The superstring 3-loop amplitude

Carlos R. Mafra

(Collaboration with Humberto Gomez: arXiv 1308.6567)

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics University of Cambridge

• Compute the N-point superstring amplitude for any number of loops

- The 4-point amplitude at 1- and 2-loops already computed by Berkovits with his pure spinor formalism (Berkovits '04, '05)
- The 4-point 3-loop amplitude was the next step. Developed some techniques, learned a few tricks and we should be better prepared for the difficulties ahead
- The overall coefficient was determined from first principles and compared with a prediction by Green and Vanhove from 2005 (based on S-duality arguments)
- Naive mismatch by a factor 3.

- Compute the N-point superstring amplitude for any number of loops
- The 4-point amplitude at 1- and 2-loops already computed by Berkovits with his pure spinor formalism (Berkovits '04, '05)
- The 4-point 3-loop amplitude was the next step. Developed some techniques, learned a few tricks and we should be better prepared for the difficulties ahead
- The overall coefficient was determined from first principles and compared with a prediction by Green and Vanhove from 2005 (based on S-duality arguments)
- Naive mismatch by a factor 3.

- Compute the N-point superstring amplitude for any number of loops
- The 4-point amplitude at 1- and 2-loops already computed by Berkovits with his pure spinor formalism (Berkovits '04, '05)
- The 4-point 3-loop amplitude was the next step. Developed some techniques, learned a few tricks and we should be better prepared for the difficulties ahead
- The overall coefficient was determined from first principles and compared with a prediction by Green and Vanhove from 2005 (based on S-duality arguments)
- Naive mismatch by a factor 3.

- Compute the N-point superstring amplitude for any number of loops
- The 4-point amplitude at 1- and 2-loops already computed by Berkovits with his pure spinor formalism (Berkovits '04, '05)
- The 4-point 3-loop amplitude was the next step. Developed some techniques, learned a few tricks and we should be better prepared for the difficulties ahead
- The overall coefficient was determined from first principles and compared with a prediction by Green and Vanhove from 2005 (based on S-duality arguments)

• Naive mismatch by a factor 3.

- Compute the N-point superstring amplitude for any number of loops
- The 4-point amplitude at 1- and 2-loops already computed by Berkovits with his pure spinor formalism (Berkovits '04, '05)
- The 4-point 3-loop amplitude was the next step. Developed some techniques, learned a few tricks and we should be better prepared for the difficulties ahead
- The overall coefficient was determined from first principles and compared with a prediction by Green and Vanhove from 2005 (based on S-duality arguments)
- Naive mismatch by a factor 3.

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int_{\Sigma_g} d^2 z \left(\partial x^m \overline{\partial} x_m + \alpha' p_\alpha \overline{\partial} \theta^\alpha - \alpha' w_\alpha \overline{\partial} \lambda^\alpha - \alpha' \overline{w}^\alpha \overline{\partial} \overline{\lambda}_\alpha + \alpha' s^\alpha \overline{\partial} r_\alpha \right)$$

• Pure spinors λ^{α} , $\overline{\lambda}_{\alpha}$ with conjugate momenta w_{α} , \overline{w}^{α} :

$$\lambda^{\alpha}\gamma^{m}_{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{\beta}=0$$

- r_{α} constrained by $\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{m}r = 0$
- Manifest spacetime SUSY, pure spinor superspace (PSS): $(\lambda^{lpha}, heta^{lpha})$
- Covariant BRST Quantization: $Q = \lambda^{\alpha} d_{\alpha} + \overline{w}^{\alpha} r_{\alpha}$
- Free CFT, simple OPEs

Massless vertex operators

$$V = \lambda^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}(x, \theta), \qquad QV = 0$$
$$U = \partial \theta^{\alpha} A_{\alpha} + A_{m} \Pi^{m} + d_{\alpha} W^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} N^{mn} \mathcal{F}_{mn}, \qquad QU = \partial V$$

• $[A_{\alpha}, A_{m}, W^{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}_{mn}]$ are 10D superfields describing gluon and gluino

