Tree-level correlations in the strong field regime

François Gelis

St. John's College, Oxford University July 10-14, 2017



Institut de Physique Théorique CEA/DRF Saclay

Generic problem

- System of fields evolving from some known initial state (pure or mixed state)
- Evolution possibly coupled to a (large) external source
- Perform n local measurements, with no direct causal relation between them (so that the outcome of a measurement does not influence the others)
- Correlation between these measurements?
- In the strong field regime, can it be expressed in terms of a classical field? Which one? How?



- Even if there is no causal contact at the time of the measurements, correlations exist due to the fact that a common evolution leads to these measurements
- For the correlation to be non-zero, the past light-cones of the measurement events should overlap (at least pairwise)

Introduction

• Given a local observable O(x) (e.g., polynomial in the field operator), we wish to calculate :

 $\left<\mathrm{in} \middle| \mathfrak{O}(x_1) \cdots \mathfrak{O}(x_n) \middle| \mathrm{in} \right>_{\mathrm{connected}}$

- + For all pairs of measurements, $(\boldsymbol{x}_i-\boldsymbol{x}_j)^2<0$
- For simplicity, assume all times are equal : $x_1^0=\cdots=x_n^0=t_f$ (but this can easily be relaxed)

- The final result applies to various types of initial states :
 - + Vacuum : $~\left|\mathrm{in}\right\rangle\equiv\left|\mathfrak{0}_{\mathrm{in}}\right\rangle~$ (the simplest)
 - Coherent state :

$$\left| \mathrm{in} \right\rangle \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\chi} ~ \exp \Big\{ \int_{\mathbf{k}} \chi(\mathbf{k}) ~ a^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{k}) \Big\} ~ \left| \mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{in}} \right\rangle$$

• Gaussian mixed state :

$$\rho_{\rm in} \equiv \exp \Big\{ - \int_{k} \beta_k E_k \, a^{\dagger}_{\rm in}(k) a_{\rm in}(k) \Big\} \label{eq:rho_in}$$

François Gelis, July 2017

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\vartheta_{\mu} \varphi) (\vartheta^{\mu} \varphi) - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{m}^2 \, \varphi^2 \underbrace{-V(\varphi) + J \varphi}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}(\varphi)},$$

- + $V(\varphi)$: self-interactions, e.g. $\frac{g^2}{4!}\varphi^4$
- J(x) : external source

François Gelis, July 2017

- Kinetic energy \sim interactions :

 $(\vartheta_{\mu}\varphi)(\vartheta^{\mu}\varphi)\sim V(\varphi)$

- + For a φ^4 theory, occurs when $\varphi \sim g^{-1}Q$
- Can be achieved in two ways :
 - Fields are already large in the initial state
 - Large external source $J\sim g^{-1}Q^3$



- Usual ordering with the number of loops $n_{_{\rm L}}$
- Result non-perturbative in the strong source J
- Likewise, non-perturbative in the initial field if strong $(\Phi_{\rm ini} \sim g^{-1}Q)$

POWER COUNTING



• Higher-n correlations are increasingly suppressed Expect more complicated expressions

ALREADY KNOWN: 1 AND 2-POINT FUNCTIONS [0807.1306]

$$\begin{split} \left< \mathfrak{O}(x_1) \right>_{\text{tree}} &= \mathfrak{O}(\Phi(x)) \\ \left(\Box + \mathfrak{m}^2 \right) \Phi = \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}'(\Phi) \;, \quad \Phi_{\rm ini} \equiv \mathfrak{0} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \langle \mathfrak{O}(x_1)\mathfrak{O}(x_2)\rangle_{\text{connected}} &= \int_{\mathbf{t}_i} d^3 \mathbf{u} \, d^3 \mathbf{v} \int_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v})} + c.c. \right) \\ &\times \frac{\delta \mathfrak{O}(\Phi(x_1))}{\delta \Phi_{\mathrm{ini}}(\mathbf{u})} \left. \frac{\delta \mathfrak{O}(\Phi(x_2))}{\delta \Phi_{\mathrm{ini}}(\mathbf{v})} \right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{ini}}=\mathbf{0}} \end{split}$$



• Expressible in terms of the retarded classical field Φ and its derivatives with respect to the initial condition. Is this true for all n-point functions? If yes, explicit formula?

