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## General outline

- Lecture I: Introduction and phenomenology
- Lecture II: Lessons from Deep Inelastic Scattering
- Lecture III : QCD on the light-cone
- Lecture IV : Saturation and the Color Glass Condensate
- Lecture V : Calculating observables in the CGC


## Lecture II : Lessons from DIS

■ Kinematics of Deep Inelastic Scattering

- Structure functions
- Experimental facts
- Naive parton model
- Light-cone behavior of a free field theory
- Scaling violations
- Factorization


## Introduction to DIS

## Kinematics

■ Basic idea : smash a well known probe on a nucleon or nucleus in order to try to figure out what is inside...

■ Photons are very well suited for that purpose because their interactions are well understood

■ Deep Inelastic Scattering: collision between an electron and a nucleon or nucleus, by exchange of a virtual photon


■ Variant : collision with a neutrino, by exchange of $Z^{0}, W^{ \pm}$

## Kinematical variables
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■ Note : the virtual photon is spacelike: $q^{2} \leq 0$
■ Other invariants of the reaction :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu & \equiv P \cdot q \\
s & \equiv(P+k)^{2} \\
M_{X}^{2} & \equiv(P+q)^{2}=m_{N}^{2}+2 \nu+q^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

■ One uses commonly: $Q^{2} \equiv-q^{2}$ and $x \equiv Q^{2} / 2 \nu$
■ In general $M_{x}^{2} \geq m_{N}^{2}$, and we have : $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ( $x=1$ corresponds to the case of elastic scattering)

## DIS cross-section

■ The simplest cross-section is the inclusive cross-section, obtained by measuring the momentum of the scattered electron and summing over all the hadronic final states $X$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e^{-} N}}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\sum_{\text {states } X} E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e^{-}-N \rightarrow e^{-X}}}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}} \\
\left.E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e^{-N \rightarrow e^{-}}}}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\left.\int \frac{\left[d \Phi_{X}\right]}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta\left(P+k-k^{\prime}-P_{X}\right)\langle | \mathcal{M}_{X}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}} \\
\mathcal{M}_{X}
\end{gathered}=\frac{i e}{q^{2}}\left[\bar{u}\left(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}})\right]\langle X| J_{\mu}(0)|N(P)\rangle,
$$

- In the amplitude squared appears the leptonic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\mu \nu} & \equiv\left\langle\bar{u}\left(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}) \bar{u}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}) \gamma^{\nu} u\left(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}} \\
& =2\left(k^{\mu} k^{\prime \nu}+k^{\nu} k^{\prime \mu}-g^{\mu \nu} k \cdot k^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(the electron mass has been neglected)

## DIS cross-section
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■ The inclusive cross-section can be written as :

$$
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e^{-N}}}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2}}{q^{4}} 4 \pi L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}$ is the hadronic tensor, defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{\mu \nu} \equiv \sum_{\text {states } X} \int\left[d \Phi_{X}\right](\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\pi})^{\mathbf{4}} \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}\right) \\
&\left.\times\left\langle\langle N(P)| J_{\nu}^{\dagger}(0) \mid X\right\rangle\langle X| J_{\mu}(0)|N(P)\rangle\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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■ The inclusive cross-section can be written as :

$$
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e}-N}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2}}{q^{4}} 4 \pi L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}$ is the hadronic tensor, defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{\mu \nu}=\sum_{\text {states } X} \int\left[d \Phi_{X}\right] \int \boldsymbol{d}^{4} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{i}\left(\boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{y}} \\
&\left.\times\left\langle\langle N(P)| J_{\nu}^{\dagger}(0) \mid X\right\rangle\langle X| J_{\mu}(0)|N(P)\rangle\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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■ The inclusive cross-section can be written as :

$$
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e}-N}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2}}{q^{4}} 4 \pi L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}$ is the hadronic tensor, defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{\mu \nu}=\sum_{\text {states } X} \int\left[d \Phi_{X}\right] \int d^{4} y \boldsymbol{e}^{i\left(\boldsymbol{P}+q-\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{y}} \\
&\left.\times\left\langle\langle N(P)| \boldsymbol{J}_{\nu}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0}) \mid X\right\rangle\langle X| J_{\mu}(0)|N(P)\rangle\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DIS cross-section

## Kinematics

- Introduction
- Kinematical variables

■ The inclusive cross-section can be written as :

$$
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e^{-N}}}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2}}{q^{4}} 4 \pi L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}$ is the hadronic tensor, defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{\mu \nu}=\sum_{\text {states } X} \int\left[d \Phi_{X}\right] \int d^{4} y e^{i q \cdot y} \\
&\left.\times\left\langle\langle N(P)| \boldsymbol{J}_{\nu}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{y}) \mid X\right\rangle\langle X| J_{\mu}(0)|N(P)\rangle\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DIS cross-section
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■ The inclusive cross-section can be written as :

$$
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e}-N}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2}}{q^{4}} 4 \pi L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}$ is the hadronic tensor, defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \pi W_{\mu \nu}=\sum_{\text {states } \boldsymbol{X}} & \int\left[\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{X}}\right] \int d^{4} y e^{i q \cdot y} \\
& \left.\times\left\langle\langle N(P)| J_{\nu}^{\dagger}(y) \mid \boldsymbol{X}\right\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{X}| J_{\mu}(0)|N(P)\rangle\right\rangle_{\text {spin }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DIS cross-section
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■ The inclusive cross-section can be written as :

$$
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e}-N}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2}}{q^{4}} 4 \pi L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}$ is the hadronic tensor, defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{\mu \nu}=\int d^{4} y e^{i q \cdot y} \\
&\left.\times\left\langle\langle N(P)| J_{\nu}^{\dagger}(y) \mathbf{1} J_{\mu}(0) \mid N(P)\right\rangle\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DIS cross-section

■ The inclusive cross-section can be written as :

$$
E^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma_{e}-N}{d^{3} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{3}\left(s-m_{N}^{2}\right)} \frac{e^{2}}{q^{4}} 4 \pi L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}
$$

where $W_{\mu \nu}$ is the hadronic tensor, defined as:

$$
\left.4 \pi W_{\mu \nu}=\int d^{4} y e^{i q \cdot y}\left\langle\langle N(P)| J_{\nu}^{\dagger}(y) J_{\mu}(0) \mid N(P)\right\rangle\right\rangle_{\text {spin }}
$$