E 🕨 🔺

ullet Simple spectrum: gluon A_m and gluino χ^lpha

$$S = \int F^2 + \chi^{\alpha} \gamma^{m}_{\alpha\beta} D_m \chi^{\beta}$$

- \bullet Compactification leads to lower-dim SYMs, eg $\mathcal{N}=4$ in 4D
- Contained in the low-energy limit of the open superstring
- Long-term goal: derive all the $\mathcal{N}=4$ and $\mathcal{N}=8$ amplitudes from string theory
- Recall that the 4-point amplitudes at 1-loop in both $\mathcal{N}=4$ and $\mathcal{N}=8$ were firstly computed from string theory (Brink, Green, Schwarz '81)

• Covariant description using 10D superfields (Siegel '79, Witten '86)

$$A_{\alpha}(x,\theta), A_{m}(x,\theta), W^{\alpha}(x,\theta), \mathcal{F}_{mn}(x,\theta)$$

• Linearized equations of motion (for one-particle states)

$$D_{\alpha}A_{\beta} + D_{\beta}A_{\alpha} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{m}A_{m}, \quad D_{\alpha}A_{m} = (\gamma_{m}W)_{\alpha} + \partial_{m}A_{\alpha}$$
$$D_{\alpha}W^{\beta} = \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^{mn})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\mathcal{F}_{mn}, \quad D_{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{mn} = 2\partial_{[m}(\gamma_{n}]W)_{\alpha}$$

where D_{α} is covariant derivative, $\{D_{\alpha}, D_{\beta}\} = \gamma^{m}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{m}$.

- In computing higher-point string amplitudes, need to evaluate OPEs among vertex operators
- Recall integrated vertex

$$U = \partial \theta^{\alpha} A_{\alpha} + A_m \Pi^m + d_{\alpha} W^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} N^{mn} F_{mn}$$

• The idea is to note that the simple pole in the OPE $U^1(z_1)U^2(z_2)$ can be written as

$$U_{12} \equiv \partial \theta^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{12} + \Pi^m A_m^{12} + d_{\alpha} W_{12}^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} N^{mn} \mathcal{F}_{mn}^{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha}^{12} &= -\frac{1}{2} \Big[A_{\alpha}^{1} (k^{1} \cdot A^{2}) + A_{m}^{1} (\gamma^{m} W^{2})_{\alpha} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \Big] \\ A_{m}^{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[A_{p}^{1} \mathcal{F}_{pm}^{2} - A_{m}^{1} (k^{1} \cdot A^{2}) + (W^{1} \gamma_{m} W^{2}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \Big] \\ W_{12}^{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^{mn} W^{2})^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{mn}^{1} + W_{2}^{\alpha} (k^{2} \cdot A^{1}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ \mathcal{F}_{mn}^{12} &= k_{m}^{12} A_{n}^{12} - k_{n}^{12} A_{m}^{12} - (k^{1} \cdot k^{2}) (A_{m}^{1} A_{n}^{2} - A_{n}^{1} A_{m}^{2}). \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the following equations of motion

$$2D_{(\alpha}A_{\beta)}^{12} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{m}A_{m}^{12} + (k^{1} \cdot k^{2})(A_{\alpha}^{1}A_{\beta}^{2} + A_{\beta}^{1}A_{\alpha}^{2})$$

$$D_{\alpha}A_{m}^{12} = (\gamma_{m}W^{12})_{\alpha} + k_{m}^{12}A_{\alpha}^{12} + (k^{1} \cdot k^{2})(A_{\alpha}^{1}A_{m}^{2} - A_{\alpha}^{2}A_{m}^{1})$$

$$D_{\alpha}W_{12}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^{mn})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\mathcal{F}_{mn}^{12} + (k^{1} \cdot k^{2})(A_{\alpha}^{1}W_{2}^{\beta} - A_{\alpha}^{2}W_{1}^{\beta})$$

$$D_{\alpha}F_{mn}^{12} = k_{m}^{12}(\gamma_{n}W^{12})_{\alpha} - k_{n}^{12}(\gamma_{m}W^{12})_{\alpha} + (k^{1} \cdot k^{2})(A_{\alpha}^{1}\mathcal{F}_{mn}^{2} - A_{\alpha}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{mn}^{1})$$

C.R. Mafra (DAMTP)