Diagrammatic rules

Encapsulate the expectation values in a generating functional :

$$\mathcal{F}[\boldsymbol{z}(\boldsymbol{x})] \equiv \left\langle \mathrm{in} \right| \exp \int_{t_{\mathrm{f}}} d^3 \boldsymbol{x} \; \boldsymbol{z}(\boldsymbol{x}) \; \boldsymbol{\mathbb{O}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \big| \mathrm{in} \right\rangle$$

- Correlations are obtained by differentiation of $\ln \mathfrak{F}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}_1)\cdots\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}_n)\rangle = \left.\frac{\delta^n \ln \mathcal{F}}{\delta z(\mathbf{x}_1)\cdots\delta z(\mathbf{x}_n)}\right|_{z=0}$$

• Note : $\mathcal{F}[z]$ contains disconnected graphs

FEYNMAN RULES





RETARDED-ADVANCED REPRESENTATION

• Introduce half-sum and difference of the fields :

$$\phi_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\phi_+ + \phi_- \right), \qquad \phi_1 \equiv \phi_+ - \phi_-$$

• Propagators :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G_{21}^{0} & = & G_{++}^{0} - G_{+-}^{0} & (\text{retarded}) \\ G_{12}^{0} & = & G_{++}^{0} - G_{-+}^{0} & (\text{advanced}) \\ G_{22}^{0} & = & \frac{1}{2} \left[G_{+-}^{0} + G_{-+}^{0} \right] \\ G_{11}^{0} & = & 0 \end{array}$$

• Vertices :

$$[1222] = -ig^2$$
, $[1112] = -ig^2/4$, all others zero

- Observables depend only on φ_2

François Gelis, July 2017

Tree level



REPRESENTATION BY COUPLED INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{1}(x) &= i \int d^{4}y \; G^{0}_{12}(x,y) \, \frac{\partial L_{\mathrm{int}}(\varphi_{1},\varphi_{2})}{\partial \varphi_{2}(y)} \\ &+ \int_{t_{f}} d^{3}y \; G^{0}_{12}(x,y) \, z(y) \; \mathcal{O}'(\varphi_{2}(y)) \\ \varphi_{2}(x) &= i \int d^{4}y \; \Big\{ G^{0}_{21}(x,y) \, \frac{\partial L_{\mathrm{int}}(\varphi_{1},\varphi_{2})}{\partial \varphi_{1}(y)} \\ &+ G^{0}_{22}(x,y) \, \frac{\partial L_{\mathrm{int}}(\varphi_{1},\varphi_{2})}{\partial \varphi_{2}(y)} \Big\} \\ &+ \int_{t_{f}} d^{3}y \; G^{0}_{22}(x,y) \, z(y) \; \mathcal{O}'(\varphi_{2}(y)) \end{split}$$

- $L_{\mathrm{int}}(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)\equiv\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}(\varphi_2+\frac{1}{2}\varphi_1)-\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}(\varphi_2-\frac{1}{2}\varphi_1)$
- Contains z to all orders due to non-linearities

François Gelis, July 2017

REPRESENTATION BY EOM + BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Equations of motion (for ϕ^4 interaction + source) :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Box_x + m^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \phi_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \phi_1 + \frac{g^2}{4!} \phi_1^3 = 0$$
$$(\Box_x + m^2) \phi_2 + \frac{g^2}{6} \phi_2^3 + \frac{g^2}{8} \phi_1^2 \phi_2 = 0$$

$z(\mathbf{x})$ enters only in the boundary conditions :

- At t_f : $\phi_1(t_f, x) = 0$, $\partial_0 \phi_1(t_f, x) = i \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{O}'(\phi_2(t_f, x))$
- At t_i, relation between the Fourier modes :

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{2}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{2} \, \tilde{\Phi}_{1}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) \,, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{2}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \, \tilde{\Phi}_{1}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k})$$

