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Abstract. We consider various random models (directed polymer, ferromagnetic random Potts model, Ising spin glasses) in their disorder-dominated phases, where the free-energy cost \( F(L) \) of an excitation of length \( L \) presents fluctuations that grow as a power law \( \Delta F(L) \sim L^{\omega} \) with the so-called droplet exponent \( \omega > 0 \).

We study the tails of the probability distribution \( \Pi(x) \) of the rescaled free-energy cost \( x = (F_L - \overline{F_L})/L^\omega \), which are governed by two exponents \( (\eta_-, \eta_+) \) defined by \( \ln \Pi(x \to \pm \infty) \sim -|x|^{\eta_\pm} \). The aim of this paper is to establish simple relations between these tail exponents \( (\eta_-, \eta_+) \) and the droplet exponent \( \omega \). We first prove these relations for disordered models on diamond hierarchical lattices where exact renormalizations exist for the probability distribution \( \Pi(x) \). We then interpret these relations via an analysis of the measure of the rare disorder configurations governing the tails. Our conclusion is that these relations, when expressed in terms of the dimensions of the bulk and of the excitation surface, are actually valid for general lattices.
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1. Introduction

To understand the low-temperature phase of disordered systems, it is important to characterize both the statistics of the ground state energy over the disordered samples and the statistics of excitations above the ground state within one sample.

The ground state energy $E_0$ of a disordered sample is the minimal energy among the energies of all possible configurations. The study of its distribution thus belongs to the field of extreme value statistics. Whereas the case of independent random variables is well classified in three universality classes [1], the problem for the correlated energies within a disordered sample remains open and has been the subject of many recent studies. The interest lies both

(i) in the scaling behavior of the average $E_0^\text{av}(L)$ and the standard deviation $\Delta E_0(L)$ with the linear size $L$;

(ii) in the asymptotic distribution $P(x)$ of the rescaled variable $x = (E_0 - E_0^\text{av}(L))/\Delta E_0(L)$ in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$

$$
P_L(E_0) \sim L^{-\omega_s} \frac{1}{\Delta E_0(L)} \Pi \left( x = \frac{E_0 - E_0^\text{av}(L)}{\Delta E_0(L)} \right).$$

For spin glasses in finite dimension $d$, a sample of linear size $L$ contains $N = L^d$ spins. Following the definitions of [2], the ‘shift exponent’ $\omega_s$ governs the correction to extensivity of the averaged value

$$
E_0^\text{av}(L) \sim L^d e_0 + L^{\omega_s} e_1 + \cdots.
$$

Within the droplet theory [3,4], this shift exponent $\omega_s$ coincides with the domain wall exponent $\omega_{\text{DW}}$ and with the droplet exponent $\omega$ of low energy excitations (see below)

$$
\omega_s = \omega_{\text{DW}} = \omega.
$$

The ‘fluctuation exponent’ $\omega_f$ governs the growth of the standard deviation

$$
\Delta E_0(L) \sim L^{\omega_f}.
$$
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In any finite dimension \( d \), it has been proven that the fluctuation exponent is \( \omega_f = d/2 \) [5]. Accordingly, the rescaled distribution \( P(x) \) of equation (1) was numerically found to be Gaussian in \( d = 2 \) and \( d = 3 \) [2], suggesting some Central Limit theorem. In contrast with finite-dimensional spin glasses, where one needs to introduce two exponents \( \omega_s \) and \( \omega_f \), the directed polymer model [6] is characterized by a single exponent \( \omega \) that governs both the correction to extensivity of the average \( E_0^\text{av}(L) \) and the width \( \Delta E_0(L) \)

\[
E_0^\text{av}(L) \sim L e_0 + L^\omega e_1 + \cdots \\
\Delta E_0(L) \sim L^\omega e_2 + \cdots .
\]

(5)

This exponent also governs the statistics of low excitations within the droplet theory [4], as confirmed numerically [7]. In dimension 1 + 1, this exponent is exactly known to be \( \omega(d = 1) = 1/3 \) [8]–[11] and the corresponding rescaled distribution \( \Pi(x) \) is related to Tracy–Widom distributions of the largest eigenvalue of random matrices’ ensembles [10]–[12].