- $W_{\mu \nu}$ contains all the informations about the properties of the nucleon under consideration that are relevant to the interaction with the photon

■ This object cannot be calculated perturbatively
■ It obeys: $q^{\mu} W_{\mu \nu}=q^{\nu} W_{\mu \nu}=0$ (conservation of e.m. current)

## Structure functions

## Kinematics

- For a (spin-averaged) nucleon, the most general form of $W_{\mu \nu}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\mu \nu}= & -W_{1} g_{\mu \nu}+W_{2} \frac{P_{\mu} P_{\nu}}{m_{N}^{2}}+W_{3} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \frac{P^{\rho} q^{\sigma}}{m_{N}^{2}} \\
& +W_{4} \frac{q_{\mu} q_{\nu}}{m_{N}^{2}}+W_{5} \frac{P_{\mu} q_{\nu}}{m_{N}^{2}}+W_{6} \frac{q_{\mu} P_{\nu}}{m_{N}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $W_{3}=0$ for parity conserving currents (like e.m. currents)
- $W_{\mu \nu}=W_{\nu \mu}$ from parity and time-reversal symmetry hence $W_{5}=W_{6}$
- From the Ward identities $q^{\mu} W_{\mu \nu}=q^{\nu} W_{\mu \nu}=0$, one gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{5}=-W_{2} \frac{P \cdot q}{q^{2}} \\
& W_{4}=W_{1} \frac{m_{N}^{2}}{q^{2}}+W_{2} \frac{(P \cdot q)^{2}}{q^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Structure functions

- Therefore, for interactions with a photon, we have:

$$
W_{\mu \nu}=-W_{1}\left(g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{q_{\mu} q_{\nu}}{q^{2}}\right)+\frac{W_{2}}{m_{N}^{2}}\left(P_{\mu}-q_{\mu} \frac{P \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right)\left(P_{\nu}-q_{\nu} \frac{P \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right)
$$

■ And the DIS cross-section in the nucleon rest frame reads:

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{e^{-} N}}{d E^{\prime} d \Omega}=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^{2}}{4 m_{N} E^{2} \sin ^{4}(\theta / 2)}\left[2 \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2) W_{1}+\cos ^{2}(\theta / 2) W_{2}\right]
$$

where $\Omega$ is the solid angle of the scattered electron
■ It is customary to define slightly rescaled structure functions:

$$
F_{1} \equiv W_{1} \quad, \quad F_{2} \equiv \frac{\nu}{m_{N}^{2}} W_{2}
$$

■ Note: $F_{1}$ is proportional to the interaction cross-section between the nucleon and a transverse photon

## Bjorken scaling

■ Bjorken scaling : $F_{2}$ depends very weakly on $Q^{2}$


## Longitudinal F

■ $F_{L} \equiv F_{2}-2 x F_{1}$ is quite smaller than $F_{2}$ :


## Analogy with the e- mu-cross-section

- In terms of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, the DIS cross-section reads:

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{e}-N}{d E^{\prime} d \Omega}=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^{2}}{4 m_{N} E^{2} \sin ^{4} \frac{\theta}{2}}\left[2 F_{1} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{m_{N}^{2}}{\nu} F_{2} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}\right]
$$

■ It is instructive to compare it to the $e^{-} \mu^{-}$cross-section:

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{e^{-} \mu^{-}}}{d E^{\prime} d \Omega}=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^{2} \delta(1-x)}{4 m_{\mu} E^{2} \sin ^{4} \frac{\theta}{2}}\left[\sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{\nu} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}\right]
$$

- If the constituents of the nucleon that interact in the DIS process were spin $1 / 2$ point-like particles, we would have:

$$
2 F_{1}=\frac{m_{N}}{m_{c}} \delta\left(1-x_{c}\right) \quad, \quad F_{2}=\frac{m_{c}}{m_{N}} \delta\left(1-x_{c}\right)
$$

where $m_{c}$ is some effective mass for the constituent (comparable to $m_{N}$ because it is trapped inside the nucleon) and $x_{c} \equiv Q^{2} / 2 q \cdot p_{c}$ with $p_{c}^{\mu}$ the momentum of the constituent

## Analogy with the e- mu-cross-section

■ If $p_{c}^{\mu}=x_{F} P^{\mu}$, then $x_{c}=x / x_{F}$, and:

$$
2 F_{1} \sim \delta\left(x-x_{F}\right) \quad, \quad F_{2} \sim \delta\left(x-x_{F}\right)
$$

- The structure functions $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ would therefore not depend on $Q^{2}$, but only on $x$
- Conclusion : Bjorken scaling could be explained if the constituents of the nucleon that are probed in DIS are spin 1/2 point-like particles

The variable $x$ measured in DIS would have to be identified with the fraction of momentum carried by the struck constituent

## Naive parton model

## Naive parton model

## Naive parton model

- The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons
- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu}=2 \pi \delta\left(\left(x_{F} P+q\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad \times\left\langle\left\langle\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P}\right| \boldsymbol{J}^{\mu \dagger}(\mathbf{0}) \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{q}\right\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{q}\right| \boldsymbol{J}^{\nu}(\mathbf{0})\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{\mathrm{spin}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu}=2 \pi \delta\left(\left(x_{F} P+q\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{2}}{\mathbf{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{q}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu}=2 \pi \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{q}\right)^{\mathbf{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(x_{F} P \gamma^{\mu}\left(x_{F} \not P+q\right) \gamma^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu}=2 \pi \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\mathbf{2 x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{q}^{\mathbf{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(x_{F} \not P \gamma^{\mu}\left(x_{F} \not P+q\right) \gamma^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu} & =2 \pi \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2 P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}}-\boldsymbol{x}\right) \\
& \times \frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(x_{F} \not P \gamma^{\mu}\left(x_{F} \not P+q\right) \gamma^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu} & =2 \pi \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2 P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}} \delta\left(x_{F}-x\right) \\
& \times \frac{e_{i}^{2}}{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t r }}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{P}+\boldsymbol{q}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu}=2 \pi \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}} \delta\left(x_{F}-x\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathbf{2} e_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}}^{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{P}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{P}^{\nu}+\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{q}^{\nu}+\boldsymbol{q}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{P}^{\nu}\right)-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{g}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu}=2 \pi \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \delta\left(x_{F}-x\right) \\
\times e_{i}^{2}\left[-\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}-\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{q}^{\nu}}{\boldsymbol{q}^{\mathbf{2}}}\right)+\frac{\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { x }}}{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { P } \cdot \boldsymbol { q }}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{\mu}-\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \frac{\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}}{\boldsymbol{q}^{\mathbf{2}}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{\nu}-\boldsymbol{q}^{\nu} \frac{\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}}{\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{2}}}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Naive parton model