(here, written for an empty initial state)

Expansion in z

Write $\phi_{1,2}$ as formal series in z:

$$\begin{split} \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \varphi_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \, z(\mathbf{x}_1) \, \varphi_1^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \, d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 \, z(\mathbf{x}_1) z(\mathbf{x}_2) \, \varphi_1^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &+ \cdots \\ \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \varphi_2^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \, z(\mathbf{x}_1) \, \varphi_2^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}_1 \, d^3 \mathbf{x}_2 \, z(\mathbf{x}_1) z(\mathbf{x}_2) \, \varphi_2^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &+ \cdots \end{split}$$

ORDER O (1-POINT FUNCTION)

Simply set $z \equiv 0$ in the boundary conditions :

$$\varphi_1^{(0)}(t_f, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \ , \quad \partial_0 \varphi_1^{(0)}(t_f, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \ , \ \varphi_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

$$\begin{split} \varphi_2^{(0)} &= \Phi \\ (\Box + \mathfrak{m}^2) \, \Phi = \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{\,\prime}(\Phi) \;, \quad \Phi_{\rm ini} \equiv 0 \;\; \text{at} \; t_i \end{split}$$

- + $\phi_1^{(0)}$ is zero everywhere
- $\phi_2^{(0)} = \Phi$ is the classical solution with (null) retarded boundary condition. Straightforward to obtain numerically
- $\langle \mathfrak{O}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{\mathrm{tree}} = \mathfrak{O}(\Phi(\mathbf{x}))$

ORDER O (1-POINT FUNCTION)



Equations of motion :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Box + m^2 - \mathcal{L}_{int}''(\Phi) \end{bmatrix} \Phi_1^{(1)} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \Box + m^2 - \mathcal{L}_{int}''(\Phi) \end{bmatrix} \Phi_2^{(1)} = 0$$

Boundary conditions :

$$\begin{split} t_{f} : & \varphi_{1}^{(1)}(x;x_{1}) = 0, \quad \partial_{0}\varphi_{1}^{(1)}(x;x_{1}) = i\,\delta(x-x_{1})\,\mathcal{O}'(\Phi(x_{1})) \\ t_{i} : & \widetilde{\varphi}_{2}^{(1+)}(k) = -\frac{1}{2}\,\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}^{(1+)}(k)\,, \quad \widetilde{\varphi}_{2}^{(1-)}(k) = \frac{1}{2}\,\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}^{(1-)}(k) \end{split}$$

François Gelis, July 2017

Solution :

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varphi_1^{(1)}(x;x_1) &=& G_{12}(x,x_1) \, \mathfrak{O}'(\Phi(x_1)) \\ \varphi_2^{(1)}(x;x_1) &=& G_{22}(x,x_1) \, \mathfrak{O}'(\Phi(x_1)) \end{array}$$

(G₁₂, G₂₂ = propagators dressed by the background field Φ)

$$\langle \mathfrak{O}(x_1)\mathfrak{O}(x_2) \rangle_{\mathrm{tree}} = \mathfrak{O}'(\Phi(x_1)) \, \mathsf{G}_{22}(x_1, x_2) \, \mathfrak{O}'(\Phi(x_2))$$

ORDER 1 (2-POINT FUNCTION)

Expression in terms of mode functions :

$$\begin{split} \left[\Box + \mathfrak{m}^2 - \mathcal{L}_{int}''(\Phi(\mathbf{x}))\right] \mathfrak{a}_{\pm \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathfrak{0} \\ \mathfrak{a}_{\pm \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \underset{\mathbf{x}^0 \to \mathbf{t}_i}{\to} e^{\mp i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \\ \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big] \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{y}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathfrak{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \Big) \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathcal{G}_{22}$$

$$a_{\pm \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{T}_{\pm \mathbf{k}} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{\Phi_{\text{ini}}=0}$$

:
$$\mathbf{T}_{\pm \mathbf{k}} \equiv \int_{t_i} d^3 \mathbf{y} \ e^{\pm i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_{\text{ini}}(\mathbf{y})}$$

François Gelis, July 2017

with



• Tree-level 2-point correlations are obtained from classical fields, by differentiation w.r.t. the initial condition

ORDER 1 (2-POINT FUNCTION)



- Can one generalize the *z*-expansion to obtain higher correlations? **YES, but very painful combinatorics**
- Is the result expressible in terms of derivatives of Φ with respect to Φ_{ini} ? **NO, at** 3-**point and beyond**

Strong field approximation

Approximation :

$$\varphi_1 \ll \varphi_2 \;, \quad \text{i.e.} \qquad \varphi_+ - \varphi_- \ll \varphi_+ + \varphi_-$$

Equations of motion :

$$\begin{split} (\Box + m^2) \, \varphi_2 - \mathcal{L}'_{\rm int}(\varphi_2) &= 0 \qquad (\text{no mixing with } \varphi_1) \\ \left[\Box + m^2 - \mathcal{L}''_{\rm int}(\varphi_2)\right] \varphi_1 &= 0 \qquad (\text{linear, with } \varphi_2 \text{ background}) \end{split}$$



- Intricate mixing via the boundary conditions
- + φ_2 is a strong field, and its non-linearities cannot be neglected
- Admits a formal solution to all orders in $z(\mathbf{x})$

All-orders solution

Solution of the EOM for φ_1 :

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{t}_f} d^3 \mathbf{u} \ \mathsf{G}_{12}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \ z(\mathbf{u}) \ \mathfrak{O}'(\phi_2(\mathbf{u}))$$

Boundary condition at $t_{\rm i}$:

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{t}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{t}_f} d^3 \mathbf{u} \ \mathsf{G}_{22}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \ z(\mathbf{u}) \ \mathfrak{O}'(\phi_2(\mathbf{u}))$$

- Propagators G_{12} and G_{22} dressed by φ_2
- Solution for φ_1 valid everywhere
- Solution for φ_2 valid only at t_i (before non-linearities set in) Can be used as initial condition for the nonlinear evolution



- All the non-linear dynamics already encoded in $\Phi[\Phi_{\rm ini}]$



- All the non-linear dynamics already encoded in $\Phi[\Phi_{\rm ini}]$

• Also valid for $\mathcal{O}(\varphi_2)$:

$$\mathbb{O}(\varphi_2(x)) = \exp\left\{ \left. \int_{t_i} d^3 y \; \varphi_2(t_i,y) \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_{\mathrm{ini}}(t_i,y)} \right\} \left. \mathbb{O}(\Phi(x)) \right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{ini}} \equiv 0} \right.$$

Rewrite $\varphi_2(t_i,y)$ as follows :

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{t}_i, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\mathbf{t}_f} d^3 \mathbf{u} \, z(\mathbf{u}) \, \mathcal{O}(\phi_2(\mathbf{u})) \left\{ \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\mathbf{T}}_{+\mathbf{k}} e^{+i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{y}} + \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\mathbf{T}}_{-\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{O}\big(\varphi_{2}(x)\big) &= & \exp\left\{\int_{t_{f}} d^{3}u \, z(u) \, \mathfrak{O}(\varphi_{2}(t_{f}, u)) \\ & \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{k} \left[\overleftarrow{\mathsf{T}}_{+k} \overrightarrow{\mathsf{T}}_{-k} + \overleftarrow{\mathsf{T}}_{-k} \overrightarrow{\mathsf{T}}_{+k} \right]}_{\otimes} \right\} \, \mathfrak{O}\big(\Phi(x)\big) \bigg|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{ini}} \equiv 0} \end{split}$$

Implicit functional identity for
$$\mathcal{O}(\phi_2)$$
:

$$\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\phi_2(\mathbf{x})) = \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbf{t}_f} d^3\mathbf{u} \ z(\mathbf{u}) \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\phi_2(\mathbf{t}_f, \mathbf{u})) \otimes \right\} \mathcal{O}(\Phi(\mathbf{x})) \Big|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{ini}} \equiv 0}$$

François Gelis, July 2017



(Reminder : $O(\phi_2)$ is the first derivative of $\ln \mathcal{F}$)

Generating function for labeled trees :

• P. Flageolet, R. Sedgewick : Analytic Combinatorics, p 127

$$w(z) = e^{z w(z)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad w(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} (n+1)^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

· Cayley's formula :

 $(n+1)^{n-1} = #$ of connected trees with n+1 labeled nodes

Introduce: $\bigcirc \equiv \int d^3 u \, z(\mathbf{u}) \, O(\Phi(t_f, \mathbf{u}))$



Note : each blob is itself an infinite sum of tree Feynman diagrams

CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

- Differentiating with respect to $z(\mathbf{x}_2) \cdots z(\mathbf{x}_n)$:
 - selects trees with exactly n nodes
 - puts labels onto the remaining nodes
 - removes the symmetry factors



CAUSAL STRUCTURE IN THE STRONG FIELD REGIME

· Correlations entirely due to initial state fluctuations



Other initial states

COHERENT STATE

$$\left| \mathrm{in} \right\rangle \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\chi} ~ \exp \Big\{ \int_{\mathbf{k}} \chi(\mathbf{k}) ~ a_{\mathrm{in}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \Big\} ~ \left| \mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{in}} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{split} & \alpha_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{p}) \left| \chi \right\rangle = \chi(\mathbf{p}) \left| \chi \right\rangle \\ & \left| \mathcal{N}_{\chi} \right|^{2} = \exp \Big\{ - \int_{\mathbf{k}} \left| \chi(\mathbf{k}) \right|^{2} \Big\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{O}\big(\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})\big) &= \exp\left\{ \left. \int_{t_{f}} d^3 \mathbf{u} \; z(\mathbf{u}) \, \mathfrak{O}(\varphi_2(\mathbf{u})) \; \otimes \right\} \, \mathfrak{O}\big(\Phi(\mathbf{x})\big) \right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{ini}} \equiv \Phi_{\mathbf{x}}} \\ \Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \int_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\chi(\mathbf{k}) \; e^{-\mathrm{i} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \chi^*(\mathbf{k}) \; e^{+\mathrm{i} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \end{split}$$

François Gelis, July 2017

GAUSSIAN MIXED STATE

- Equation of motion and boundary condition at $t_{\rm f}$ unchanged
- Boundary condition at t_i (f_k = initial occupation number):

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{2}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) = -\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{1}^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) , \quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{2}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{1}^{(-)}(\mathbf{k})$$

· Correlations have the same diagrammatic representation, with :

$$\otimes \quad \rightarrow \quad \int_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \left[\begin{array}{c} \overleftarrow{\mathbf{T}}_{+\mathbf{k}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{T}}_{-\mathbf{k}} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{T}}_{-\mathbf{k}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{T}}_{+\mathbf{k}} \end{array} \right]$$

Beyond strong fields

- Highly occupied initial state :
 - Coherent state

$$\left|\mathrm{in}\right\rangle\equiv \mathcal{N}_{\chi}~\exp\left\{\int_{k}\chi(k)\,a_{\mathrm{in}}^{\dagger}(k)\right\}\,\left|\boldsymbol{0}_{\mathrm{in}}\right\rangle$$

with $\chi(k)\gg 1$

· Gaussian mixed state

$$\rho_{\rm in} \equiv \exp \Big\{ - \int_{\mathbf{k}} \beta_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} \, a^{\dagger}_{\rm in}(\mathbf{k}) a_{\rm in}(\mathbf{k}) \Big\} \label{eq:rho_in}$$

with $f_k \equiv (e^{\beta_k E_k} - 1)^{-1} \gg 1$

When is $\varphi_1 \ll \varphi_2$ satisfied ?

