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## Main questions

We want to obtain scattering amplitudes explicitly.
Two related questions:

- What functions?
- Of what arguments?

Two quick (incomplete) answers:

- Transcendental functions
- Cluster coordinates

Not all transcendental functions are good candidates. What is the right subclass of functions to consider?

## Transcendental functions

We've learned that a large class of functions appearing in scattering amplitudes (or Wilson loops and correlation functions) are transcendental functions. These functions are iterated integrals of type

$$
T_{n}(x)=\int^{x} d \log R_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \int^{t_{1}} d \log R_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \cdots \int^{t_{n-1}} d \log R_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)
$$

where $R_{j}$ are rational fractions. The integrals are taken around some contour in a higher dimensional space.
It is important to stress that, once we choose a way to parametrize the space (a way to put coordinates $x$ on the manifold containing the integration path), the symbol describes the transcendental function modulo branch cuts completely and canonically.

## Integration

Now the problem is to compute these integrals (or integrate the symbol). Given an integrable symbol

$$
R_{n} \otimes \ldots \otimes R_{1}
$$

compute the integral

$$
T_{n}(x)=\int^{x} d \log R_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \int^{t_{1}} d \log R_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \cdots \int^{t_{n-1}} d \log R_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)
$$

It's best to split the problem in simpler problems. We will focus on the "indecomposable" part, which can not be written as products of lower transcendentality functions.

## Transcendentality two

The symbol of a product of functions is the shuffle product of the symbols of the terms

$$
\mathcal{S}(f g)=\mathcal{S}(f) \sqcup \mathcal{S}(g),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}\right) \sqcup\left(g_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{m}\right)=f_{1} \otimes\left(\left(f_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}\right) \sqcup\left(g_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{m}\right)\right)+ \\
g_{1} \otimes\left(\left(f_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{n}\right) \sqcup\left(g_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{m}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If we work modulo products, i.e. we project out the shuffles, the most general integrable symbol of transcendentality two is antisymmetric. It is a theorem that it can always be written as

$$
\sum_{i<j} a_{i} \wedge a_{j}=\sum_{i} c_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right) \wedge x_{i} \rightarrow \sum_{i} c_{i}\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{2}
$$

The objects $\{x\}_{2}$ satisfy the dilogarithm identities. They are elements of a group called Bloch group $B_{2}$.

## Transcendentality three

Here instead of antisymmetrizing as for transcendentality two, we apply the following operation

$$
a \otimes b \otimes c \rightarrow a \wedge b \otimes c-a \wedge c \otimes b-c \wedge a \otimes b+c \wedge b \otimes a
$$

If the initial symbol was integrable, then, after this projection the answer is writable as

$$
\sum_{i} c_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right) \wedge x_{i} \otimes x_{i} \rightarrow \sum_{i} c_{i}\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{3} .
$$

The objects $\{x\}_{3}$ satisfy the trilogarithm identities. They are elements of a group called Bloch group $B_{3}$.
The function whose symbol we study is of type

$$
-\sum_{i} c_{i} L_{3}\left(x_{i}\right)+\text { products }
$$

## Transcendentality four

Here we don't completely understand the class of functions. But we can project and partially integrate to two kinds of objects

- Objects of type $\sum_{i<j}\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{2} \wedge\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{2} \in \Lambda^{2} B_{2}$
- Objects of type $\sum_{i j}\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{3} \otimes z_{j} \in B_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}$

If the $\Lambda^{2} B_{2}$ part vanishes, then the answer contains at worst $\mathrm{Li}_{4}$ (Goncharov).
The $\Lambda^{2} B_{2}$ and $B_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}$ parts satisfy a consistency condition:
$\sum_{i j}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{2} \otimes\left(1-x_{j}\right) \wedge x_{j}-\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{2} \otimes\left(1-x_{i}\right) \wedge x_{i}+\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{2} \otimes y_{i} \wedge z_{j}\right)=0$.

## Enhanced bootstrap?

A recent line of research [Dixon, Drummond, Duhr, Henn, von Hippel, Pennington] was to start with a general ansatz for the symbol and impose various constraints on it: symmetry, parity, integrability, collinear limits, near collinear limits, Regge limits (see also [Goddard, Heslop, Khoze]). This turns out to be very constraining.
At transcendentality four one may instead start with the $B_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $\Lambda^{2} B_{2}$ which satisfy the compatibility condition (see [Golden, Paulos, Spradlin, Volovich]).
At transcendentality six more interesting possibilities appear.
Of course, the product terms have to be dealt with separately.

## Six-point kinematics

$$
u_{1}=\frac{x_{13}^{2} x_{46}^{2}}{x_{14}^{2} x_{36}^{2}}=\frac{\langle 1234\rangle\langle 4561\rangle}{\langle 1245\rangle\langle 3461\rangle}, \quad u_{2}=\frac{x_{24}^{2} x_{15}^{2}}{x_{25}^{2} x_{14}^{2}}, \quad u_{3}=\frac{x_{35}^{2} x_{26}^{2}}{x_{36}^{2} x_{25}^{2}}
$$

In 2D language we have three cross-ratios:

$$
u_{1}=\frac{(23)(65)}{(25)(63)}, \quad u_{2}=\frac{(34)(16)}{(36)(14)}, \quad u_{3}=\frac{(45)(21)}{(41)(25)}
$$

where $(i j)=z_{i}-z_{j}$.
The configuration space is either six points in $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ or six points in $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$. The coordinates are of type $\langle i j k l\rangle$ or (ij) and are related by

$$
\langle i j k l\rangle \rightarrow(m n),
$$

where ijkImn is an even permutation of 123456 .

## Euclidean region

This is a region where $x_{i j}^{2}<0$ (for signature +--- ) and which is free of branch cuts. Then $u_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2,3$.
We can instead think of the region $u_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2,3$ with no restriction on signature. If $\left(1-u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}\right)^{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}>0$ then have signature ++-- , if $\left(1-u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}\right)^{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}<0$ they have +--- signature. When $\left(1-u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}\right)^{2}-4 u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}=0$ the kinematics is conformally related to lower-dimensional kinematics.


## Euclidean region in 2D representation

If four points have a real cross-ratio, then they belong on a circle. Using this we can show that in ++-- signature all six points belong to the same circle. For Lorentzian signature they belong to three different circles

$(1,2,3,4,5,6),(1,2,4,6,3,5),(1,2,4,6,5,3),(1,2,6,4,3,5)$,
$(1,2,6,4,5,3),(1,3,2,4,6,5),(1,3,2,6,4,5),(1,3,4,2,6,5), \cdots$
The arrangement of points on a circle has an interpretation in mathematics as a positive region in $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, but the Lorentzian region with its three circles doesn't!

## Collinear limits

In terms of cross-ratios the collinear limits are given by $\mu_{3}=0$ and $u_{1}+u_{2}=1$ and cyclic permutations. In the 2D language the collinear limits are $z_{2} \rightarrow z_{3}$ together with $z_{5} \rightarrow z_{6}$ and cyclic permutations. After the limit we are left with four points on a circle so the collinear limit is parametrized by their (real) cross-ratio.


Figure: A way to approach the collinear limit from Lorentzian signature.

## Cross-ratios . . .

If we have a number of (ordered) points in two dimensions we can present the cross-ratios they form in a graphical form. We form a convex polygon whose vertices are the initial points. Then to each diagonal in a triangulation we associate a cross-ratio.


Figure: To the diagonal $E$ we associate the cross-ratio $r(3,5,1,2)=r(1,2,3,5)=\frac{(12)(35)}{(23)(15)}$. Reading in opposite order to obtain the inverse.

## .... and mutations

For each triangulation we have three diagonals and therefore three cross-ratios. These cross-ratios are independent and can be used to describe the kinematics up to conformal transformations.
Flipping a diagonal in one of the quadrilaterals transforms one triangulation to another and also one set of cross-ratios to another. This is a mutation.


Figure: Mutations for five points. The red diagonal gets flipped.

## Cluster algebras of geometric type

We start with a quiver (oriented graph). To each vertex $i$ we associate cluster $\mathcal{A}$ coordinates $x_{i}$. We also define a skew-symmetric matrix

$$
b_{i j}=(\# \text { arrows } i \rightarrow j)-(\# \text { arrows } j \rightarrow i) .
$$

Since only one of the terms above is nonvanishing, $b_{i j}=-b_{j i}$. A mutation at vertex $k$ is obtained by applying the following operations on the initial quiver:

- for each path $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j$ we add an arrow $i \rightarrow j$
- reverse all the arrows on the edges incident with $k$
- remove all the two-cycles that may have formed.

It is an involution; when applied twice in succession we obtain the initial cluster.

## Mutation of cluster $\mathcal{A}$ coordinates

The mutation at $k$ changes $a_{k}$ to $a_{k}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
a_{k} a_{k}^{\prime}=\prod_{i \mid b_{i k}>0} a_{i}^{b_{i k}}+\prod_{i \mid b_{i k}<0} a_{i}^{-b_{i k}}
$$

and leaves the other cluster variables unchanged. (An empty product is set to one.)
Example: the $A_{2}$ cluster algebra can be expressed by a quiver $a_{1} \rightarrow a_{2}$. Then, a mutation at $a_{1}$ replaces it by $a_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1+a_{2}}{a_{1}} \equiv a_{3}$ and reverses the arrow. A mutation at $a_{2}$ replaces it by $a_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1+a_{1}}{a_{2}} \equiv a_{5}$ and reverses the arrow.

## Grassmannian cluster algebras

According to [Gekhtman, Shapiro, Vainshtein], the initial quiver for the $G_{k}(n)$ cluster algebra is given by ${ }^{1}$

where

$$
f_{i j}= \begin{cases}\left.\frac{\langle i+1, \ldots, k, k+j, \ldots, i+j+k-1\rangle}{\langle 1, \ldots, k\rangle}, \ldots, \ldots, k+j, \ldots, n\right\rangle \\ \frac{\langle 1, \ldots, i+j-I-1, i+1, \ldots, k, k+}{\langle 1, \ldots, k\rangle}, & i \leq I-j+1, \\ \frac{i>l-j+1}{} .\end{cases}
$$

${ }^{1}$ Here we are presented a flipped version of the quiver and with the arrows reversed with respect to the quivers of that ref.

## Examples of mutations



## $\mathcal{X}$ coordinates



$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{9}} \times \\
\left(\left(\left(\left(\left(x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}+x_{1}^{2} x_{4} x_{6}^{2}\right) x_{8}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}^{2} x_{6} x_{9}\right) x_{10}\right) x_{14}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} x_{5} x_{9} x_{10} x_{12}+\left(\left(x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}\right) x_{10}\right) x_{13}\right) x_{15}\right) x_{16}+ \\
\left(\left(x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8}+\left(\left(\left(x_{3} x_{5}^{2} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{5} x_{6}^{2}\right) x_{7}\right) x_{8}+\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left(x_{1} x_{3} x_{5} x_{6}+x_{1}^{2} x_{6}^{2}\right) x_{8}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} x_{6} x_{8} x_{9}\right) x_{10}\right) x_{14}+ \\
\left(\left(x_{2}^{2} x_{4} x_{5} x_{7} x_{9}+\left(\left(x_{2} x_{5}^{2} x_{7}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} x_{8}\right) x_{9}\right) x_{10}\right) x_{12}+\right. \\
\left(x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}^{2} x_{7}+\left(\left(x_{3} x_{5}^{3}+x_{1} x_{5}^{2} x_{6}\right) x_{7}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left(x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{5} x_{6}\right) x_{8}\right) x_{10}\right) x_{13}\right) x_{15}\right) x_{17}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Poisson brackets

The cluster algebra has a Poisson bracket which can be quite useful.
If two cluster $\mathcal{X}$ coordinates $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ are in the same cluster and are linked by an arrow $i \rightarrow j$, then their Poisson bracket is $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}=x_{i} x_{j}$. If they are not connected, then $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}=0$. One can show that the Poisson bracket is compatible with mutations. In general the Poisson bracket of two cross-ratios is complicated; only when they belong to the same cluster we can compute it easily. But given two cross-ratios, it can be hard to find a cluster which contains both of them (especially if the cluster algebra is of infinite type).