■ The historical parton model describes the nucleon as a collection of point-like fermions, called partons

- A parton of type $i$, carrying the fraction $x_{F}$ of the nucleon momentum, gives the following contribution to the hadronic tensor :

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 \pi W_{i}^{\mu \nu}=2 \pi \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{F}} \delta\left(x_{F}-x\right) \\
\times e_{i}^{2}\left[-\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{\mu \nu}-\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{q}^{\nu}}{\boldsymbol{q}^{\mathbf{2}}}\right)+\frac{\boldsymbol{2 \boldsymbol { x } _ { \boldsymbol { F } }}}{\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{\mu}-\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \frac{\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}}{\boldsymbol{q}^{\mathbf{2}}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{\nu}-\boldsymbol{q}^{\nu} \frac{\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}}{\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{2}}}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- If there are $f_{i}\left(x_{F}\right) d x_{F}$ partons of type $i$ with a momentum fraction between $x_{F}$ and $x_{F}+d x_{F}$, we have

$$
W^{\mu \nu}=\sum_{i} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x_{F}}{x_{F}} f_{i}\left(x_{F}\right) W_{i}^{\mu \nu}
$$

- One obtains the following structure functions :

$$
F_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} e_{i}^{2} f_{i}(x) \quad, \quad F_{2}=2 x F_{1}
$$

## Naive parton model

$$
W_{i}^{\mu \nu} \propto\left(2 x_{F} P^{\mu}+q^{\mu}\right)\left(2 x_{F} P^{\nu}+q^{\nu}\right)
$$

and it is easy to check that this leads to $F_{1}=0\left(\sigma_{\text {transverse }}=0\right)$

- Caveats and puzzles:
- The parton model assumes that partons are free inside the nucleon. How can this be true in a strongly bound state ?
- One would like to have a field theoretical description of what is going on, including the effect of interactions, quantum fluctuations, etc...


## Field theory point of view



- A nucleon at rest is a very complicated object...
- Contains fluctuations at all space-time scales smaller than its own size
- Only the fluctuations that are longer lived than the external probe participate in the interaction process
- The only role of short lived fluctuations is to renormalize the masses and couplings
- Interactions are very complicated if the constituents of the nucleon have a non trivial dynamics over time-scales comparable to those of the probe


## Field theory point of view

Experimental facts


- Dilation of all internal time-scales for a high energy nucleon

■ Interactions among constituents now take place over time-scales that are longer than the characteristic time-scale of the probe
$\triangleright$ the constituents behave as if they were free
■ Many fluctuations live long enough to be seen by the probe. The nucleon appears denser at high energy (it contains more gluons)

## What would we learn?

- The field theory that describes the interactions among partons should be able to explain the evolution with $x$ of the parton distributions, since it comes from bremsstrahlung
- This field theory should also describe the evolution with $Q^{2}$ (i.e. the deviations from Bjorken scaling), which is due to the fact that the probe resolves more quantum fluctuations when $Q^{2}$ increases
- For the picture to be predictive, one should be able to prove from first principles the factorization of hadronic cross-section into a hard process (calculable?) and the parton distributions (not calculable?)


## Kinematics of the Bjorken limit

## Naive parton model

## OPE in a free field theory

O Kinematics of the BJ limit

- Time-ordered correlator
- Operator Product Expansion
- OPE of T(JJ)
- Moments of F1 and F2
- Bare Wilson coefficients
- Bare Wilson coefficients - Conclusions

■ Bjorken limit : $Q^{2}, \nu \rightarrow+\infty, x=\mathrm{constant}$

- Go to a frame where the photon momentum is :

$$
q^{\mu}=\frac{1}{m_{N}}\left(\nu, 0,0, \sqrt{\nu^{2}+m_{N}^{2} Q^{2}}\right)
$$

■ Therefore :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{+} \equiv \frac{q^{0}+q^{3}}{\sqrt{2}} \sim \frac{\nu}{m_{N}} \rightarrow+\infty \\
& q^{-} \equiv \frac{q^{0}-q^{3}}{\sqrt{2}} \sim m_{N} x \rightarrow \mathrm{constant}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $q \cdot y=q^{+} y^{-}+q^{-} y^{+}-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\perp} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\perp}$, the integration over $y^{\mu}$ is dominated by :

$$
y^{-} \sim \frac{m_{N}}{\nu} \rightarrow 0 \quad, \quad y^{+} \sim\left(m_{N} x\right)^{-1}
$$

■ Hence : $y^{2} \leq 2 y^{+} y^{-} \sim 1 / Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$

## Kinematics of the Bjorken limit

- $W_{\mu \nu}$ can be rewritten in terms of the commutator $\left[J_{\mu}^{\dagger}(y), J_{\nu}(0)\right]$. Thus, $y^{2} \geq 0$ (causality). Therefore, the Bjorken limit is dominated by :

$$
0 \leq y^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

i.e. by points very close to (and above) the light-cone


■ Note : in this limit, the components of $y^{\mu}$ are not small...

## Time ordered correlator of currents

## Naive parton model

- Consider a time-ordered product of currents :

$$
\left.4 \pi T_{\mu \nu} \equiv i \int d^{4} y e^{i q \cdot y}\left\langle\langle N(P)| T\left(J_{\mu}^{\dagger}(y) J_{\nu}(0)\right) \mid N(P)\right\rangle\right\rangle_{\text {spin }}
$$

- At fixed $Q^{2}$, the functions $T_{1,2}\left(\nu, Q^{2}\right)$ are analytic in $\nu$ with cuts on the real axis starting at $\pm Q^{2} / 2$
- Like $W_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu}$ has a tensor decomposition, with structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ :
$T_{\mu \nu}=-T_{1}\left(g_{\mu \nu}-\frac{q_{\mu} q_{\nu}}{q^{2}}\right)+\frac{T_{2}}{P \cdot q}\left(P_{\mu}-q_{\mu} \frac{P \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right)\left(P_{\nu}-q_{\nu} \frac{P \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right)$
- $F_{r}$ is related to the discontinuity of $T_{r}$ across the cut ( $W_{\mu \nu}=2 \operatorname{Im} T_{\mu \nu}$ )


