and those of the probed system can be established

• prior to medium formation [$\tau^{med} \sim 0.1$ fm]

- hard skeleton defined [3-jet rates, hard frag, ...]
- effect of Glasma ?

• prior to medium formation [$\tau^{med} \sim 0.1$ fm]

- hard skeleton defined [3-jet rates, hard frag, ...]
- effect of Glasma ?
- during medium traversal [~ few fm] :: modification of formation times
 - enhanced radiation [in-medium splitting functions]
 - broadening [large for very soft]
 - breakdown of colour coherence
 - modification of colour correlations
 - E-p transfer to medium

• prior to medium formation [$\tau^{med} \sim 0.1$ fm]

- hard skeleton defined [3-jet rates, hard frag, ...]
- effect of Glasma ?
- during medium traversal [~ few fm] :: modification of formation times
 - enhanced radiation [in-medium splitting functions]
 - broadening [large for very soft]
 - breakdown of colour coherence
 - modification of colour correlations
 - E-p transfer to medium
- after medium escape
 - vacuum branching
 - hadronization of colour modified system

• prior to medium formation [$\tau^{med} \sim 0.1$ fm]

- hard skeleton defined [3-jet rates, hard frag, ...]
- effect of Glasma ?

• during medium traversal [~ few fm] :: modification of formation times

- enhanced radiation [in-medium splitting functions]
- broadening [large for very soft]
- breakdown of colour coherence o
- modification of colour correlations 🔿
- E-p transfer to medium
- after medium escape
 - vacuum branching
 - hadronization of colour modified system

• prior to medium formation [$\tau^{med} \sim 0.1$ fm]

- hard skeleton defined [3-jet rates, hard frag, ...]
- effect of Glasma ?

• during medium traversal [~ few fm] :: modification of formation times

- enhanced radiation [in-medium splitting functions]
- broadening [large for very soft] •
- breakdown of colour coherence o
- modification of colour correlations or
- E-p transfer to medium
- after medium escape
 - vacuum branching
 - hadronization of colour modified system

most [all?] questions asked, many [most?] being answered

 energy of radiated gluon assumed [not in AMY] much smaller than that of emitter [x=ω/E«1] but emission spectrum computed for all allowed phase space with violation of energy-momentum conservation cured by explicit cut-offs

energy of radiated gluon assumed [not in AMY] much smaller than that of emitter [x=ω/E«1] but emission spectrum computed for all allowed phase space with violation of energy-momentum conservation cured by explicit cut-offs

← large-x limit computed in path-integral formalism, explicitly in the multiple soft scattering approximation, and small-large x interpolating ansatz Apolinário, Armesto, Salgado [1204.2929]

energy of radiated gluon assumed [not in AMY] much smaller than that of emitter [x=ω/E«1] but emission spectrum computed for all allowed phase space with violation of energy-momentum conservation cured by explicit cut-offs

← large-x limit computed in path-integral formalism, explicitly in the multiple soft scattering approximation, and small-large x interpolating ansatz Apolinário, Armesto, Salgado [1204.2929]

 \hookrightarrow general case computed in SCET

d'Eramo, Liu, Rajagopal [1006.1367] Ovanesyan & Vitev [1103.1074, 1109.5619]

—o energy of radiated gluon assumed [not in AMY] much smaller than that of emitter [x=ω/E«1] but emission spectrum computed for all allowed phase space with violation of energy-momentum conservation cured by explicit cut-offs

← large-x limit computed in path-integral formalism, explicitly in the multiple soft scattering approximation, and small-large x interpolating ansatz Apolinário, Armesto, Salgado [1204.2929]

 \hookrightarrow general case computed in SCET

d'Eramo, Liu, Rajagopal [1006.1367] Ovanesyan & Vitev [1103.1074, 1109.5619]

 \hookrightarrow all-x in path-integral formalism

Apolinário, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado [1306.xxxx]

broadening

- medium induced radiand from a specet part revisited

$$\mathcal{R}_q^{\text{med}} \approx 4\omega \int_0^L dt' \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}', L - t') \sin\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}'^2}{2k_f^2}\right) e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}'^2}{2k_f^2}}$$
quantum emission/broadening

during formation time classical broadening Classical broadening $Q_s^2 = \hat{q}L$ $Q_s^2 = \hat{q}L$ $T_f = \sqrt{\omega/\hat{q}}$

