On Possible Implications of Gluon Number Fluctuations in DIS Data

Wenchang Xiang

Bielefeld University

QCD school in Les Houches,France March 26, 2008

Kozlov, Shoshi, Xiang JHEP10(2007)20, arXiv:0707.4142

A (10) + A (10) +

Outline

• "Mean field equations":

- Kovchegov and B-JIMWLK equations
- Hallmark of "mean field" evolution equations:

Geometrical scaling $T(r, Y) = T(r^2 Q_s^2(Y))$

Beyond mean field:

- Gluon number fluctuations or pomeron loops
- Pomeron loop equations

 $\begin{array}{l} - \text{ Hallmark of pomeron loop equations: Diffusive scaling} \\ < \textit{T}(\textit{r},\textit{Y}) > = \textit{T}(\frac{\ln(\bar{G}_{s}^{2}(\textit{Y})\textit{r}^{2})}{\sqrt{\alpha_{s}\textit{Y}/\ln^{3}(1/\alpha_{s}^{2})}}) \end{array}$

- Numercial study DIS data
- Summary

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

Mean field equations

Kovchegov equation:

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \left\langle T \right\rangle_{Y} \propto \bar{\alpha}_{s} \left[\left\langle T \right\rangle_{Y} - \left\langle T \right\rangle_{Y} \left\langle T \right\rangle_{Y} \right]$

• $\langle T \rangle_Y \langle T \rangle_Y$; non-linear evolution, $\langle T \rangle_Y \leq 1$. The solution in saturation region $T(r, Y) = 1 - C_0 \exp \left[-C_1(\rho - \rho_s(Y))^2\right]$ $\rho = \ln(1/r^2 Q_0^2), \rho_s(Y) = \ln(Q_s^2(Y)/Q_0^2)$

•
$$\langle T \rangle_{Y} \ll 1$$
; linear BFKL equation, $\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \langle T \rangle_{Y} \propto \bar{\alpha}_{s} \langle T \rangle_{Y}$
 $\langle T \rangle \sim \exp [c\bar{\alpha}_{s}Y] \longrightarrow$ unitarity violation!
Solution to BFKL equation with saturation
boundary($T \ll 1$ but not too samll):
 $T(r, Y) = C_{2} \exp \left[-\lambda_{s}(\rho - \rho_{s}(Y)) - \frac{(\rho - \rho_{s}(Y))^{2}}{2\bar{\alpha}\chi^{"}(\lambda_{s})Y}\right]$
for $1 \ll \rho - \rho_{s}(Y) \ll 2\chi^{"}(\lambda_{s})\bar{\alpha}_{s}Y$
 $\frac{(\rho - \rho_{s}(Y))^{2}}{2\bar{\alpha}\chi^{"}(\lambda_{s})Y} \longrightarrow$ violate the Geometrical scaling





3/15

Within a restricted window, $\rho - \rho_s(Y) \ll \sqrt{2\chi^{"}(\lambda_s)\bar{\alpha}_s Y}$ $T(r, Y) \sim C_2 \exp[-\lambda_s(\rho - \rho_s(Y))]$

Geometrical scaling

B-JIMWLK equations:

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \langle T \rangle_{Y} \propto \bar{\alpha}_{s} \left[\langle T \rangle_{Y} - \langle TT \rangle_{Y} \right], \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \langle TT \rangle_{Y} \propto \bar{\alpha}_{s} \left[\langle TT \rangle_{Y} - \langle TTT \rangle_{Y} \right], \\ \text{Mean field approximation: } \langle TT \rangle_{Y} \approx \langle T \rangle_{Y} \langle T \rangle_{Y} \rightarrow \text{Kovchegov} \\ \text{equation} \end{array}$$

• Numerical result [Rummukainen, Weigert 04]:

$$\langle T
angle_Y^{\textit{Kovchegov}} pprox \langle T
angle_Y^{\textit{B-JIMWLK}}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Models of amplitude and Geometrical Scaling



Does the Geometrical Scaling is unique possibility to arrange the HERA data ?

Does the violation of the geometric scaling come from BK-diffusion term or from gluon number fluctuations(Pomeron loops) ?

NOT

6/15

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Shortcomings of "mean field equations": Pomeron loops

Two dipoles scattering off a target [lancu, Triantafyllopoulos 2005]:



Pomeron loops missed!



Wenchang Xiang (Uni Bielefeld)

On Possible Implications of Gluon Number Fluctuations in DIS Data

Pomeron loop equations

Hierarchy:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \langle T \rangle_{Y} \propto \alpha_{s} \left[\langle T \rangle_{Y} - \langle T T \rangle_{Y} \right]$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \langle T T \rangle_{Y} \propto \alpha_{s} \left[\langle T T \rangle_{Y} - \langle T T T \rangle_{Y} + \alpha_{s}^{2} \langle T \rangle_{Y} \right]$$

Stochastic version:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}T_{Y} \propto \alpha_{s}\left[T_{Y}-T_{Y}T_{Y}+\sqrt{\alpha_{s}T}\nu\right]$$

- describe Gluon Number Fluctuations / Pomeron Loops;
- non-linear evolution, satisfies {s,t}-channel unitarity;

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Effects of Pomeron Loops/Gluon Nnumber Fluctuations