## Operator Product Expansion

- Consider the correlator $\left\langle\mathcal{A}(0) \mathcal{B}(x) \phi\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \phi\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two local operators, possibly composite
- When $|x| \rightarrow 0$, this function is usually singular because products of operators at the same point are ill-defined
- These singularities do not depend on the nature and localization of the extra fields $\phi\left(x_{i}\right)$
- One can obtain them from an expansion of the form

$$
\mathcal{A}(0) \mathcal{B}(x) \underset{|x| \rightarrow 0}{=} \sum_{i} C_{i}(x) \mathcal{O}_{i}(0)
$$

- the $\mathcal{O}_{i}(0)$ are local operators with the quantum numbers of $\mathcal{A B}$
- the $C_{i}(x)$ are numbers that contain the singular behavior
- When $|x| \rightarrow 0, C_{i}(x)$ behaves as

$$
C_{i}(x) \underset{|x| \rightarrow 0}{\sim}|x|^{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{O}_{i}\right)-\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{A})-\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{B})} \quad \text { (up to logs) }
$$

$\triangleright$ only the operators with a low mass dimension matter

## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- The local operators that may appear in the OPE of $T\left(J_{\mu}^{\dagger}(y) J_{\nu}(0)\right)$ can be classified according to the representation of the Lorentz group to which they belong. Let us denote them $\mathcal{O}_{s, i}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}$ where $s$ is the "spin" of the operator, and the index $i$ labels the various operators having the same tensor structure. The OPE of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ has the form :

$$
\sum_{s, i} C_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{s, i}(y) \mathcal{O}_{s, i}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}(0)
$$

- The Wilson coefficients of these operators must have the following structure :

$$
C_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}^{s, i}(y) \equiv y_{\mu_{1}} \cdots y_{\mu_{s}} C_{s, i}\left(y^{2}\right)
$$

- The expectation values in the nucleon state are of the form :

$$
\left.\left\langle\langle N(P)| \mathcal{O}_{s, i}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}(0) \mid N(P)\right\rangle\right\rangle_{\text {spin }}=\left[P^{\mu_{1}} \ldots P^{\mu_{s}}+\text { trace terms }\right]\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle
$$

## Power counting and 'twist’

- Let $d_{s, i}$ be the mass dimension of the operator $\mathcal{O}_{s, i}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}$
- Then, the dimension of $C_{s, i}\left(y^{2}\right)$ is $6+s-\boldsymbol{d}_{s, i}$ $\triangleright$ this function scales as $\left(y^{2}\right)^{\left(d_{s, i}-s-6\right) / 2}$ (up to logs)
- In a standard OPE, where $y_{\mu} \rightarrow 0$, the factor $y_{\mu_{1}} \cdots y_{\mu_{n}}$ would bring an extra $|y|^{s}$ to this scaling behavior, making the coefficient of $\mathcal{O}_{s, i}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}$ scale as $|y|^{d_{s, i}-6}$, and high-dimension operators would be suppressed
- But in the Bjorken limit, the components of $y_{\mu}$ do not go to zero, and therefore the factor $y_{\mu_{1}} \cdots y_{\mu_{n}}$ should not be counted. In this case, it is the difference $d_{s, i}-s$ (called the "twist") that controls the scaling behavior of the coefficient
- The leading behavior of $T\left(J_{\mu}^{\dagger}(y) J_{\nu}(0)\right)$ is controlled by the operators having the smallest twist. There is an infinity of them, because the dimension $d_{s, i}$ can be compensated by a higher spin


## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$,


## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we can write generically :

$$
\sum_{s, i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle \int \boldsymbol{d}^{4} \boldsymbol{y} e^{i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}} C_{s, i}\left(y^{2}\right)(P \cdot \boldsymbol{y})^{s}
$$

## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we can write generically :

$$
\sum_{s, i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle\left(-\boldsymbol{i} P_{\mu} \frac{\boldsymbol{\partial}}{\boldsymbol{\partial q _ { \mu }}}\right)^{s} \int \boldsymbol{d}^{4} \boldsymbol{y} e^{i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}} C_{s, i}\left(y^{2}\right)
$$

## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we can write generically :

$$
\sum_{s, i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle\left(-i P_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\mu}}\right)^{s} \int \boldsymbol{d}^{4} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{e}^{i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{C}_{s, i}\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{2}\right)
$$

## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we can write generically :

$$
\sum_{s, i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle\left(-i P_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\mu}}\right)^{s} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{s, i}\left(-\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} q^{\mu}\right)
$$

## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we can write generically :

$$
\sum_{s, i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle\left(-i P_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\mu}}\right)^{s} \widetilde{C}_{s, i}\left(-\boldsymbol{q}_{\mu} q^{\mu}\right)
$$

## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$,


## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we can write generically :

$$
\sum_{s} \boldsymbol{x}^{-\boldsymbol{s}} \sum_{i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle \underbrace{(-i)^{s} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{s}} \widetilde{C}_{s, i}^{(s)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}_{D_{s, i}\left(Q^{2}\right)}
$$

## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- Going back to the OPE of the structure functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$,
we can write generically :

$$
\sum_{s} \boldsymbol{x}^{-\boldsymbol{s}} \sum_{i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle \underbrace{(-i)^{s} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{s}} \widetilde{C}_{s, i}^{(s)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}_{D_{s, i}\left(Q^{2}\right)}
$$

■ Note: from their definitions, $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ differ by a power of $P$. Having the same dimension, they differ in fact by a factor $x$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{s} x^{-s} \sum_{i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle D_{1 ; s, i}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\
& T_{2}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{s} x^{1-s} \sum_{i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle D_{2 ; s, i}\left(Q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since all the powers of $x$ and $Q^{2}$ have been counted explicitly, $D_{1 ; s, i}$ and $D_{2 ; s, i}$ can only differ by constant factors and logs