AN IMPORTANT LESSON FROM DATA

large broadening [beyond quasi-eikonal] is a prominent dynamical mechanism for jet energy loss [dijet asymmetry]

0

broadening [jet collimation]

AN IMPORTANT LESSON FROM DATA

large broadening [beyond quasi-eikonal] is a prominent dynamical mechanism for jet energy loss [dijet asymmetry]

 in-medium formation time for small angle and soft gluons [vacuum] is very short

 democratic broadening is a large effect for soft partons
 soft radiation decorrelated from jet direction/transported to large angles

• enhancement of soft fragments outside the jet

 $\tau \sim \frac{\omega}{k_{\perp}^2} \xrightarrow{} \langle \tau \rangle \sim \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\hat{q}}}$ $\langle k_{\perp} \rangle \sim \sqrt{\hat{q}L}$ $\omega \leq \sqrt{\hat{q}L}$

broadening [jet collimation]

AN IMPORTANT LESSON FROM DATA

large broadening [beyond quasi-eikonal] is a prominent dynamical mechanism for jet energy loss [dijet asymmetry]

 in-medium formation time for small angle and soft gluons [vacuum] is very short

 democratic broadening is a large effect for soft partons
 soft radiation decorrelated from jet direction/transported to large angles

• enhancement of soft fragments outside the jet

 $\begin{aligned} \tau \sim \frac{\omega}{k_{\perp}^2} &\longrightarrow \langle \tau \rangle \sim \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\hat{q}}} \\ \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle \sim \hat{q}\tau \end{aligned}$ $(k_{\perp}) \sim \sqrt{\hat{q}L}$





Casalderrey-Solana, Milhano, Wiedemann [1105.1760] Qin & Muller [1012.5280]













good qualitative description of average medium induced asymmetry

does not disturb azimuthal correlation

--- geometry

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion

--- geometry

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion

---- parametrized NLO jet spectrum

— geometry

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion
- ---- parametrized NLO jet spectrum
- ---- energy loss fluctuations

— geometry

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion
- ---- parametrized NLO jet spectrum
- ---- energy loss fluctuations
 - \hookrightarrow average number of vacuum gluons from MLLA [spectrum at Q₀ = 1 GeV]

— geometry

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion
- —o parametrized NLO jet spectrum
- ---- energy loss fluctuations
 - \hookrightarrow average number of vacuum gluons from MLLA [spectrum at Q₀ = 1 GeV]
 - \hookrightarrow event-by-event number of gluons with Poissonian assumption
jet collimation

— geometry

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion
- —o parametrized NLO jet spectrum
- —o energy loss fluctuations
 - \hookrightarrow average number of vacuum gluons from MLLA [spectrum at $Q_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$]
 - \hookrightarrow event-by-event number of gluons with Poissonian assumption
 - \hookrightarrow additional medium induced gluons from Gaussian distributed 'BDMPS' formula
 - path length dependent
 - event-by-event with [independent] Poissonian assumption



jet collimation

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion
- —o parametrized NLO jet spectrum
- energy loss fluctuations
 - \hookrightarrow average number of vacuum gluons from MLLA [spectrum at $Q_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$]

 - \hookrightarrow additional medium induced gluons from Gaussian distributed 'BDMPS' formula
 - path length dependent
 - event-by-event with [independent] Poissonian assumption
 - qhat is the ONLY variable parameter

$$\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega} = \frac{C_R}{\pi} \alpha_s \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}L^2}{\omega}}$$
[= 0.3]

jet collimation

— geometry

- \hookrightarrow path length fluctuations with realistic nuclear profile
- \longleftrightarrow all distances density weighed and account for $1/\tau$ expansion
- —o parametrized NLO jet spectrum
- energy loss fluctuations
 - \hookrightarrow average number of vacuum gluons from MLLA [spectrum at $Q_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$]
 - \hookrightarrow event-by-event number of gluons with Poissonian assumption
 - \hookrightarrow additional medium induced gluons from Gaussian distributed 'BDMPS' formula
 - path length dependent
 - event-by-event with [independent] Poissonian assumption
 - qhat is the ONLY variable parameter
 - ←→ vacuum baseline from data [CMS]



energy dependence of dijet imbalance



broadening [jet collimation]



broadening [jet collimation]