Geometric scaling

$$T(r, Y) = T(r^2 Q_s^2(Y))$$

Diffusive scaling

イロト イポト イラト イラト



• Statistical physics \iff hdQCD: $\langle T(\rho - \rho_s) \rangle = \int d\rho_s P(\rho_s) T(\rho - \rho_s)$ [lancu,Mueller,Munier (2004)]

where
$$P(\rho_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp[\frac{(\rho_s - \langle \rho_s \rangle)^2}{2\sigma^2}], \quad \sigma^2 = \langle \rho_s^2 \rangle - \langle \rho_s \rangle^2 = DY$$

 \implies shape of $\langle T \rangle$ becomes flatter with increasing Y

Numerical Evaluation

• Fit for the F₂ structure function

(ZEUS data in the kinematical range: $x \le 10^{-2}$ and $0.045 \,\text{GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 50 \,\text{GeV}^2$)

$$\begin{split} F_{2}(x,Q^{2}) &= \frac{Q^{2}}{4\pi^{2}\alpha_{em}} \left(\sigma_{T}(x,Q^{2}) + \sigma_{L}(x,Q^{2})\right) \\ \sigma_{T,L}(x,Q^{2}) &= \int dz \, d^{2}r \, |\psi_{T,L}(z,r,Q^{2})|^{2} \, \sigma_{dip}(x,r) \qquad \sigma_{dip} = 2\pi R^{2} \begin{cases} T(r,x) \\ \langle T(r,x) \rangle \end{cases} \\ |\Psi_{T}|^{2} &= \frac{3\alpha_{em}}{2\pi^{2}} \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} \left\{ [z^{2} + (1-z)^{2}] \widetilde{Q}_{f}^{2} K_{1}^{2}(\widetilde{Q}_{f}r) + m_{f}^{2} \, K_{0}^{2}(\widetilde{Q}_{f}r) \right\} \\ |\Psi_{L}|^{2} &= \frac{3\alpha_{em}}{2\pi^{2}} \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} \left\{ 4Q^{2}z^{2}(1-z)^{2} K_{0}^{2}(\widetilde{Q}_{f}r) \right\} , \qquad \widetilde{Q}_{f}^{2} = z(1-z)Q^{2} + m_{f}^{2} \end{split}$$

• Parameters are fixed via minimization of the
$$\gamma^2$$

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i} \frac{\left(F_{i}^{\text{mod}}(\boldsymbol{p}_{1},...,\boldsymbol{p}_{n}) - F_{i}^{\text{exp}}\right)^{2}}{\text{err}_{i}^{2}} \qquad \text{err}^{2} = \text{err}_{sys}^{2} + \text{err}_{sta}^{2}$$

 σ

Numerical Evaluation

GBW model:

The parameters of the event-by-event T^{GBW} and of the physical $\langle T^{GBW} \rangle$ amplitude.

	χ ²	$\chi^2/d.o.f$	<i>x</i> ₀ (×10 ⁻⁴)	λ	<i>R</i> (fm)	D
T ^{GBW}	266.22	1.74	4.11	0.285	0.594	0
$\langle T^{GBW} \rangle$	173.39	1.14	0.0546	0.225	0.712	0.397

IIM model:

The parameters of the event-by-event T^{IIM} and of the physical $\langle T^{IIM} \rangle$ amplitude.

	χ ²	$\chi^2/d.o.f$	<i>x</i> ₀ (×10 ⁻⁴)	λ	<i>R</i> (fm)	D
T ^{IIM}	150.45	0.983	0.5379	0.252	0.709	0
$\langle T^{IIM} \rangle$	122.62	0.807	0.0095	0.198	0.812	0.325

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

Model independent approach

In case fluctuations are important in the range of HERA data, one finds the diffusive scaling behavior:

$$\langle T(r, Y) \rangle = \overline{T}(r, Y) = \overline{T}\left(\frac{\ln(\frac{1}{r^2 G_S^2})}{\sqrt{Dr}}\right)$$

• Quality factor[Gelis,Peschanski,Soyez,Schoeffel]:

$$\mathcal{O}(\lambda) = \sum_{i} \frac{(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i-1})^2}{(\tau_i - \tau_{i-1})^2 + \varepsilon^2},$$

with $\sigma = 4\pi^2 \alpha_{em} F_2(x, Q^2)/Q^2, \tau = \ln(1/r^2 Q_s^2)/\sqrt{DY}$
and $\varepsilon = 1/n$

We got $\lambda = 0.215$ for the input-values $0.01 \le D \le 0.7$, which seems tell us that gluon number fluctuations are relevent in the range of HERA data.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Geometrical versus Diffusive Scaling - small Q²



- ∃ →

Geometrical versus Diffusive Scaling - large Q^2



Wenchang Xiang (Uni Bielefeld)

- Description of the DIS data is improved once gluon number fluctuations are taken into account.
- This outcome seems to indicate the evidence of geometric scaling violations, and a possible implication of gluon number fluctuations, in the DIS data.
- However, looking only on HERA data one cannot exclude the possibility that the scaling violations may also come from the diffusion part of the solution to the BK-equation.

For the technical details:

Kozlov, Shoshi, Xiang

arXiv:0707.4142

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >