Quarkonia propagation in a hot-dense medium

Andrea Beraudo

ECT*-Trento

Les Houches, 25^{th} March - 4^{th} April 2008

Work done in collaboration with J.P. Blaizot and C. Ratti

Summary

- Quarkonium as a probe for the onset of deconfinement;
- Present understanding from lattice-QCD calculations and experimental data;
- In-medium $Q\overline{Q}$ propagator in the complex-time plane;
- Basic questions we want to answer;
- An explicit example: $Q\overline{Q}$ in a hot QED plasma;
- Some ideas for future work.

Quarkonium as a probe for the onset of deconfinement

Original idea by Matsui and Satz^a

⇒Statement: the J/Ψ anomalous suppression in high energy AA collisions represents an unambiguous signature of deconfinement. ⇒Underlying assumptions:

- •The J/Ψ are produced in the very early stage of the collision $\tau_{\rm form} \approx 0.3 {\rm fm/c};$
- •The medium resulting from the HIC thermalizes in a time $\tau_{\rm therm} \approx 0.5 \div 1 {\rm fm/c};$
- •Crossing a deconfined medium the $c\bar{c}$ bound states tend to melt (Debye screening):

$$V(r) \sim -\frac{\alpha}{r} \to -\frac{\alpha}{r} e^{-m_D r}$$

•The heavy quarks hadronize by combining with light quarks only. ^aT. Matsui and H. Satz, PLB 178 (1986).

Quarkonium in hot-QCD: what can lattice simulations tell us?

- Heavy-quark free-energy calculations:
 evaluate ΔF occurring once a QQ pair is placed in a thermal bath of gluons and light quarks;
- Meson Spectral Function reconstruction: look for resonance-peaks in the spectral densities extracted from in-medium quarkonium propagators.



Can one exploit this information to build an effective $Q\overline{Q}$ potential?

state	J/ψ	χ_c	ψ'
$T_d/T_c \left(V_{\text{eff}} \equiv F_1 \right)$	1.1	0.74	0.1-0.2
$T_d/T_c \left(V_{\rm eff} \equiv U_1 \right)$	1.78-1.92	1.14-1.15	1.11-1.12

^aO. Kaczmarek and F. Zantow, PoS LAT2005:192 (2006).

Meson Spectral Functions

 \Rightarrow One usually *measures* the imaginary-time propagator of a meson •at rest in the QGP

$$G_M(au) \equiv \int dx \langle J_M(au, x) J_M^{\dagger}(0, 0) \rangle$$

•produced by a local operator

$$J_M(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \overline{q}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}) \Gamma_M q(\tau, \boldsymbol{x})$$

 \Rightarrow From $G_M(\tau)$ the MSF has to be *reconstructed*:

$$G_M(\tau) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \underbrace{\sigma_M(\omega)}_{MSF} \frac{\cosh(\omega(\tau - \beta/2))}{\sinh(\beta\omega/2)}$$

NB: Typically $G_M(\tau)$ is known for a quite limited set of points (≤ 50) \rightarrow problems in inverting the above transform.



The vector (left) and pseudoscalar (right) MSFs display well-defined peaks up to temperature $T \sim 2T_c$.

 $^{\rm a}{\rm G}.$ Aarts et al., arXiv:0705.2198 [hep-lat] $^{\rm b}{\rm A}.$ Jakovac et al., Phys.Rev. D75 (2007) 014506.

Experimental data



... in AA collisions:

sequential suppression scenario

As the centrality of the collision increases one has first the suppression of the *feed-down* contribution (Ψ' and χ_c). Then also the melting of the direct J/Ψ sets in.

 \Rightarrow ... at SPS (Na50 and Na60) ^a



The direct contribution seems to survive!

^aR. Arnaldi, NPA774:711-714,2006.

\Rightarrow ... at RHIC (PHENIX)

From sequential suppression + hydro evolution one gets:



"It is noticeable that the RHIC data analyzed with the state-of-the-art hydrodynamics leads to a rather stable value for the melting temperature of the J/Ψ to be around $T/T_c \simeq 2$." ^a

^aT. Gunji *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 051901 (2007).

Some open problems: a brief summary

- Potential models: which effective potential from the $Q\overline{Q}$ free-energy data?
- MSF: in principle would contain the full information on the in-medium quarkonium properties, BUT large uncertainties from inverting the transform.
- Is it possible to establish a link between screened potential models and spectral studies?