Multiparticle SYM equations of motion and BRST blocks

- Straightforward to generalize (without computing OPEs)
- Example at rank-three

$$\hat{A}^{123}_{lpha} = -rac{1}{2} ig[A^{12}_{lpha}(k^{12} \cdot A^3) + A^{12}_m(\gamma^m W^3)_{lpha} - (12 \leftrightarrow 3) ig]$$

$$D_{\beta}\hat{A}_{\alpha}^{123} + D_{\alpha}\hat{A}_{\beta}^{123} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{m}\hat{A}_{m}^{123} + (k^{1} \cdot k^{2}) [A_{\alpha}^{1}A_{\beta}^{23} + A_{\alpha}^{13}A_{\beta}^{2} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)] + (k^{12} \cdot k^{3}) [A_{\alpha}^{12}A_{\beta}^{3} - (12 \leftrightarrow 3)]$$

Recursive construction of BRST blocks K_B ∈ {A^B_α, A^m_B, W^α_B, F^{mn}_B} with multiparticle label B obeying generalized SYM equations of motion

C.R. Mafra (DAMTP)

• The BRST blocks K_B satisfy Lie symmetries

$$0 = K_{12} + K_{21}, \quad (antisymmetry)$$

$$0 = K_{123} + K_{231} + K_{312}, \quad (Jacobi identity)$$

$$0 = K_{1234} - K_{1243} + K_{3412} - K_{3421}$$

$$0 = general pattern known$$

• One can associate

$$K_{1234...p} \leftrightarrow f^{12a_2} f^{a_23a_3} f^{a_34a_4} \dots f^{a_{p-1}pa_p}$$

- Lie symmetries in the fundamentals of SYM theory!
- Connection with BCJ identities (Bern, Carrasco, Johansson '08)
- Hint of a kinematic algebra from OPEs of string theory?

Loop amplitude prescription

$$\mathcal{A}_{g} = S_{g} \kappa^{4} e^{4\lambda} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g}} \prod_{j=1}^{3g-3} d^{2} \tau_{j} \int_{\Sigma_{4}} \left| \langle \mathcal{N}(b, \mu_{j}) U^{1}(z_{1}) \dots U^{4}(z_{4}) \rangle \right|^{2}$$

• b-ghost insertion

$$(b, \mu_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 y_j b_{zz} \mu_j^z, \quad j = 1, \dots, 3g - 3$$
$$b = \frac{1}{2(\lambda \overline{\lambda})} \Pi^m (\overline{\lambda} \gamma_m d) + \frac{(\overline{\lambda} \gamma^{mnp} r)}{192(\lambda \overline{\lambda})^2} \frac{\alpha'}{2} (d\gamma_{mnp} d) + \dots$$

• Zero-mode regulator

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{l=1}^{g} e^{-(\lambda \overline{\lambda}) - (w^{l} \overline{w}^{l}) - (r\theta) + (s^{l} d^{l})}$$

Loop amplitude prescription

• Zero-mode integrations

$$\langle \ldots \rangle = \int [d\theta] [dr] [d\lambda] [d\overline{\lambda}] \prod_{l=1}^{3} [dd^{l}] [ds^{l}] [d\overline{w}^{l}] [dw^{l}]$$

• with measures (CM, Gomez '10)

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\lambda \end{bmatrix} T_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_5} = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{-2} \frac{1}{11!} \left(\frac{A_g}{4\pi^2}\right)^{11/2} \epsilon_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{16}} d\lambda^{\alpha_6}\dots d\lambda^{\alpha_{16}}$$

$$[d\overline{\lambda}] \overline{T}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_5} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 \frac{2^5}{11!} \left(\frac{A_g}{4\pi^2}\right)^{11/2} \epsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{16}} d\overline{\lambda}_{\alpha_6} \dots d\overline{\lambda}_{\alpha_{16}}$$

• Gaussian integration over pure spinors (Gomez '09)

$$\int [d\lambda] [d\overline{\lambda}] (\lambda\overline{\lambda})^n e^{-(\lambda\overline{\lambda})} = \left(\frac{A_g}{2\pi}\right)^{11} \frac{\Gamma(8+n)}{7!\,60}$$

