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## Random walk on large graphs

- Let $G_{n}=\left(V_{n}, \mathcal{E}_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of graphs with $\left|V_{n}\right|=n$.
- Consider a random walk $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ starting uniformly on $V_{n}$.


## Corrosion by a random walk trajectory (H.J. Hilhorst)



- Fix a real parameter $u \geq 0$.
- Consider the vacant set left by the random walk up to un, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{V}_{n}^{u}=V_{n} \backslash\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\lfloor u n\rfloor}\right\}
$$

- Let $\mathcal{C}_{\text {max }}$ be the largest component of $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{u}$.
- How does $\left|\mathcal{C}_{\max }\right|$ behave for large $n$ ? The behavior depends on $u$ ?


## The sequence of graphs we consider

Assumptions on $G_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{n} \text { is } d \text {-regular. } \\
\exists \alpha>0 \text { such that, } \forall x, n, B(x, \alpha \log (n)) \text { has at most one cycle. } \\
\text { The spectral gap } \lambda_{G_{n}} \geq \beta>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Examples of such graphs

- Random d-regular graphs (quenched results).
- d-regular, large-girth expanders (eg. Lubotzky-Phillips-Sarnak graphs)



## Why these graphs?

- Finite approximations of trees.
- Increasing interest among physicists and computer scientists.
- Could serve as a 'mean field model' for the corresponding problem in the discrete torus.


## Consequences of (A0)-(A2)

- Most points have tree-like neighborhood:

$$
\#\left\{x ; B\left(x, \frac{\alpha}{2} \log (n)\right) \text { is a tree }\right\} \sim n
$$

- Bounded Cheeger's constant

$$
\inf \left\{\frac{|\partial A|}{|A|} ; n \geq 1, A \subset V_{n},|A| \leq n / 2\right\}>0
$$

## Main result

- Recall that $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{u}=V_{n} \backslash\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\lfloor u n\rfloor}\right\}$.
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text {max }}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {sec }}$ denote the largest and second largest clusters of $\mathcal{V}_{n}^{u}$.
- Let $u_{*}=d(d-1) \log (d-1) /(d-2)^{2}$.


## Theorem (Č.T.W. 09)

Under (A0), (A1) and (A2),

- (sub-critical phase) If $u>u_{*}$, there is $\kappa(u, d, \alpha, \beta)$ such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[\left|\mathcal{C}_{\max }\right| \geq \kappa \log (n)\right] \rightarrow 0 \tag{fast}
\end{equation*}
$$

- (super-critical phase) If $u<u_{*}$, there is $\rho(u, d, \alpha, \beta)$ such that,

$$
P\left[\left|\mathcal{C}_{\max }\right| \geq \rho n\right] \rightarrow 1 . \quad \text { (fast) }
$$

- (uniqueness) If $u<u_{*}$, for every $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
P\left[\left|\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{sec}}\right| \geq \varepsilon n\right] \rightarrow 0
$$

## Remarks

- A similar phase transition is observed in Bernoulli percolation.
- The critical value in the Bernoulli case is $p_{c}=1 /(d-1)$ (the same as for the infinite tree).
- Recalling that typical points have tree-like neighborhoods: 'the critical value is local' for Bernoulli percolation.

Where did our critical value $u_{*}$ come from?

## Random interlacements

A dependent percolation process in a given lattice.
Theorem (Sznitman 07, T. 09)
For fixed $u \geq 0$, there exists a unique measure
$Q^{u}$ giving a random subset $\mathcal{V}^{u}$ of $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ such that,

$$
Q^{u}\left[\mathcal{V}^{u} \supset K\right]=\exp \{-u \cdot \operatorname{cap}(K)\} \text { for all finite } K \subset \mathbb{T}^{d} .
$$

Where $\operatorname{cap}(K)$ is the capacity of a set $K \subset \mathbb{T}^{d}$.
Theorem (Teixeira 09)
Under the law $Q^{u}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { the set } \mathcal{V}^{u} \text { has almost surely } \\
& \text { infinite connected components }
\end{aligned} \Leftrightarrow u>u_{*} \text {. }
$$

Where $u_{*}$ is the value appearing in our main result.
This reinforces the local character of the critical value because...

## Local Picture

- Let $x \in V_{n}$ be such that $B(x, \alpha / 2 \log (n))$ is isomorphic to a tree (majority of sites).
- Define $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{x}^{u}$ to be the cluster of $B \cap \mathcal{V}_{n}^{u}$ containing $x$. Where $B=B(x, \alpha / 100 \log (n))$.


## Lemma

Assume (A0)-(A2) and take $x, B$ as above. Then we can couple $Q^{u(1+\varepsilon)}, Q^{u(1-\varepsilon)}$ and $P$ in a way that (up to isomorphisms):

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\mathrm{C}_{u(1+\varepsilon)} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{x}^{u} \subset \mathrm{C}_{u(1-\varepsilon)}\right] \geq 1-c_{u, \varepsilon} n^{-\alpha / 100}
$$

Here $\mathrm{C}_{u}$ stands for $\mathcal{V}^{u} \cap B(0, \alpha / 100 \log (n))$.