- hadronization is effectively accounted for by

- ← [analytically] defining universal fragmentation functions [the probability of a given final state hadron, jet to arise from the showering and subsequent hadronization of fragments]
 - known perturbative evolution
 - initial conditions constrained from globally fitting data

- hadronization is effectively accounted for by

- → [analytically] defining universal fragmentation functions [the probability of a given final state – hadron, jet – to arise from the showering and subsequent hadronization of fragments]
 - known perturbative evolution
 - initial conditions constrained from globally fitting data

use of vacuum FF in HIC assumes no medium modification of hadronization

—o hadronization is effectively accounted for by

- → [analytically] defining universal fragmentation functions [the probability of a given final state hadron, jet to arise from the showering and subsequent hadronization of fragments]
 - known perturbative evolution
 - initial conditions constrained from globally fitting data

use of vacuum FF in HIC assumes no medium modification of hadronization

- ←> [event generators] through effective modeling of assemblage of final state partons colored partons into colorless hadrons
 - [HERWIG] color singlet clusters
 - [PYTHIA] Lund strings
 - phenomenologically successful for elementary collisions

—o hadronization is effectively accounted for by

- → [analytically] defining universal fragmentation functions [the probability of a given final state – hadron, jet – to arise from the showering and subsequent hadronization of fragments]
 - known perturbative evolution
 - initial conditions constrained from globally fitting data

use of vacuum FF in HIC assumes no medium modification of hadronization

- ←> [event generators] through effective modeling of assemblage of final state partons colored partons into colorless hadrons
 - [HERWIG] color singlet clusters
 - [PYTHIA] Lund strings
 - phenomenologically successful for elementary collisions

color correlations between final state partons [+ kinematics] determine hadronic output



- energy/momentum exchanges
- modification of color correlations



—o revisit in-medium q \rightarrow qg and g \rightarrow gg 'colour-differentially'

- —o revisit in-medium q \rightarrow qg $\,$ and g \rightarrow gg 'colour-differentially'
 - → proof of principle calculation in large N_c [consistent with hadronization treatment] and N=1 order in opacity
 - [opacity] expansion in powers of [in-medium path-length]/[elastic mean free path] captures essential features :: single gluon exchange with medium
 - robustness checked at higher [N=2] opacity orders and for radiation outside medium

- —o revisit in-medium q \rightarrow qg $\,$ and g \rightarrow gg 'colour-differentially'
 - → proof of principle calculation in large N_c [consistent with hadronization treatment] and N=1 order in opacity
 - [opacity] expansion in powers of [in-medium path-length]/[elastic mean free path] captures essential features :: single gluon exchange with medium
 - robustness checked at higher [N=2] opacity orders and for radiation outside medium
- --- Lund [PYTHIA] strings in the following
 - ←→ same conclusions from clustering [HERWIG]



- —o multiplicity and distribution of hadrons resulting from 'string' depends essentially on the 'string length' [separation of endpoints in momentum space]
- —o final-state quark and radiated gluon always part of same string
- only correlation is between primary parton and 'beam remnant'



--- most radiated gluons color-connected with projectile fragment



-o most radiated gluons color-connected with projectile fragment

--- only $g \rightarrow qqbar$ can break color connection

 \hookrightarrow splitting with no soft enhancement [~ z^2 + (1-z)²]



-o most radiated gluons color-connected with projectile fragment

- only $g \rightarrow qqbar$ can break color connection

 \hookrightarrow splitting with no soft enhancement [~ z^2 + (1-z)²]

• compare with $g \rightarrow gg [\sim (1-z)/z + z/(1-z) + z(1-z)]$



— medium interaction prior to gluon emission

 \hookrightarrow 'vacuum like' string from high to low [thermal] pt and including the gluon