- Empty (or lowly occupied) initial state, and unstable classical dynamics :
 - Backward evolution of φ_1 :

 $\varphi_1(x^0) \sim \varphi_1(t_f) \,\, e^{\mu(t_f-x^0)} \quad (\mu > 0 \,\, : \,\, \text{Lyapunov exponent})$

- Boundary condition at $t_{\rm i}$:

$$\varphi_2(t_i) \sim \varphi_1(t_i) \sim \varphi_1(t_f) \ e^{\mu(t_f - t_i)}$$

• Forward evolution of ϕ_2 :

$$\varphi_2(x^0) \sim \varphi_1(t_f) \; e^{\mu(t_f-t_i)} \; e^{\mu(x^0-t_i)}$$

$$\frac{\varphi_1(x^0)}{\varphi_2(x^0)} \sim e^{-2\mu(x^0-t_i)} \ll 1$$

Note : late time evolution

- Non-linear dynamics leads to $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$
- Thermalization : occupation \lesssim 1 for most modes
- Correlations are those of a thermal system, Remembers very little of the initial state

BEYOND THE STRONG FIELD APPROXIMATION

- + If $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$, there are other tree level contributions
- Example of the 3-point function :

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}_3) \rangle_{\text{tree}} = \underbrace{\mathbf{O} \leftarrow \mathbf{O} \leftarrow \mathbf{$$

• The pedestrian *z*-expansion gives :

$$\xi(\mathbf{x}_{1,2,3}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}g^2}{4} \mathcal{O}'(\Phi(\mathbf{x}_1))\mathcal{O}'(\Phi(\mathbf{x}_2))\mathcal{O}'(\Phi(\mathbf{x}_3))$$
$$\times \int \mathrm{d}^4 \mathbf{y} \, \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{y}) \, \Phi(\mathbf{y})$$
$$= \underbrace{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{0}}$$

• Retarded propagator : $G_{R}(x_{1}, y) \sim e^{\mu(t_{f}-y^{0})}$



CAUSAL STRUCTURE

- Beyond the strong field regime, correlations are also created in the bulk $(y^0>t_i)$ by the interactions



Conclusions

- In the strong field regime :
 - all correlations at tree-level depend on the retarded classical field and its derivatives with respect to initial value
 - all correlations are created by initial state fluctuations
 - explicit dependence given by a formula that sums over all trees with n labeled nodes
- Beyond the strong field regime :
 - additional correlations created in the bulk

Mode functions

$$\begin{split} & \left[\Box + \mathfrak{m}^2 - \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}''(\Phi(x))\right] \mathfrak{a}_{\pm \mathbf{k}}(x) = \mathfrak{0} \\ & \mathfrak{a}_{\pm \mathbf{k}}(x) \underset{x^0 \to \mathfrak{t}_i}{\to} e^{\mp \mathfrak{i} \mathbf{k} \cdot x} \end{split}$$

• Basis of the linear space of small perturbations around a classical solution

INNER PRODUCT

• Define:
$$|a\rangle \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \dot{a} \end{pmatrix}$$
, $(a| \equiv i(-\dot{a}^* \ a^*))$
 $(a_1|a_2) \equiv i\int d^3x \left[a_1^*(x) \dot{a}_2(x) - \dot{a}_1^*(x) a_2(x)\right]$



François Gelis, July 2017

COMPLETENESS

• A generic perturbation can be decomposed as :

$$\begin{split} \left| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) &= \int \frac{d^{3}\boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}2\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}} \left[\gamma_{+\mathbf{k}} \left| \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{+\mathbf{k}} \right) + \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}} \left| \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-\mathbf{k}} \right) \right] \\ \text{with} \quad \gamma_{+\mathbf{k}} &= \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{+\mathbf{k}} \middle| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right), \quad \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}} = -\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{-\mathbf{k}} \middle| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) \end{split}$$

• Equivalently :

$$|\mathbf{a}\rangle = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}2\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}} \left[|\mathbf{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}\rangle (\mathbf{a}_{+\mathbf{k}}|\mathbf{a}) - |\mathbf{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}\rangle (\mathbf{a}_{-\mathbf{k}}|\mathbf{a}) \right]$$

Completeness of the mode functions :

$$\int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2 \mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}} \left[\left| \mathbf{a}_{+\mathbf{k}} \right) \left(\mathbf{a}_{+\mathbf{k}} \right| - \left| \mathbf{a}_{-\mathbf{k}} \right) \left(\mathbf{a}_{-\mathbf{k}} \right| \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

François Gelis, July 2017