## Sklyanin brackets

If we arrange the $n$ momentum twistors in a $4 \times n$ matrix and if the first four of them are linearly independent, then we can go to frame where this matrix reads

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{1}_{4} & y_{i j}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where the $y$ matrix is $4 \times(n-4)$-dimensional. All the four-brackets can be written in terms of $y_{i j}$.
We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{y_{i j}, y_{a b}\right\} & =(\operatorname{sgn}(a-i)-\operatorname{sgn}(b-j)) y_{i b} y_{a j} \\
\{f(y), g(y)\} & =\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i j}}\left\{y_{i j}, y_{a b}\right\} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{a b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A $L_{i}$ identity $\ldots$

We have found the first 40-term trilogarithm identity of cluster type:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{-\frac{\langle 125\rangle\langle 134\rangle}{\langle 123\rangle\langle 145\rangle}\right\}_{3}+\left\{-\frac{\langle 126\rangle\langle 145\rangle}{\langle 124\rangle\langle 156\rangle}\right\}_{3}+\left\{-\frac{\langle 126\rangle\langle 145\rangle\langle 234\rangle}{\langle 123\rangle\langle 146\rangle\langle 245\rangle}\right\}_{3}+ \\
\frac{1}{3}\left\{-\frac{\langle 136\rangle\langle 145\rangle\langle 235\rangle}{\langle 123\rangle\langle 156\rangle\langle 345\rangle}\right\}_{3}+\text { cyclic }- \text { anticyclic }=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is possible to associate $\{x\}_{3} \rightarrow$ function $(x)$ such that the identity is satisfied. Mathematicians use
$\mathrm{L}_{3}(z):=\Re\left(\mathrm{Li}_{3}(z)-\mathrm{Li}_{2}(z) \log |z|-\frac{1}{3} \log ^{2}|z| \log (1-z)\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$,
which satisfy "clean" functional equations. However, these functions are only real analytic, not complex analytic. We can find functions which are complex analytic instead.

## ... and its Poisson portrait



Figure: The oriented graph encoding the Poisson brackets of the 40 arguments of the $\mathrm{Li}_{3}$ identity. There is an arrow between vertices $i$ and $j$ if the $\left\{\log X_{i}, \log X_{j}\right\}=1$.

## Some explicit results

We will use the notation

$$
\langle i j| k|m n\rangle \equiv \frac{\langle i j k \mid\rangle\langle i j m n\rangle}{\langle i j \mid m\rangle\langle i j n k\rangle} .
$$

At six-point NMHV [Dixon, Drummond, Henn] found a way to express the two-loop answer in terms of two functions $\Omega_{2}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\right|_{\Lambda^{2} B_{2}}=-\{\langle 36 \mid 1254\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 34 \mid 1652\rangle\}_{2}-\{\langle 36 \mid 1254\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 16 \mid 2543\rangle\}_{2} \\
& -\{\langle 14 \mid 2365\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 34 \mid 1652\rangle\}_{2}-\{\langle 14 \mid 2365\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 16 \mid 2543\rangle\}_{2} \\
& +\{\langle 25 \mid 1634\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 56 \mid 1432\rangle\}_{2}+\{\langle 25 \mid 1634\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 23 \mid 1456\rangle\}_{2} \\
& +\{\langle 25 \mid 1634\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 12 \mid 3654\rangle\}_{2}+\{\langle 25 \mid 1634\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 45 \mid 1236\rangle\}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\right|_{\Lambda^{2} B_{2}}=\{\langle 36 \mid 1254\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 34 \mid 1652\rangle\}_{2}+\{\langle 14 \mid 2365\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 16 \mid 2543\rangle\}_{2} \\
& -\{\langle 25 \mid 1634\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 45 \mid 1236\rangle\}_{2}-\{\langle 25 \mid 1634\rangle\}_{2} \wedge\{\langle 56 \mid 1432\rangle\}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## More on $\Omega$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$