— medium interaction prior to gluon emission

 \hookrightarrow 'vacuum like' string from high to low [thermal] pt and including the gluon

— medium interaction after gluon emission

←→ gluon NOT in the leading parton string and thus decohered



— medium interaction prior to gluon emission

 \hookrightarrow 'vacuum like' string from high to low [thermal] pt and including the gluon

—o medium interaction after gluon emission

←→ gluon NOT in the leading parton string and thus decohered

—o medium interaction with gluon contributes to both channels



— medium interaction prior to gluon emission

 \hookrightarrow 'vacuum like' string from high to low [thermal] pt and including the gluon

---- medium interaction after gluon emission

←→ gluon NOT in the leading parton string and thus decohered

—o medium interaction with gluon contributes to both channels

—o obvious overlap with decoherence [antenna] calculations

--- colour differential antenna Beraudo, Milhano, Salgado

the existence of color modified channels [those in which the emitted gluon is decohered] leads to softnening of hadronic spectra irrespectively of the strength of radiative energy loss

N=1 opacity [colour inclusive]



$$k^{+} \frac{dI^{\text{med}}}{dk^{+} d\mathbf{k}} = \zeta \frac{\alpha_{s} C_{R}}{\pi^{2}} \left\langle \left((\mathbf{K_{0}} - \mathbf{K_{1}})^{2} - \mathbf{K_{0}}^{2} + \mathbf{K_{1}}^{2} \right) \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}} \right\rangle \qquad \mathbf{K_{0}} \equiv \mathbf{k}/\mathbf{k}^{2} \\ \mathbf{K_{1}} \equiv (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})/(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})^{2} \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{I}} = \left(1 - \frac{\sin\left(\omega_{1}^{-} L^{+}\right)}{\omega_{1}^{-} L^{+}} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 1/\omega_{1}^{-} \ll L^{+} \\ 0 & \text{for } 1/\omega_{1}^{-} \gg L^{+} \end{cases}$$

- medium modifications only for quanta of sufficiently short formation time

$$1/\omega_1^- \equiv 2k^+/\left(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}\right)^2 \ll L^+$$

colour differentially

--- in the large N_c limit it is straightforward to identify distinct colour channels which do not interfere



two distinct colour channels: 'vac like' aa1 [FSR] & 'medium modified' a1a [ISR]
 contact terms 'subtract' from no interaction case to preserve probability

N=1 result

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}_1^{aa_1}|^2 \rangle \sim \left\langle (\mathbf{K}_0 - \mathbf{K}_1)^2 + \mathbf{K}_1^2 + 2\mathbf{K}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{K}_0 - \mathbf{K}_1) \frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_1 L^+]}{\overline{\omega}_1 L^+} \right\rangle$$

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}_{1}^{a_{1}a}|^{2} \rangle \sim 2 \left(1 - \frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}]}{\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}} \right) \mathbf{K}_{0}^{2} + 2 \left\langle \left(1 - \frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_{1}L^{+}]}{\overline{\omega}_{1}L^{+}} \right) \mathbf{K}_{1}^{2} \right\rangle \\ - \left\langle 2 \left(1 - \frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}]}{\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}} - \frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_{1}L^{+}]}{\overline{\omega}_{1}L^{+}} + \frac{\sin[(\overline{\omega}_{1} - \overline{\omega}_{0})L^{+}]}{(\overline{\omega}_{1} - \overline{\omega}_{0})L^{+}} \right) \mathbf{K}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{1} \right\rangle \\ 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathcal{M}_{2}\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*} \rangle \sim - \mathbf{K}_{0}^{2} - 2 \left(1 - \frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}]}{\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}} \right) \mathbf{K}_{0}^{2} \\ - 2 \left\langle \left(\frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}]}{\overline{\omega}_{0}L^{+}} - \frac{\sin[(\overline{\omega}_{1} - \overline{\omega}_{0})L^{+}]}{(\overline{\omega}_{1} - \overline{\omega}_{0})L^{+}} \right) \mathbf{K}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{1} \right\rangle$$