## Operator Product Expansion of T(JJ)

- The coefficient function $C_{s, i}\left(y^{2}\right)$ behaves like $y^{d_{s, i}-s-6}$
- Its Fourier transform $\widetilde{C}_{s, i}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ scales as $Q^{2+s-d_{s, i}}$
- So does $D_{r ; s, i}\left(Q^{2}\right) \propto Q^{2 s} \widetilde{C}_{s, i}^{(s)}\left(Q^{2}\right)$
- Therefore, if the leading twist operators correspond to $\boldsymbol{d}_{s, i}-s=2$, we have Bjorken scaling automatically
- The coefficients $D_{r ; s, i}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ are calculable in perturbation theory, and do not depend on the target
- The matrix elements $\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle$ are non perturbative, and contain all the information about the target
- At this stage, the predictive power of this approach is limited to scaling properties, because we do not know the target dependent factors $\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle$
However, when we bring the renormalization group machinery into the game, we will also predict deviations from these scaling laws


## Moments of F1 and F2

- The OPE provides a Taylor expansion of $T_{1,2}$ in powers of $x^{-1}$ (all the $x$ dependence is in the factor $x^{-s}$ ):

$$
T_{r}=\sum_{s} t_{r}\left(s, Q^{2}\right) x^{a_{r}-s}=\sum_{s} t_{r}\left(s, Q^{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{Q^{2}}\right)^{2} \nu^{s-a_{r}}
$$

with $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=1$. From this, we get :

$$
t_{r}\left(s, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{2}\right)^{s-a_{r}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d \nu}{\nu} \nu^{a_{r}-s} T_{r}\left(\nu, Q^{2}\right)
$$

- Do the integration by wrapping the contour around the cuts, and use the relation between $F_{r}$ and the discontinuity of $T_{r}$ accros the cut:

$\triangleright$ the OPE gives the moments of the DIS structure functions


## Bare Wilson coefficients

■ Now, let us assume that the underlying field theory of strong interactions has spin $1 / 2$ fermions (quarks) and vector bosons (gluons). The operators with the lowest twist are (dimension $s+2$ and spin $s$, hence twist 2 ) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{s, f}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}} & \equiv \bar{\psi}_{f} \gamma^{\left\{\mu_{1}\right.} \partial^{\mu_{2}} \ldots \partial^{\left.\mu_{s}\right\}} \psi_{f} \\
\mathcal{O}_{s, g}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}} & \equiv F_{\alpha}{ }^{\left\{\mu_{1}\right.} \partial^{\mu_{2}} \cdots \partial^{\mu_{s-1}} F^{\left.\mu_{s}\right\} \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the brakets $\{\cdots\}$ denote a symmetrization of the indices $\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}$ and a subtraction of the trace terms on those indices

- In order to compute the Wilson coefficients, one can exploit the fact that they do not depend on the target:
consider an elementary target (single fermion or vector boson) for which everything is calculable (including the $\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle$, that are non perturbative if the target is a nucleon)


## Bare Wilson coefficients

- Consider a quark state of a given flavor and given spin. At lowest order, one has :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle f, \sigma| \mathcal{O}_{s, f^{\prime}}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}|f, \sigma\rangle=\delta_{f f^{\prime}} \bar{u}_{\sigma}(P) \gamma^{\left\{\mu_{1}\right.} u_{\sigma}(P) P^{\mu_{2}} \cdots P^{\left.\mu_{s}\right\}} \\
& \langle f, \sigma| \mathcal{O}_{s, g}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}|f, \sigma\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

■ Averaging over the spin of the quark, and comparing with $P^{\mu_{1}} \ldots P^{\mu_{s}}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle$, leads to :

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, f^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{f}=\delta_{f f^{\prime}} \quad, \quad\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, g}\right\rangle_{f}=0
$$

- On the other hand, one can calculate directly the expectation value of the current-current correlator in this quark state. This is simply done by taking the parton model results for $F_{1,2}$ and using dispersion relations to get $T_{1,2}$ :

$$
t_{1}\left(s, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} e_{f}^{2} \quad, \quad t_{2}\left(s, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi} e_{f}^{2}
$$

## Bare Wilson coefficients

- Therefore, the bare coefficient functions are :

$$
D_{1 ; s, f}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} e_{f}^{2} \quad, \quad D_{2 ; s, f}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi} e_{f}^{2}
$$

- Repeating the same steps with a vector boson state gives :

$$
D_{1 ; s, g}\left(Q^{2}\right)=D_{2 ; s, g}\left(Q^{2}\right)=0
$$

if the vector bosons are assumed to be electrically neutral
■ Going back to a nucleon target, it is convenient to define parton distribution functions as the $f_{i}(x)$ whose moments are :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{x} x^{s} f_{i}(x)=\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle
$$

so that :

$$
F_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} f_{f}(x) \quad, \quad F_{2}(x)=x \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} f_{f}(x)=2 x F_{1}(x)
$$

## Learnings from free field theory

- Despite the fact that the result is embarrassingly similar to what we obtained in a much simpler way in the naive parton model, this exercise has taught us several things:
- Bjorken scaling can be derived from first principles in a field theory of free fermions (somewhat disturbing given that these fermions are constituents of a strongly bound state)
- We now have an operatorial definition of the distribution $f_{i}(x)$ (not calculable perturbatively however)
- More importantly, the experimental observation of Bjorken scaling is telling us that the field theory of strong interactions must become a free theory in the limit $Q^{2} \rightarrow+\infty$ $\triangleright$ asymptotic freedom
- As shown by Gross, Wilczek, Politzer in 1973, non-abelian gauge theories with a reasonable number of fermionic fields (like QCD with 6 flavors of quarks) have this property


## Operator rescaling

■ In the previous discussion, we have implicitly assumed that there is no scale dependence in the moments $\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle$ of the distribution functions

- In fact, they depend on the renormalization scale $\mu^{2}$, so that the distribution functions are scale dependent as well
- Of course, the structure functions $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, being observable quantities, cannot depend on the renormalization scale $\mu^{2}$. This means that there should also be a $\mu^{2}$ dependence in the coefficient functions, in order to compensate the $\mu^{2}$ dependence from $\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle$
- The Wilson coefficients will be some trivial power of $Q^{2}$ imposed by their dimension (that alone would imply Bjorken scaling), times a function of the ratio $Q^{2} / \mu^{2}$. This corrective factor will violate Bjorken scaling


## Callan-Symanzik equation

- Consider the following correlators :

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{J J}(x) \equiv\langle T(J(x) J(0))\rangle \quad, \quad G_{s, i}(0) \equiv\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}(0)\right\rangle \\
G_{J J}(x)=\sum_{s, i} C_{s, i}(x) G_{s, i}(0)
\end{gathered}
$$