• Spectral decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} G_M^{>}(t) &= Z^{-1} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} \langle n | J_M(t) J_M^{\dagger}(0) | n \rangle \\ &= Z^{-1} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} \sum_m e^{i(E_n - E_m)t} | \langle m | J_M^{\dagger}(0) | n \rangle |^2, \end{aligned}$$

- $-G^{>}(t)$ is an **analytic function** in the strip $-\beta < \text{Imt} < 0 \implies$ unified description of real and imaginary-time propagation;
- $Q\overline{Q}$ pair: external probe placed in a hot/dense medium of light particles $\implies \{|n\rangle\}$ do not contain heavy quarks.
- One gets the *excitations* (poles of the retarded propagator) *which propagate in the medium*

$$\rho_M(\omega) = G^>(\omega) \implies G_M^R(\omega) = -\int \frac{dq^0}{2\pi} \frac{\rho_M(q^0)}{\omega - q^0 + i\eta}.$$

A recent approach^a

 \Rightarrow Evaluate perturbatively

$$G^{>}_{M=\infty}(t) = G^{(0)>}(t) + G^{(2)>}(t) + \dots$$

 \Rightarrow **Ansatz**: $G^{>}_{M=\infty}(t)$ is solution of

 $(i\partial_t - V_{eff})G^>_{M=\infty}(t) = 0$

 \Rightarrow Identify the LO perturbative contribution to the effective potential:

$$V_{eff} = V_{eff}^{(2)} + \dots$$

 \Rightarrow Get $G^{>}(t)$ from the solution of

 $(i\partial_t - T - V_{eff}^{(2)})G^>(t) = 0$

^aM. Laine *et al.*

The basic questions we want to answer:

- Does G[>](t) obey a closed Schrödinger equation at finite
 T? i.e. is it possible to define an effective potential?
- What's the *link* of the effective potential *with the* $Q\overline{Q}$ *free-energy*?
- Is it possible to include the *effect of collisions* in a consistent way?

QED toy-model A $Q\overline{Q}$ pair in a plasma of photons, electrons and positrons

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}}^{M=\infty} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{em}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{light}} + \underbrace{\psi^{\dagger}i(\partial_{0} - igA_{0})\psi}_{\checkmark} + \underbrace{\chi^{\dagger}i(\partial_{0} + igA_{0})\chi}_{\checkmark}$$

heavy Q

 $\operatorname{heavy} \overline{Q}$

The strategy

• Consider the $Q\overline{Q}$ propagation in a given background configuration of the gauge-field A_{μ}

$$G_A(t, \boldsymbol{r}_1; t, \boldsymbol{r}_2 | 0, \boldsymbol{r}_1'; 0, \boldsymbol{r}_2') = \delta(\boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_1')\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_2 - \boldsymbol{r}_2') imes
onumber \ imes \exp\left(ig \int_0^t dt' A_0(\boldsymbol{r}_1, t')\right) \exp\left(-ig \int_0^t dt' A_0(\boldsymbol{r}_2, t')
ight)$$

• Average over the gauge-field configuration with an action accounting for thermal effects

$$G^{>}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}; t, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}|0, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}'; 0, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}') = Z^{-1} \int [\mathcal{D}A] G_{A}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}; t, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}|0, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}'; 0, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}') e^{iS[A]}$$

Which is the action to employ to weight the field configurations?

The HTL effective action I

 \Rightarrow Relevant momentum scales in a ultra-relativistic plasma:

• **Hard** (*plasma particles*):

$$E \sim T^4 \quad N \sim T^3 \implies K \sim T;$$

• **Soft** (collective modes): $K \sim gT$.

 \Rightarrow Mean Free Path of a plasma particle:

- For hard momentum exchange: $\lambda_{mfp}^{hard} \sim 1/g^4 T$,
- For soft momentum exchange: $\lambda_{mfp}^{soft} \sim 1/g^2 T$.

For weak coupling one has $\lambda_{mfp}^{soft} \ll \lambda_{mfp}^{hard}$, i.e.

most of the scattering processes involve small momentum transfer.

The HTL effective action II

- Assume the *interaction* being mostly *carried by soft photons* $(Q \sim gT \ll T)$
- The propagation of soft photons is *dressed by the interactions with the light fermions of the thermal bath* which are *hard* (K~T)





Performing the functional integral

 \Rightarrow Being the action gaussian...

$$G^{>}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}; t, \boldsymbol{r}_{2} | 0, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}'; 0, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}') = \delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{1} - \boldsymbol{r}_{1}')\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{2} - \boldsymbol{r}_{2}')\overline{G}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_{1} - \boldsymbol{r}_{2}),$$

where

$$\overline{G}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2}\int_{\boldsymbol{C}} d^4x \int_{\boldsymbol{C}} d^4y \, J^{\mu}(x) D^{HTL}_{\mu\nu}(x-y) J^{\nu}(y)\right]$$

with $J^{\mu}(x)$ the $Q\overline{Q}$ current.