$$k^{+} \frac{dI^{\text{med}}}{dk^{+} dk_{g}} = C_{R} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{L^{+}}{\lambda_{\text{el}}^{+}} \left\langle \left((\boldsymbol{K}_{0} - \boldsymbol{K}_{1})^{2} - \boldsymbol{K}_{0}^{2} + \boldsymbol{K}_{1}^{2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\sin[\overline{\omega}_{1}L^{+}]}{\overline{\omega}_{1}L^{+}} \right) \right\rangle$$

formation times

- additional formation time becomes relevant [final state gluon]

 $1/\omega_0^- \equiv 2k^+/{\bf k}^2$

--- look at phenomenologically most relevant limit

 \hookrightarrow there is parton energy loss and final state gluon has short formation time $1/\omega_1^-, 1/\omega_0^- \ll L^+$

$$k^{+} \frac{dI^{\text{med}}}{dk^{+} d\boldsymbol{k}_{g}} \bigg|_{aa_{1}} \approx \frac{C_{F}}{2} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{L^{+}}{\lambda_{g}^{+}} \left\langle (\boldsymbol{K}_{0} - \boldsymbol{K}_{1})^{2} + \boldsymbol{K}_{1}^{2} \right\rangle ,$$

$$k^{+} \frac{dI^{\text{med}}}{dk^{+} d\boldsymbol{k}_{g}} \bigg|_{a_{1}a} \approx \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\omega_{i}L^{+} \to \infty} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi^{2}} \left[\frac{L^{+}}{\lambda_{g}^{+}} \left(\frac{C_{F}}{2} \right) \left(\left\langle (\boldsymbol{K}_{0} - \boldsymbol{K}_{1})^{2} \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{K}_{1}^{2} \right\rangle \right) + \frac{L^{+}}{\lambda_{q}^{+}} C_{F} \boldsymbol{K}_{0}^{2} \right]$$

$$k^{+} \frac{dI^{\text{med}}}{dk^{+} d\boldsymbol{k}_{g}} \bigg|_{a} \approx \frac{C_{F}}{\omega_{i}L^{+} \to \infty} \frac{C_{F}}{2} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{L^{+}}{\lambda_{g}^{+}} \left(-3\boldsymbol{K}_{0}^{2} \right) .$$

medium modified channel [gluon decohered] accounts for more than half the cases

Y

a curious kinematic window

 $1/\omega_0^- \ll L^+ \ll 1/\omega_1^-$



- color inclusive medium-induced radiation vanishes

 HOWEVER, 2/3 of medium induced gluons [compensated by depletion of vacuum radiation] are in a color modified channel

 \hookrightarrow resulting hadrons will be softened...

hadronizing parton showers with medmod flow



hadronizing parton showers with medmod flow₃₃



further emissions outside



single hadron spectra

—o single hadron spectra sensitive to the hard tail of the fragmentation function

- \hookrightarrow FF convoluted with steeply falling spectra, thus sensitive to higher moments
- $\hookrightarrow \text{ for same parton energy loss, colour connections can be significant source of suppression [contribution to R_{AA}]}$



very appealing pQCD based overall picture

BUT

can we confidently exclude a conceptually different scenario in which strong jet-medium coupling effects drag energy from all jet 'propagators' and 'vertices' remain pQCD like ???

are there quasi-particles ?

--- do hard probes have finite mean free paths?

- ← all pQCD based approaches assume so
- → in AdS/CFT [strong coupling] constructions
 - heavy quarks propagate without mean free path :: lost energy goes into Mach cone and wake
 - light quarks/jets propagate towards thermalization :: no collinear structure [hedgehog jets]

are there quasi-particles ?

—o do hard probes have finite mean free paths?