- The Callan-Symanzik equations for $G_{J J}$ and $G_{s, i}$ are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mu \partial_{\mu}+\beta \partial_{g}+2 \gamma_{J}\right] G_{J J}=0} \\
& {\left[\left(\mu \partial_{\mu}+\beta \partial_{g}\right) \delta_{i j}+\gamma_{s, i j}\right] G_{s, j}=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ is the beta function, $\gamma_{J}$ the anomalous dimension of the current $J$ (in fact $\gamma_{J}=0$ for conserved currents), and $\gamma_{s, i j}$ the matrix of anomalous dimensions for the $\mathcal{O}_{s, i}$ (the operator mixing is limited to operators with the same Lorentz structure)

- By combining the previous equations, one gets :

$$
\left[\left(\mu \partial_{\mu}+\beta \partial_{g}\right) \delta_{i j}-\gamma_{s ; j i}\right] C_{s, j}=0
$$

## Solution of the CS equation

■ The dimensionless coefficients $D_{r ; s, i}(Q, \mu, g)$ are in fact functions $D_{r ; s, i}(Q / \mu, g)$. Under rescalings of $Q$, they obey:

$$
\left[\left(-Q \partial_{Q}+\beta(g) \partial_{g}\right) \delta_{i j}-\gamma_{s, j i}(g)\right] D_{r ; ;, j}(Q / \mu, g)=0
$$

- In order to solve this equation, let us first introduce the running coupling $\bar{g}(Q, g)$ such that :

$$
\ln \left(Q / Q_{0}\right)=\int_{g}^{\bar{g}(Q, g)} \frac{d g^{\prime}}{\beta\left(g^{\prime}\right)}
$$

(this is equivalent to $Q \partial_{Q} \bar{g}(Q, g)=\beta(\bar{g}(Q, g))$ and $\bar{g}\left(Q_{0}, g\right)=g$ )

- Any function $F(\bar{g}(Q, g))$ obeys

$$
\left[-Q \partial_{Q}+\beta(g) \partial_{g}\right] F=0
$$

- We also have

$$
\left[-Q \partial_{Q}+\beta(g) \partial_{g}\right] e^{-\int_{Q_{0}}^{Q} \frac{d M}{M} \gamma(\bar{g}(M, g))}=\left[e^{-\int_{Q_{0}}^{Q} \frac{d M}{M} \gamma(\bar{g}(M, g))}\right] \gamma(g)
$$

## Solution of the CS equation

- Therefore, the Wilson coefficients at scale $Q$ can be expressed in terms of the Wilson coefficients at scale $Q_{0}$ by :

$$
D_{r ; s, i}(Q / \mu, g)=D_{r ; s, j}\left(Q_{0} / \mu, \bar{g}(Q, g)\right)\left[e^{-\int_{Q_{0}}^{Q} \frac{d M}{M} \gamma_{s}(\bar{g}(M, g))}\right]_{j i}
$$

■ If the underlying theory is asymptotically free, like QCD, then at large $Q$ the coupling is small and we can approximate :

$$
\gamma_{s, i j}(\bar{g})=\bar{g}^{2} A_{i j}(s) \quad, \quad \bar{g}^{2}(Q, g)=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{\beta_{0} \ln \left(Q / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}
$$

where the $A_{i j}(s)$ are given by a 1-loop perturbative calculation

- Finally, the solution can be rewritten as :

$$
D_{r ; s, i}(Q / \mu, g)=D_{r ; s, j}\left(Q_{0} / \mu, \bar{g}(Q, g)\right)\left[\left(\frac{\ln \left(Q / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}{\ln \left(Q_{0} / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}\right)^{-\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{\beta_{0}} A(s)}\right]_{j i}
$$

## Scaling violations in F1 and F2

■ The moments of the structure function $F_{1}$ at scale $Q^{2}$ read:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{x} x^{s} F_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{i, f} \frac{e_{f}^{2}}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\ln \left(Q / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}{\ln \left(Q_{0} / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}\right)^{-\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{\beta_{0}} A(s)}\right]_{f i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle_{Q_{0}}
$$

- $F_{1}$ takes the parton model form $F_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} f_{f}$, provided we define quark distributions from their moments:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{x} x^{s} f_{f}\left(x, Q^{2}\right) \equiv \sum_{i}\left[\left(\frac{\ln \left(Q / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}{\ln \left(Q_{0} / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}\right)^{-\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{\beta_{0}} A(s)}\right]_{f i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle_{Q_{0}}
$$

- The quark distribution is now $Q^{2}$ dependent
- It depends on the expectation value of operators involving gluons
- Scaling violations at LO preserve the Callan-Gross relation at large $Q$ :

$$
F_{2}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=2 x F_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)
$$

## Probabilistic interpretation

- In order to make the interpretation of the $Q$ dependence more transparent, let us introduce as well a gluon distribution, even though it is not probed directly in DIS :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{x} x^{s} f_{g}\left(x, Q^{2}\right) \equiv \sum_{i}\left[\left(\frac{\ln \left(Q / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}{\ln \left(Q_{0} / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)}\right)^{-\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{\rho_{0}} A(s)}\right]_{g i}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{s, i}\right\rangle_{Q_{0}}
$$

- The derivative of the moments of the parton distributions with respect to $\ln \left(Q^{2}\right)$ is :

$$
Q^{2} \frac{\partial f_{i}\left(s, Q^{2}\right)}{\partial Q^{2}}=-\frac{\bar{g}^{2}(Q, g)}{2} A_{j i}(s) f_{j}\left(s, Q^{2}\right)
$$

- In order to go further, we need the following result :

$$
A(s) f(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{x} x^{s} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d y}{y} A(x / y) f(y)
$$

## Probabilistic interpretation

- Define the splitting functions $P_{i j}$ from their moments :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{x} x^{s} P_{i j}(x) \equiv-4 \pi^{2} A_{i j}(s)
$$

- Therefore, one has the following evolution equation for $f_{i}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ (DGLAP) :

$$
Q^{2} \frac{\partial f_{i}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)}{\partial Q^{2}}=\frac{\bar{g}^{2}(Q, g)}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d y}{y} P_{j i}(x / y) f_{j}\left(y, Q^{2}\right)
$$

- Interpretation : the resolution of the $\gamma^{*}$ changes with $Q$
- Low $Q$ :

- Large $Q$ :