Unified description of real and imaginary-time propagation!



Real-time $Q\overline{Q}$ propagator

 $\Rightarrow Q\overline{Q}$ current non-vanishing along C_+ :

$$\overline{G}(t, r_1 - r_2) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2} \int_{C_+} d^4x \int_{C_+} d^4y J^{\mu}(x) D_{\mu\nu}(x-y) J^{\nu}(y)\right]$$

with

$$J^{\mu}(z) = \delta^{\mu 0} \theta(z^{0}) \theta(t-z^{0}) [-g\delta(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{r}_{1}) + g\delta(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{r}_{2})]$$

 \Rightarrow One gets:

$$\overline{G}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2) = \exp\left[-2ig^2 \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1 - \cos(\omega t)}{\omega^2} \left(1 - e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot(\boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2)}\right) D_{00}(\omega, \boldsymbol{q})\right]$$

\Rightarrow Large time behavior

• $Q\overline{Q}$ propagator

$$\overline{G}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2) \underset{t \to \infty}{\sim} \exp[-iV_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2)t]$$

• Temporal evolution equation (\sim Schrödinger!)

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} [i\partial_t - V_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2)]\overline{G}(t, \boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2) = 0$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}-\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) &\equiv g^{2} \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left(1-e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}-\boldsymbol{r}_{2})}\right) D_{00}(\omega=0,\boldsymbol{q}) \\ &= g^{2} \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left(1-e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}-\boldsymbol{r}_{2})}\right) \left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{q}^{2}+m_{D}^{2}}}_{\text{screening}} -i\underbrace{\frac{\pi m_{D}^{2}T}{|\boldsymbol{q}|(\boldsymbol{q}^{2}+m_{D}^{2})^{2}}}_{\text{collisions}}\right] \\ &= -\frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \left[m_{D} + \frac{e^{-m_{D}r}}{r}\right] - i\frac{g^{2}T}{4\pi}\phi(m_{D}r) \end{aligned}$$





 \Rightarrow Interpretation of the damping: interaction rate of a heavy fermion in the thermal bath

$$\Gamma(M) = 2 \frac{1}{2M} \int_{p_1} \int_{p_2} \int_{p_3} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)} (P + P_1 - P_2 - P_3) \times [n_1(1 - n_2)(1 - n_3) + (1 - n_1)n_2n_3] \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2}$$

In the $M \to \infty$ limit:

$$\Gamma(\infty) = g^2 T \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\pi m_D^2}{(q^2 + m_D^2)^2 q}$$



Real-time propagation: what we learnt

In the case of $M = \infty$ and soft-photon exchange:

- Exact expression for $G^{>}(t)$;
- Closed temporal evolution equation for $G^{>}(t)$;
- From the large-time behavior \rightarrow effective potential
 - Real part: screening,
 - Imaginary part: collisional damping;
- Connection of the imaginary part with the interaction rate.

Imaginary-time $Q\overline{Q}$ propagator \Rightarrow Analyticity of $G^{>}(t) \rightarrow$ simply set $t = -i\tau$ with $\tau \in [0, \beta]$ $\overline{G}(-i\tau, r_1 - r_2) = \exp\left[g^2 \int_0^{\tau} d\tau' \int_0^{\tau} d\tau'' \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^3} \left(1 - e^{iq \cdot (r_1 - r_2)}\right) \Delta_{00}(\tau' - \tau'', q)\right]$ \Rightarrow Propagation till $\tau = \beta$:

$$\overline{G}(-\boldsymbol{i\beta},\boldsymbol{r}_1-\boldsymbol{r}_2) = \exp\left\{-\boldsymbol{\beta}g^2 \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(1-e^{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{q}\cdot(\boldsymbol{r}_1-\boldsymbol{r}_2)}\right) \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{q}^2+m_D^2}\right\}$$

Since:

$$\overline{G}(-i\beta, \boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2) = \exp\left(-\beta \Delta F_{Q\overline{Q}}(r, T)\right)$$

One gets the $Q\overline{Q}$ free-energy:

$$\Delta F_{Q\overline{Q}}(r,T) = -\frac{g^2 m_D}{4\pi} - \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r} \,,$$

It coincides with the real part of the effective potential!