String amplitudes versus S-duality

4-point amplitudes at 0-, 1- and 2-loops (CM, Gomez '10):

$$\mathcal{A}_{0} = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{3} K \overline{K} \kappa^{4} e^{-2\lambda} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{16} \pi^{5}} \left[\frac{3}{\sigma_{3}} + 2\zeta_{3} + \zeta_{5} \sigma_{2} + \frac{2}{3} \zeta_{3}^{2} \sigma_{3} + \cdots \right]$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{1} = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{3} K \overline{K} \kappa^{4} \frac{1}{2^{10} 3\pi} \left[1 + \frac{\zeta_{3}}{3} \sigma_{3} + \cdots \right]$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{2} = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{3} K \overline{K} \kappa^{4} e^{2\lambda} \frac{\sqrt{2} \pi^{3}}{2^{6} 3^{3} 5} \left[\sigma_{2} + \cdots \right]$$

confirm the predictions based on S-duality (Green, Gutperle, Vanhove)

$$S^{\alpha'^{3}} = C_{1} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R}^{4} (2\zeta_{3}e^{-2\phi} + \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3})$$

$$S^{\alpha'^{5}} = C_{2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} D^{4} \mathcal{R}^{4} (2\zeta_{5}e^{-2\phi} + \frac{8}{3}\zeta_{4}e^{2\phi})$$

C.R. Mafra (DAMTP)

- Agreement up to 2-loops is very non-trivial
- What about 3-loops?

The 3-loop amplitude



The pure spinor formalism:

$$\mathcal{A}_{3} = \frac{1}{3} \kappa^{4} e^{4\lambda} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{3}} \prod_{j=1}^{6} d^{2} \tau_{j} \int_{\Sigma_{4}} \left| \langle \mathcal{N}(b, \mu_{j}) U^{1}(z_{1}) \dots U^{4}(z_{4}) \rangle \right|^{2}$$

S-duality (Green, Vanhove '05)

$$S^{\alpha'^{6}} = C_{3} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \, D^{6} \mathcal{R}^{4} (4\zeta_{3}^{2}e^{-2\phi} + 8\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} + \frac{48}{5}\zeta_{2}^{2}e^{2\phi} + \frac{8}{9}\zeta_{6}e^{4\phi})$$

The 3-loop amplitude

• The computation is guided by the saturation of the (16, 16, 16) d_{lpha} zero-modes

$$d_lpha(z)=\hat{d}_lpha(z)+d_lpha'w_I(z), \quad I=1,2,3$$

ullet s^lpha has (11,11,11) zero modes (instead of 16) and appears only in ${\cal N}$

$$\int \prod_{l=1}^{3} [ds^{l}] e^{-(d^{l}s^{l})} = \left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{6} \frac{(2\pi)^{33/2} Z_{3}^{11}}{R^{3} 2^{18} (11! \, 5!)^{3} (\lambda \overline{\lambda})^{9}} \prod_{l=1}^{3} (\epsilon \cdot T \cdot d^{l})$$

 $Z_{g} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\mathrm{Im}\Omega)}}, \quad T_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}\alpha_{4}\alpha_{5}} = (\lambda\gamma^{m})_{\alpha_{1}}(\lambda\gamma^{n})_{\alpha_{2}}(\lambda\gamma^{p})_{\alpha_{3}}(\gamma_{mnp})_{\alpha_{4}\alpha_{5}}$

- Brings down (11, 11, 11) zero modes of d_{α}
- Need to saturate the remaining (5, 5, 5) d zero modes

• (4, 4, 4):

Two possible contributions from $\int \prod_{j=1}^{6} d^2 \tau_j |(b, \mu_j)|^2$:

$$= c_{b_1}^2 \int d^2 \Omega_{IJ} \left| \frac{B_{(4,4,4)}}{(\lambda \overline{\lambda})^{12}} \right|^2$$

• (3, 4, 4), (4, 3, 4) or (4, 4, 3):

$$= c_{b_2}^2 \int d^2 \Omega_{IJ} \left| \frac{1}{(\lambda \overline{\lambda})^{11}} \left(\Pi_m^1 B_{(3,4,4)}^m + \Pi_m^2 B_{(4,3,4)}^m + \Pi_m^3 B_{(4,4,3)}^m \right) \right|^2$$

$$\begin{split} B_{(4,4,4)} &= (\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{1})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{3}d^{3}) \\ B_{(3,4,4)}^{m} &= +2(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{m}d^{1})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{3}d^{3}) \\ &- (\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{m}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{1})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{3}d^{3}) \\ &+ (\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{m}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{1})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{1}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{2})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{2}d^{3})(\overline{\lambda}rd^{3}d^{3}) \end{split}$$

where

$$(\overline{\lambda}rd^{I}d^{J}) \equiv (\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{mnp}r)(d^{I}\gamma_{mnp}d^{J})$$