These functions are the first examples of functions with both $\Lambda^{2} B_{2}$ and $B_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}$ expressible in terms of simple cross-ratios.
There is a construction [Goncharov] of a $B_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}$ from a given $\Lambda^{2} B_{2}$ but it doesn't lead to simple cross-ratios in $B_{3}$. Therefore, this example is significant mathematically.
We also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{2}+\tilde{\Omega}_{2}+\star \tilde{\Omega}_{2}=4 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 12 \mid 3456\rangle)-\mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 14 \mid 2356\rangle)-2 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 14 \mid 2536\rangle)+ \\
2 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 14 \mid 2563\rangle)+4 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 16 \mid 2345\rangle)-4 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 23 \mid 1456\rangle)- \\
2 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 25 \mid 1346\rangle)-2 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 25 \mid 1364\rangle)+2 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 25 \mid 1436\rangle)+ \\
4 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 34 \mid 1256\rangle)-\mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 36 \mid 1245\rangle)-2 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 36 \mid 1254\rangle)- \\
2 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 36 \mid 1425\rangle)-4 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 45 \mid 1236\rangle)+4 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(\langle 56 \mid 1234\rangle)+\text { products, }
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\star$ is the parity conjugation.

## Some three-loop answers

At three loops (transcendentality six) MHV we have several partial integrations we can compute: $B_{2} \wedge\left(B_{2} \wedge B_{2}\right), B_{3} \wedge B_{3}$,
$\left(B_{3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \otimes B_{2}$. We use the symbol found by [Dixon, Drummond, Henn].

- $B_{2} \wedge\left(B_{2} \wedge B_{2}\right)=B_{2} \otimes\left(B_{2} \wedge B_{2}\right)-\left(B_{2} \wedge B_{2}\right) \otimes B_{2}$. Surprisingly, the $\left(B_{2} \wedge B_{2}\right) \otimes B_{2}$ part vanishes. The full answer is very simple
$\left\{\frac{(23)(56)}{(25)(36)}\right\}_{2} \wedge\left(\left\{\frac{(23)(56)}{(25)(36)}\right\}_{2} \wedge\left\{-\frac{(12)(36)}{(16)(23)}\right\}_{2}\right)+$ dihedral permutation


## Some three-loop answers

- The $B_{3} \wedge B_{3}$ part is

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{2}{3}\left(4 \alpha_{2}-1\right)\{r(1432)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1452)\}_{3}+\frac{1}{24}\left(5-32 \alpha_{2}\right)\{r(1432)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1 \\
\frac{1}{48}\left(7-32 \alpha_{2}\right)\{r(1432)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(2635)\}_{3}+\frac{1}{16}\left(7-32 \alpha_{2}\right)\{r(1432)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(26 \\
\frac{1}{48}\left(-24 \alpha_{1}-64 \alpha_{2}+9\right)\{r(1452)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1524)\}_{3}+\frac{1}{24}\left(32 \alpha_{2}-7\right)\{r(1524) \\
\frac{1}{96}\left(-24 \alpha_{1}-64 \alpha_{2}+9\right)\{r(1634)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1643)\}_{3}+\frac{1}{6}\{r(1423)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1452) \\
\frac{1}{6}\{r(1423)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1524)\}_{3}+\frac{1}{12}\{r(1423)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1634)\}_{3}+\frac{1}{12}\{r(1432)\}_{3} \wedge\{ \\
\frac{1}{4}\{r(1452)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1532)\}_{3}-\frac{1}{12}\{r(1623)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1634)\}_{3}+ \\
\frac{1}{24}\{r(1623)\}_{3} \wedge\{r(1643)\}_{3}+\text { dihedral permutations },
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are the constants which have been fixed later
[Caron-Huot, He] $\alpha_{1}=-\frac{3}{8}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{7}{32}$.

## Conclusions

- The notion of symbol of a transcendental function is useful in understanding and simplifying scattering amplitudes.
- Cluster coordinates seem to play an important role, but the interplay with supersymmetry is not completely understood.
- Transcendentality four functions are poorly understood mathematically, but explicit answers arising in physics can help to build and guide mathematical intuition.
- Beyond MHV, not all the cross-ratios are $\mathcal{X}$ coordinates of the same cluster algebra. SUSY generalization of the cluster algebras?


## Thank you!