- \hookrightarrow all pQCD based approaches assume so
- → in AdS/CFT [strong coupling] constructions
 - heavy quarks propagate without mean free path :: lost energy goes into Mach cone and wake
 Prob(k^{min}_⊥, ∞) 0.0030 ⊢
 - light quarks/jets propagate towards
 [hedgehog jets]
 0.0020
 0.0015

0.0010



←→ rare but measurable events


the truth is in data

- theory validation [constraining dynamics] requires

- \hookrightarrow multi-observable description [R_{AA}, I_{AA} (jets, hadrons), jet asym, shapes, FFs, ...]
 - understand specific biases [pathlength, etc.] and sensitivities to dynamical mechanisms



sensitivity of I_{AA} to weight of elastic energy loss

Renk [1110.2313,1112.2503,1202.4579.1212.0646]

consistency

—o theory validation [constraining dynamics] requires

 \hookrightarrow RHIC to LHC description



Gyulassi, Horowitz [1104.4958] Betz, Gyulassi [1201.0281]

consistency

—• theory validation [constraining dynamics] requires

$\hookrightarrow \dots$

→ assessment of importance of NLO corrections



- \hookrightarrow jet reconstruction [as in exp]

Cacciari, Salam, Soyez, Quiroga [1209.6086] Apolinário, Armesto, Cunqueiro [1211.1161]

←→ detector response [exp unfold/ph fold :: we need to decide]

outlook

• in just over ten years jet quenching has gone from 'an idea' to a robust experimental reality

recent efforts have established a clear pathway to conclude [soon] the 'establish the probe' programme
recent efforts have readied the necessary [embedding] tools for realistic

medium probing

• pA as complementary baseline [CNM]

- time to think hard about 'new' observables
 - direct sensitivity to formation times
 - sensitivity to different time and spacial scales
 - isolation of 'pure' sample of strongly modified jets

IS2013

International Conference on the Initial Stages in High-Energy Nuclear Collisions



8-14 September, Illa da Toxa (Galicia-Spain)

Abstract submission is open - send your abstracts before the deadline July 7th 2013

http://igfae.usc.es/is2013/

BACKUPS

where does hadronization happen ?



- —o unmodified parton shower, embedded in semi-realistic collision geometry
 - → large fraction of splittings in history of fragments in reconstructed jet occur outside the medium
 - → hadronization, which follows last splittings, is arguably outside [and more so for high-pt fragments]



Casalderrey-Solana, Milhano, Quiroga-Arias [1111.0310]

where does hadronization happen ?



- mild dependence on jet reconstruction radius
- mild dependence on fragment momentum fraction
- —o induced radiation can/will shift splittings to earlier times



illustration



--- data to guide the eye

 \hookrightarrow ASW RHIC computation with low qhat

#2 probing the medium

meaningful determination of medium properties

requires embedding of faithful jet dynamics

in realistic medium description

[partly constrained elsewhere]

realistic medium

 establish relationship between properties of realistic medium and parameters effecting jet quenching

 \hookrightarrow first principle [SU(2) lattice] computation of

Majumder [1202.5295]

$$\hat{q} = \frac{4\pi^{2}\alpha_{s}}{N_{c}} \int \frac{dy^{-}d^{2}y_{\perp}d^{2}k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{i\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}y^{-}}{2q^{-}} - ik_{\perp} \cdot y_{\perp}} \left\langle P \left| \mathbf{Tr} \left[F_{\perp}^{a + \mu}(y^{-}, y_{\perp}) U^{\dagger}(\infty^{-}, y_{\perp}; 0^{-}, y_{\perp}) T^{\dagger}(\infty^{-}, \infty_{\perp}; \infty^{-}, y_{\perp}) U^{\dagger}(\infty^{-}, \infty_{\perp}; \infty^{-}, 0_{\perp}) U^{\dagger}(\infty^{-}, 0_{\perp}; 0^{-}, 0_{\perp}) F_{\perp, \mu}^{b +} \right] \right| P \right\rangle$$

 \hookrightarrow for a weakly coupled medium

Eramo, Lekaveckas, Liu, Rajagopal [1211.1922]

- full embedding of probe in dynamical hydro medium [Monte Carlo]

←→ most complete effort :: MARTINI + MUSIC

- hard partons from Pythia
- McGill-AMY for radiative and elastic
- 3+1 hydro medium

MC efforts reviewed by K Zapp [QM2011]