• Teichmüller parameters \longrightarrow period matrix

$$\int d^2 z \, w_I(z) \, w_J(z) \mu_i(z) = \frac{\delta \Omega_{IJ}}{\delta \tau_i}$$
$$\int \prod_{j=1}^6 d^2 \tau_j \Big| \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_6} \frac{\delta \Omega_{11}}{\delta \tau_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\delta \Omega_{33}}{\delta \tau_{i_6}} \Big|^2 = \int \prod_{i=1}^3 d^2 \Omega_{IJ}$$

SO

C.R. Mafra (DAMTP)

11.06.2014 18 / 29

The 3-loop amplitude

Up to now

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12} &= c_{12} \int \frac{d^2 \Omega_{IJ}}{Z_3^{22}} \int_{\Sigma_4} \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik^j \cdot x^j} \right\rangle \left| \left\langle D_{(15,15,15)} U^1 U^2 U^3 U^4 \right\rangle_{(-9)} \right|^2 \\ A_{11} &= c_{11} \int \frac{d^2 \Omega_{IJ}}{Z_3^{22}} \int_{\Sigma_4} \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{ik^j \cdot x^j} \right\rangle \\ &\times \left| \left\langle (\prod_m^1 D_{(14,15,15)}^m + \prod_m^2 D_{(15,14,15)}^m + \prod_m^3 D_{(15,15,14)}^m) U^1 U^2 U^3 U^4 \right\rangle_{(-8)} \right|^2 \\ \text{with coefficients} \end{aligned}$$

$$c_{12} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \, 2^{-101} \kappa^4 e^{4\lambda}}{\pi^{42} \, 3^{13} (11! \, 5!)^6} \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{24}$$
$$c_{11} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \, 2^{-93} \kappa^4 e^{4\lambda}}{\pi^{42} \, 3^{11} (11! \, 5!)^6} \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{22}$$

3

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 田 ト ・

• The b-ghost sector with $D_{(15,15,15)}$ requires (1,1,1) d zero modes from the vertices; $U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 =$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^4 \left[(dW_{12})(dW_3)(dW_4) \eta_{12} + (1,2|1,2,3,4) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^3 \sum_{l=1}^3 \prod_l^m w_l(z_1) A_m^1(dW^2)(dW^3)(dW^4) + (1\leftrightarrow 2,3,4) \right]$$

• W_{ij} is a rank-two BRST block and η_{ij} is proportional to the worldsheet derivative of the Green's function

- < ≣ ≻ <

3-loop kinematic factors

Use the operator $D^m_{(p+11,q+11,r+11)} \equiv \int \prod_{l=1}^3 [dd^l] (\epsilon \cdot T \cdot d^l) B^m_{(p,q,r)}$ to integrate remaining (1, 1, 1) zero modes to get

 $D_{(15,15,15)}(dW_{ij})(dW_k)(dW_l) = (11!\,5!\,)^396^3\,c_d^3\,T_{ij,k,l}(\lambda,\overline{\lambda},r)\Delta(z_j;\,z_k;\,z_l)$ $D_{(15,15,15)}(dW_i)(dW_j)(dW_k)A_l^m = (11!\,5!\,)^396^3\,c_d^3\,L_{ijkl}^m(\lambda,\overline{\lambda},r)\Delta(z_j;\,z_k;\,z_l)$

where
$$\Delta(z_i; z_j; z_k) \equiv \epsilon^{IJK} w_I(z_i) w_J(z_j) w_K(z_k)$$
 and
 $T_{ij,k,l}(\lambda, \overline{\lambda}, r) = (\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{abc}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{def}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{ghi}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{mnp}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{qrs}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{tuv}r)$
 $\times (\lambda\gamma^{adefm}\lambda)(\lambda\gamma^{bghit}\lambda)(\lambda\gamma^{uqrsn}\lambda)(\lambda\gamma^{c}W_{ij})(\lambda\gamma^{p}W_{k})(\lambda\gamma^{v}W_{l}),$
 $L^{m}_{ijkl}(\lambda, \overline{\lambda}, r) = (\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{abc}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{def}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{ghi}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{mnp}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{qrs}r)(\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{tuv}r)$
 $\times (\lambda\gamma^{adefm}\lambda)(\lambda\gamma^{bghit}\lambda)(\lambda\gamma^{uqrsn}\lambda)(\lambda\gamma^{c}W_{i})(\lambda\gamma^{p}W_{j})(\lambda\gamma^{v}W_{k})A^{n}_{l}$