Imaginary-time propagation: what we learnt

- $G^{>}(t = -i\tau)$ follows simply from the analyticity;
- The **free-energy** coincides with the **real part of the effective potential**.

This relies essentially on the analyticity properties of $G^{>}(t)$. Hence we think the argument being very general, not specific of the model we investigated;

• No information on the imaginary-part can be obtained from $G^{>}(t = -i\beta)$ (*i.e. what is usually evaluated on the lattice*).

Have we answered to the initial questions?

Let us summarize...

- Under some assumptions $(Q\overline{Q} \text{ external probes, effective})$ interaction accounting for medium effects, $M = \infty$ $G^{>}(t)$ obeys a closed equation. Is it possible to relax the above constraints?
- Large-time behavior governed by the static limit of the effective interaction
- Analyticity of $G^{>}(t)$ allows a unified treatment of real and imaginary-time propagation;
- The **real part of the effective potential** has to be identified with the **free-energy**;
- Imaginary part of the effective potential arises naturally.

The finite mass case: a possible strategy

The general idea

Treat the heavy fermion propagating in a thermal bath as a point-like particle in Quantum-Mechanics. Hence:

• Sum over all the possible trajectories in a given background field:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{f}\tau_{f} | \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\tau_{i} \rangle = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}(\tau_{i})=\boldsymbol{x}_{i}}^{\boldsymbol{x}(\tau_{f})=\boldsymbol{x}_{f}} \left[\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{x}(\tau') \right] \exp \left[-\int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} d\tau' \left(\frac{1}{2} M \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}^{2} + V(\boldsymbol{x}) \right) \right],$$

where $V(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv g \Phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ (scalar interaction) and $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \equiv d\boldsymbol{x}/d\tau'$.

• Average over all the possible field configurations (the action accounting for medium effects)

$$G^{>}(-i\tau, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}|\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}') = Z^{-1} \int_{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}(\boldsymbol{0})=\boldsymbol{r}_{1}'}^{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}(\tau)=\boldsymbol{r}_{1}} [\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{z}_{1}] \int [\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\Phi}] \exp\left[-\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau' \frac{1}{2} M \boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{2}\right] \times \exp\left[-g \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau' \Phi(t', \boldsymbol{z}_{1}(t'))\right] e^{-S_{E}^{\text{eff}}[\boldsymbol{\Phi}]}$$

For a gaussian effective action...

 \Rightarrow Single particle propagator:

$$G^{>}(-i\tau, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}|0, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}') = \int_{\boldsymbol{z}(0)=\boldsymbol{r}_{1}'}^{\boldsymbol{z}(\tau)=\boldsymbol{r}_{1}} [\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{z}] \exp\left[-\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau' \frac{1}{2}M\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}^{2}\right] \times \\ \times \exp\left[\frac{g^{2}}{2}\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau' \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau'' \Delta(\tau'-\tau'', \boldsymbol{z}(\tau')-\boldsymbol{z}(\tau''))\right],$$

with $\Delta(\tau, \mathbf{z})$ the Matsubara propagator of the exchanged meson.

NB Imaginary-time propagation in view of the numerical evaluation of the path-integral!

\Rightarrow Two-particle propagator:

$$\begin{split} G^{>}(-i\tau, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}; -i\tau, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}|0, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}', 0, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}') = & \int_{\boldsymbol{r}_{1}'}^{\boldsymbol{r}_{1}} [\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{z}_{1}] \int_{\boldsymbol{r}_{2}'}^{\boldsymbol{r}_{2}} [\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{z}_{2}] \times \\ & \times \exp\left[-\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau' \left(\frac{1}{2}M \boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{2} - \frac{g^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau'' \Delta(\tau' - \tau'', \boldsymbol{z}_{1}(\tau') - \boldsymbol{z}_{1}(\tau''))\right)\right] \times \\ & \times \exp\left[-\int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau' \left(\frac{1}{2}M \boldsymbol{z}_{2}^{2} - \frac{g^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau'' \Delta(\tau' - \tau'', \boldsymbol{z}_{2}(\tau') - \boldsymbol{z}_{2}(\tau''))\right)\right] \times \\ & \qquad \times \exp\left[g^{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau' \int_{0}^{\tau} d\tau'' \Delta(\tau' - \tau'', \boldsymbol{z}_{1}(\tau') - \boldsymbol{z}_{2}(\tau''))\right] \end{split}$$