- Local picture relates to critical value.


## The corresponding question for the torus

Let $G_{n}$ be the $d$-dimensional discrete torus $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{d}$.
One can similarly define the vacant set left by a random walk $\mathcal{V}_{n^{d}}^{u}$.

## Theorem (Windisch 08)

The local picture of $\mathcal{V}_{n^{d}}^{u}$ converges to random interlacements on $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

## Theorem (Sznitman 07, Sidoravicius-Sznitman 08)

There is a critical value $u_{*} \in(0, \infty)$ for the existence of an infinite cluster in the vacant set $\mathcal{V}^{u}$ of random interlacements on $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

Does $\mathcal{V}_{n^{d}}^{u}$ (the vacant set of the torus) undergo a phase transition at the same value $u_{*}$ appearing in the vacant set $\mathcal{V}^{u}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ ?

## Main obstructions in the proof

## Sub-critical

- Convergence of local picture $\Rightarrow\left|\mathcal{C}_{\max }\right|$ is $o(n)$ for $u>u^{*}$.
- If we want $\left|\mathcal{C}_{\max }\right| \lesssim \kappa_{u} \log (n)$, we should be aware that $\kappa_{u} \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \downarrow u^{*}$.
- We have to exit the 'local picture ball', since $\left|\mathcal{C}_{\max }\right| \gg \operatorname{diam}\left(G_{n}\right)$.


## Super-critical

- Local picture $\Rightarrow$ For $u<u_{*}$, of order $n$ points in $V_{n}^{u}$ belong to 'intermediate components' (size of order $n^{\delta}$ ).
- Usual way to join these components is using 'sprinkling'.
- It is not clear how to perform sprinkling in this context, since $\left\{X_{u n}, X_{u n+1}, \ldots, X_{(u+\varepsilon) n}\right\}$ is highly dependent on the 'intermediate components'.


## Piecewise independent measure

We need to extract independence from the random walk trajectory. Compare the law $P$ with $Q$ defined as follows:
(1) Consider i.i.d r.w. $\left(Y_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ of length $L=n^{\gamma}$.
(2) Denote by $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ the start and end points of $Y_{i}$.
(3) Let the $Z_{i}$ 's be random walk bridges from $b_{i}$ to $a_{i+1}$ with length $\ell=\log ^{2} n$.


## Lemma

The laws $Q$ and $P$ up to time un are very close in total variation.
The proof uses that the mixing time $\ll \log ^{2}(n)$.

## Sub-critical regime: The exploration process

- Breath first search algorithm.
- Explore the vacant component of $x$.
- Once we meet a segment $Y_{i}$,
- call this segment 'tied' (the non-tied are called 'free'),
- continue on other branch.

- We have a pool of 'free' segments.
- The probability that $y$ intersects a 'free' segment is

$$
P[H(A \cup\{y\})<L \mid H(A) \geq L],
$$

where $A$ is the explored set up to the current time.

## Bounding the conditional hitting

One needs the following conditions:

- $|A|<K \log (n)$.
- There are no cycles in the close future of $y$ (seen from $A$ ).
- Only one neighbor of $y$ in $A$.
- The close future of $y$ does not meet $A$ (no cycles to $A$ ).



## Proposition

Under these conditions we can prove that
$(P[H(A \cup\{y\})>L \mid H(A) \geq L])^{u n^{1-\gamma}}$ gives a sub-critical branching.
These conditions hold in all but $(c \log \log n)$ steps of the algorithm.

## Super-critical regime: The sprinkling

We introduce the so-called 'long-range bridges'.

- Let $\left(Y_{i}\right)$ be i.i.d. random walks of length $L\left(=n^{\gamma}\right)$.
- Denote by $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ the start and end points of $Y_{i}$.
- Connect all $b_{i}$ with $a_{i+j}($ for $j \leq \log (n)$ ), with a bridge of length $\ell=\log ^{2}(n)$.

- Kill some of the segments $Y_{i}$ independently with probability $n^{-\gamma}$.
- Extract a random walk path in the remaining set (it will have law close to $P$ ).


## Merging 'intermediate components'

- Consider two sets $A$ and $B$ with volume at least $c n$, obtained by collecting components of size $n^{\delta}$.

$$
\#\{\text { choices for } A \text { and } B\} \leq 2^{n^{1-\delta}}
$$

- By the isoperimetric inequality, there are of order $n$ links from $A$ to $B$ in $G_{n}$.
- After the sprinkling, several of these links will become vacant and $A$ and $B$ will be joined.

The probability that this fails $\leq c \exp \left\{-c^{\prime} n^{1-c \gamma}\right\}$

- Choose $\gamma$ small.
- If all choices of $A$ and $B$ get joined in the end, we obtain a giant component.
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