3-loop amplitude

After analogous treatment of the b(11) sector:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3} &= c \int_{\mathcal{M}_{3}} \frac{d^{2} \Omega_{IJ}}{(\det(2 \operatorname{Im} \Omega))^{5}} \int_{\Sigma_{4}} \left[\langle |\mathcal{F}|^{2} \rangle + \langle |\mathcal{T}|^{2} \rangle \right] \mathcal{I}(s_{ij}) \\ c &= (2\pi)^{10} \delta^{(10)}(k) \frac{\kappa^{4} e^{4\lambda}}{2^{31} 3^{9} 5^{2} 7^{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2} \right)^{5} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= T_{12,3,4} \Delta(z_2; z_3; z_4) \eta_{12} + T_{13,2,4} \Delta(z_3; z_2; z_4) \eta_{13} + T_{14,2,3} \Delta(z_4; z_2; z_3) \eta_{14} \\ &+ T_{23,1,4} \Delta(z_3; z_1; z_4) \eta_{23} + T_{24,1,3} \Delta(z_4; z_1; z_3) \eta_{24} + T_{34,1,2} \Delta(z_4; z_1; z_2) \eta_{34} \\ \mathcal{T} &= T_{1234}^m \Delta^m(z_1, z_2; z_3; z_4) + T_{1324}^m \Delta^m(z_1, z_3; z_2; z_4) + T_{1423}^m \Delta^m(z_1, z_4; z_2; z_3) \\ &+ T_{2314}^m \Delta^m(z_2, z_3; z_1; z_4) + T_{2413}^m \Delta^m(z_2, z_4; z_1; z_3) + T_{3412}^m \Delta^m(z_3, z_4; z_1; z_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$T_{1234}^{m} = L_{1342}^{m} + L_{2341}^{m} + \frac{5}{2}S_{1234}^{m}$$

-

Low energy limit

- Kinematic factors $|\mathcal{F}|^2$ and $|\mathcal{T}|^2$ of order D^8R^4 and D^6R^4 respectively
- Integrals inside $|\mathcal{F}|^2$ have kinematic poles. Integration by parts identities generate $D^6 R^4$ contributions.
- Set Koba-Nielsen factor to 1 and use Riemann relations

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma_4} \langle |\mathcal{F}|^2 \rangle \mathcal{I}(s_{ij}) &= -\pi \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right) \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle \int_{\Sigma_4} \Omega_{12} \Delta(z_2; z_3; z_4) \overline{\Delta}(z_1; z_3; z_4) \\ &= -36\pi \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right) \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle \det(2 \operatorname{Im} \Omega) \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{|T_{23,1,4}|^2 + |T_{14,2,3}|^2}{s_{23}} + \frac{|T_{24,1,3}|^2 + |T_{13,2,4}|^2}{s_{24}} + \frac{|T_{34,1,2}|^2 + |T_{12,3,4}|^2}{s_{34}}$$

• This is how one gets $D^6 R^4$ contributions from the " $D^8 R^4$ " sector!

Low energy limit

- ullet Kinematic factors $|\mathcal{F}|^2$ and $|\mathcal{T}|^2$ of order D^8R^4 and D^6R^4 respectively
- Integrals inside $|\mathcal{F}|^2$ have kinematic poles. Integration by parts identities generate $D^6 R^4$ contributions.
- Set Koba-Nielsen factor to 1 and use Riemann relations

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma_4} \langle |\mathcal{F}|^2 \rangle \mathcal{I}(s_{ij}) &= -\pi \Big(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\Big) \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle \int_{\Sigma_4} \Omega_{12} \Delta(z_2; z_3; z_4) \overline{\Delta}(z_1; z_3; z_4) \\ &= -36\pi \Big(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\Big) \langle \mathcal{K} \rangle \det(2 \operatorname{Im} \Omega) \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{|T_{23,1,4}|^2 + |T_{14,2,3}|^2}{s_{23}} + \frac{|T_{24,1,3}|^2 + |T_{13,2,4}|^2}{s_{24}} + \frac{|T_{34,1,2}|^2 + |T_{12,3,4}|^2}{s_{34}}$$

• This is how one gets $D^6 R^4$ contributions from the " $D^8 R^4$ " sector!