- $\bar{g}^{2} P_{j i}(z)$ describes the splitting $j \rightarrow i$, where the daughter parton takes the fraction $z$ of the momentum of the original parton


## Anomalous dimensions

$■$ The anomalous dimension of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ is given by :

$$
\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}=\frac{\mu}{Z_{\mathcal{O}}} \frac{\partial Z_{\mathcal{O}}}{\partial \mu} \quad, \quad \text { where } \mathcal{O}_{\text {renormalized }}=Z_{\mathcal{O}}{ }^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\text {bare }}
$$

- At 1-loop, the operator $\mathcal{O}_{s, f}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}$ has the following corrections :




■ Moreover, to ensure gauge invariance, the operator $\mathcal{O}_{s, f}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}}$ should be defined as: $\mathcal{O}_{s, f}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{s}} \equiv \bar{\psi}_{f} \gamma^{\left\{\mu_{1}\right.} D^{\mu_{2}} \cdots D^{\left.\mu_{s}\right\}} \psi_{f}$ Therefore, one has also the following 1-loop diagrams:


- Finally, there are some diagrams mixing $\mathcal{O}_{s, f}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{s, g}$




## Anomalous dimensions

- At 1-loop, the coefficients $A_{i j}(s)$ in the anomalous dimensions are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{g g}(s)=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}\left\{3\left[\frac{1}{12}-\frac{1}{s(s-1)}-\frac{1}{(s+1)(s+2)}+\sum_{j=2}^{s} \frac{1}{j}\right]+\frac{N_{f}}{6}\right\} \\
& A_{f g}(s)=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{s+2}+\frac{2}{s(s+1)(s+2)}\right\} \\
& A_{g f}(s)=\frac{3}{8 \pi^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{s+1}+\frac{2}{s(s-1)}\right\} \\
& A_{f f^{\prime}}(s)=\frac{3}{8 \pi^{2}}\left\{1-\frac{2}{s(s+1)}+4 \sum_{j=2}^{s} \frac{1}{j}\right\} \delta_{f f^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- All the non-singlet linear combinations: $\sum_{f} a_{f} \mathcal{O}_{s, f}$ with $\sum_{f} a_{f}=0$ are eigenvectors of the matrix of anomalous dimensions, with an eigenvalue $A_{f f}(s)$
These linear combinations do not mix with the remaining two operators, $\quad \sum_{f} \mathcal{O}_{s, f}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{s, g}$, through renormalization


## Valence sum rules ( $s=1$ )

■ In the case of $s=1$, the anomalous dimension of the non-singlet quark operators is

$$
A_{f f}(s=1)=0
$$

■ Going back to the evolution equation for the moments of quark distributions, this means that we have :

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{2}}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} d x \sum_{f} a_{f} f_{f}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)\right\}=0
$$

for any linear combination such that $\sum_{f} a_{f}=0$
■ For instance, for a nucleon, this implies that the number of $u$ quarks minus the number of $d$ quarks is independent of $Q^{2}$

■ Interpretation : the production of extra quarks by $g \rightarrow q \bar{q}$ produces quarks of all flavors in equal numbers

## Momentum sum rule (s=2)

- In the singlet sector, the matrix of anomalous dimensions for $s=2$ reads :

$$
A_{\text {singlet }}(s=2)=\frac{1}{3 \pi^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{N_{f}}{4} & \frac{2 N_{f}}{3} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{4}{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- This matrix has a vanishing determinant, which means that a linear combination of the flavor singlet operators is not renormalized: $\quad 8 \mathcal{O}_{2, g}^{\mu \nu}-3 \sum_{f} \mathcal{O}_{2, f}^{\mu \nu}$
- This leads also to a sum rule :

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{2}}\left\{\int_{0}^{1} d x x\left[3 \sum_{f} f_{f}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)-8 f_{g}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)\right]\right\}=0
$$

- Interpretation : the total longitudinal momentum of the target is conserved, and the momentum that goes into the newly produced gluons must be taken from the quarks


## Practical strategy

- Due to the non-perturbative nature of the parton distributions at a given fixed scale $Q$, it does not make sense to try to predict the value of $F_{r}$ at a given $Q$ out of nothing
- Instead,
- fit the parton distributions from the measurement of $F_{r}$ at a moderately low scale $Q_{0}$
- using DGLAP, evolve them to a higher scale $Q$
- predict the values of the structure functions $F_{r}$ at the scale $Q$
- compare with DIS measurements
- This approach can be systematically improved by going to higher order, both for the hard subprocess, and for the splitting functions and beta function
- Current state of the art :
- NLO program fully implemented
- NNLO splitting functions and beta function are known
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Factorization

■ HERA results and NLO DGLAP fit :


## Factorization in DIS

- Final hadrons
- The DIS structure functions can be written as :

$$
F_{r}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{i} \int_{x}^{1} d z f_{i}\left(z, Q^{2}\right) F_{r, i}\left(x / z, Q^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{N}^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right)
$$

- $F_{r, i}$ is the structure function for a target parton $i$ (at leading order, it is non-zero only for quarks)
- $x / z$ is the Bjorken- $x$ variable for the system $\gamma^{*} i$

■ Schematically, one can represent this factorization as :


## Factorization in DIS

■ In perturbation theory, the terms included by the RG evolution correspond to factors of $g^{2}$ enhanced by large logarithms:

$$
g^{2} \ln \left(Q^{2} / \mu^{2}\right) \quad \text { where } \mu^{2} \text { is some soft cutoff }
$$

- The logs are due to collinear divergences in loop corrections to $F_{r, i}$. The first power of $g^{2} \ln \left(Q^{2} / \mu^{2}\right)$ comes from :



## Factorization in DIS - Beyond LO

- For DIS, the procedure for going to NLO is straightforward and dictated by the OPE approach. One needs the following quantities at NLO :
- coefficient functions
- beta function
- anomalous dimensions (or splitting functions)
- Changes compared to LO :
- The Callan-Gross relation does not hold anymore
- There are various ways to define parton distributions: they are not directly measurable, and one should regard them as an intermediate device to relate various measurable cross-sections. The hard scattering part of the factorization formula must be changed accordingly
- Some parton sum rules may get modified at NLO


## Factorization in Drell-Yan

- The Drell-Yan process is a reaction between two hadrons in which a virtual photon is produced, that later decays into a lepton-antilepton pair
- At the parton level, the simplest process responsible for this reaction is a $q \bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma^{*}$ annihilation :