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Sigma_4} \Delta^m(z_1, z_2; z_3; z_4) \overline{\Delta}^n(\overline{z}_1, \overline{z}_2; \overline{z}_3; \overline{z}_4) = -12\pi \eta^{mn} \Big(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\Big) \det(2 \operatorname{Im} \Omega), \\ &\int_{\Sigma_4} \Delta^m(z_1, z_2; z_3; z_4) \overline{\Delta}^n(\overline{z}_1, \overline{z}_3; \overline{z}_2; \overline{z}_4) = +12\pi \eta^{mn} \Big(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\Big) \det(2 \operatorname{Im} \Omega), \\ &\int_{\Sigma_4} \Delta^m(z_1, z_2; z_3; z_4) \overline{\Delta}^n(\overline{z}_3, \overline{z}_4; \overline{z}_1; \overline{z}_2) = 0 \end{split}$$

leads to

$$\int_{\Sigma_4} |\mathcal{T}|^2 = -36\pi \Bigl(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\Bigr) \, \mathsf{det}(2\,\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)\, \mathcal{L}\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$$

where \mathcal{L}^m is some linear combination of \mathcal{T}^m_{ijkl} .

< ∃ > <

• Factor of det $(2 \operatorname{Im} \Omega)$ leads to modular invariant measure with volume

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \frac{d^2 \Omega_{IJ}}{(\det(2 \operatorname{Im} \Omega))^4} = \frac{3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{2^6 \cdot 3^6} \zeta_6$$

• Amplitude in low energy limit becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{3} = -(2\pi)^{10}\delta^{(10)}(k) \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{6} \langle \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \rangle \kappa^{4} e^{4\lambda} \frac{\pi \zeta_{6}}{2^{35} 3^{10} 5^{3} 7^{2}}$$

• Component expansion in pure spinor superspace gives

$$\left< \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \right> = -2^{35} \, 3^7 \, 5^3 \, 7^2 \left(s_{12}^3 + s_{13}^3 + s_{14}^3 \right) K \overline{K}$$

where

$${\it K}=-2^3\,2880\,{\it A}_{1234}^{
m YM}\,{\it s}_{12}{\it s}_{23}$$



$$=\frac{1}{3}(2\pi)^{10}\delta^{(10)}(k)\kappa^{4}e^{4\lambda}\frac{\pi\,\zeta_{6}}{3^{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{6}(s_{12}^{3}+s_{13}^{3}+s_{14}^{3})\,K\overline{K}$$

・ロト ・日下 ・ 日下

S-duality prediction versus amplitude calculation

• From the effective action, ratio of tree-level and 3-loop $D^6 R^4$ interaction:

$$\frac{2\zeta_6}{9\zeta_3^2}\,e^{6\phi}=\frac{\sqrt{2}2^{14}\pi^6\zeta_6}{9\zeta_3^2}\,e^{6\lambda}$$

• This ratio is equal to the ratio of the tree-level and 3-loop amplitudes

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{3}^{{\alpha'}^{6}}}{\mathcal{A}_{0}^{{\alpha'}^{6}}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}2^{14}\pi^{6}\zeta_{6}}{9\zeta_{3}^{2}} e^{6\lambda}$$

• provided one includes the $\frac{1}{3}$ symmetry factor

• The end

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

The Z_3 argument

- ullet Recall that both the 1- and 2-loop amplitudes require a factor 1/2
- Every genus-1 and genus-2 surface is hyperelliptic

$$y^2 = z(z-1)(z-a),$$
 $g = 1,$
 $y^2 = z(z-1)(z-a_1)(z-a_2)(z-a_3),$ $g = 2,$

- where a and a₁, a₂, a₃ parametrize the moduli space. These curves have the Z₂ symmetry y ↔ -y, implying the symmetry factor 1/2
- Every genus-three Riemann surface can be embedded in CP² as a quartic curve. The number of free parameters is (after some considerations) 6, therefore one is free to choose

$$xy^3 + a_1zy^3 + a_2x^4 + a_3x^3z + a_4x^2z^2 + a_5xz^3 + a_6z^4 = 0,$$

• Z_3 symmetry over y coordinate implying a 1/3 symmetry factor