- The cross-section in the naive parton model reads :

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d Q^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi \alpha^{2}}{9 Q^{4}} \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} d x_{2} x_{1} x_{2} \delta\left(x_{1} x_{2}-Q^{2} / s\right)
$$



$$
\times\left[f_{1 f}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2 \bar{f}}\left(x_{2}\right)+f_{1 \bar{f}}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2 f}\left(x_{2}\right)\right]
$$

## Factorization in Drell-Yan

- Sample of loop diagrams with leading-log contributions:

- At LO, the naive parton model Drell-Yan formula remains true after resummation of all the leading log corrections, modulo the replacement $f_{i f}\left(x_{i}\right) \rightarrow f_{i f}\left(x_{i}, Q^{2}\right)$, with the same distribution functions as in DIS :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \sigma}{d Q^{2}}= & \frac{4 \pi \alpha^{2}}{9 Q^{4}} \sum_{f} e_{f}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} d x_{2} x_{1} x_{2} \delta\left(x_{1} x_{2}-Q^{2} / s\right) \\
& \times\left[f_{1 f}\left(x_{1}, Q^{2}\right) f_{2 \bar{f}}\left(x_{2}, Q^{2}\right)+f_{1 \bar{f}}\left(x_{1}, Q^{2}\right) f_{2 f}\left(x_{2}, Q^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Collinear factorization

Kinematics Experimental facts Naive parton model OPE in a free field theory Scaling violations

- Factorization is the possibility to resum all the powers $\left[g^{2} \ln \left(Q^{2} / \mu^{2}\right)\right]^{n}$ into universal parton distributions
- The neglected contributions are suppressed by powers of $1 / Q$
- The hard subprocess is infrared safe

■ The "bare" parton distributions are turned into $Q$-dependent distributions, that obey the DGLAP equation

- The universality of the parton distributions confers to QCD a much stronger predictive power, since the distributions measured in DIS can be used to predict other processes
- Interactions due to soft gluons in the final state cancel when one sums over degenerate final states (KLN)
- Crucial for factorization is the large difference between the short and long timescales: at high energy, internal hadronic timescales get dilated while the duration of the interaction goes to zero because of Lorentz contraction


## Separation of timescales

■ Consider a massless parton of longitudinal momentum $p$ splitting into two partons of longitudinal momenta $z p$ and $(1-z) p$ and transverse momenta $+\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}_{\perp}$ and $-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}_{\perp}$. Their energies are :

$$
E_{0}=p \quad, \quad E_{1} \approx|z| p+\frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2|z| p} \quad, \quad E_{2} \approx|1-z| p+\frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2|1-z| p}
$$

- The lifetime of this fluctuation is given by :

$$
\tau_{\text {fluct }}^{-1} \sim E_{1}+E_{2}-E_{0}=(|z|+|1-z|-1) p+\frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2 p}\left(\frac{1}{|z|}+\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right)
$$

■ If $z<0$ or $z>1$, this fluctuation is very short-lived
■ If $0<z<1,|z|+|1-z|=1$, and the lifetime becomes :

$$
\tau_{\text {fluct }} \sim 2 z(1-z) p / \vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}
$$

- This must be compared with the interaction time of the virtual photon : $\quad \tau_{\text {int }} \sim p / Q^{2}$. For the collinear contributions: $\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2} \ll Q^{2}$, hence $\tau_{\text {int }} \ll \tau_{\text {fluct }}$


## Initial state interactions

- A major complication in processes with two incoming hadrons, like Drell-Yan, is the possibility that the two hadrons may be connected by soft gluons before the collision :

- This could have the disastrous effect of making the parton distributions of a hadron non-universal
■ Such interactions can be seen as the interactions of one projectile with the Coulomb field of the other projectile
■ For very high energy projectiles, Lorentz contraction implies that the field strength $F_{\mu \nu}$ is localized on a sheet perpendicular to the trajectory. Therefore, it cannot affect the contents of the other hadron before the collision


## Infrared safe final states

## Factorization

- Deep Inelastic Scattering
- Drell-Yan process - Collinear factorization
- Infrared divergences cancel when one sums over all the possible final states (Kinoshita-Lee-Nauenberg theorem)
- One can see such a cross-section as the sum of cuts through a forward scattering amplitude. Each individual cut is a divergent contribution, but the sum of all the cuts is finite
- Completely inclusive final states are not the only ones to be infrared safe. Consider the following weighted cross-section :

$$
\sigma_{S} \equiv \int\left[d \Phi_{n}\right] \frac{d \sigma}{d \Phi_{n}} S_{n}\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}\right)
$$

- Such a final state is infrared safe if the function $S_{n}$ gives the same weight to configurations that differ by a soft gluon, or that are identical up to the collinear splitting of a hard parton
- Indeed, all the cuts through a potentially dangerous loop correction in the forward amplitude have the same weight, and the KLN cancellation works in the same manner as in the completely inclusive case


## Specific hadrons in the final state

## Experimental facts

Naive parton model

- When considering a specific hadron in the final state, one needs a fragmentation function $D_{H / i}\left(z, \mu^{2}\right)$, which represent the probability to obtain the hadron $H$ from the parton $i$ with a momentum fraction $z$
- Again, such a probabilistic description is possible thanks to the incoherence of the hadronization process with respect to the hard scattering :
- The process of hadronization occurs over timescales which are large compared to that of hard processes
- Moreover, the hadronization of a particular parton does not depend on the other hard partons produced in the event
■ The resummation of leading logarithms leads to a scale dependence of the fragmentation functions, which obey a DGLAP equation


## Lecture III: QCD on the light-cone

- Light-cone coordinates - Infinite Momentum Frame
- Poincaré algebra on the light-cone - Galilean sub-algebra
- Canonical quantization on the light-cone
- Scattering by an external potential
- Light-cone QCD


## Lecture IV : Saturation and CGC

- BFKL equation
- Saturation of parton distributions
- Balitsky-Kovchegov equation
- Color Glass Condensate - JIMWLK
- Analogies with reaction-diffusion processes
- Pomeron loops


## Lecture V : Calculating observables

■ Field theory coupled to time-dependent sources

- Generating function for the probabilities
- Average particle multiplicity

■ Numerical methods for nucleus-nucleus collisions

- Gluon production
- Quark production