Among disordered systems, the directed polymer model thus presents the following distinctive feature: the statistics of the ground state energy over the samples is directly related to the statistics of excitations within a given sample [4]. In finite-dimensional spin systems, however, the statistics of the ground state energy over the samples is not very interesting: the fluctuation exponent \( \theta_f = d/2 \) and the corresponding Gaussian distribution \( P(x) \) simply reflects the fluctuations of the \( L^d \) random couplings defining the samples. The only information it contains on the statistics of excitations is the shift exponent \( \omega_s = \omega \) governing the correction to extensivity of the averaged value. In this paper, we will be interested in the statistics of the energy \( E_L \) of excitations above the ground state in a given sample. Its fluctuations are governed by the droplet exponent \( \omega \)

\[
\Delta E_L \sim L^\omega
\]

(6)

and its distribution is expected to follow a scaling form as \( L \to \infty \)

\[
P_L(E_L) \sim \frac{1}{L^\omega} \overline{\Pi} \left( x = \frac{E_L - E_L^\text{av}}{L^\omega} \right).
\]

(7)

Our aim is to show that the exponents governing the tails of the rescaled distributions \( \overline{\Pi}(x) \) are simply related to the droplet exponent \( \omega \). Since the whole low-temperature phase \( (T < T_c) \) is described at large scale by the zero-temperature fixed point, the statistics of the free energy \( F_L \) of excitations at \( T < T_c \) is the same as the statistics of the energy \( E_L \) of excitations above the ground state, up to some rescaling with the correlation length \( \xi(T) \). So the results concerning the tails of \( \overline{\Pi}(x) \) also concern the tails of the free energy of excitations at any temperature \( T < T_c \).

To establish the relations existing between the tails of the probability distribution \( \overline{\Pi}(x) \) and the droplet exponent \( \omega \), we will first focus on the diamond hierarchical lattices where exact renormalizations exist as we now recall. Among real-space renormalization procedures [13], Migdal–Kadanoff block renormalizations [14] play a special role because they can be considered in two ways, either as approximate renormalization procedures on hypercubic lattices, or as exact renormalization procedures on certain hierarchical lattices [15,16]. One of the most studied hierarchical lattice is the diamond lattice which is constructed recursively from a single link called here generation \( n = 0 \) (see figure 1): generation \( n = 1 \) consists of \( b \) branches, each branch containing 2 bonds in
series; generation \( n = 2 \) is obtained by applying the same transformation to each bond of generation \( n = 1 \). At generation \( n \), the length \( L_n \) between the two extreme sites \( A \) and \( B \) is \( L_n = 2^n \) and the total number of bonds is

\[
B_n = (2b)^n = L_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)} \quad \text{with} \quad d_{\text{eff}}(b) = \frac{\ln(2b)}{\ln 2}
\]

where \( d_{\text{eff}}(b) \) represents some effective dimensionality.

On this diamond lattice, various disordered models have been studied, such as for instance the diluted Ising model [17], ferromagnetic random Potts model [18]–[20], spin glasses [21]–[25] and the directed polymer model [26]–[34]. In this paper, we start from the exact renormalizations existing for these disordered models on the diamond lattices to derive the relations existing between the tail exponents and the droplet exponent \( \omega \).

This paper is organized as follows. The tails of the distribution \( \Pi(x) \) of the ground state energy of the directed polymer are discussed in section 2 together with numerical results; the tails of the distribution \( \tilde{\Pi}(x) \) of excitations in the ferromagnetic random Potts model and in the Ising spin glasses are studied in sections 3 and 4, respectively. Finally in section 5, we generalize these results to other lattices via an analysis of the measure of the rare disorder configurations governing the tails. Our conclusions are summarized in section 6. Appendix A contains more detailed calculations and appendix B contains a brief reminder of the Zhang argument for the directed polymer on hypercubic lattices.

2. Directed polymer on diamond lattice

In this section, we study the tails of the rescaled probability distribution \( \Pi(x) \) for the ground state energy of the directed polymer model (equation (1)).

2.1. Reminder on the exact renormalization

2.1.1. Renormalization at finite temperature. The model of a directed polymer in a random medium [6] can be defined on a diamond hierarchical lattice with \( b \) branches [26]–[34]. The partition function \( Z_n \) of generation \( n \) satisfies the exact recursion [27]

\[
Z_{n+1} = \sum_{a=1}^{b} Z_n^{(2a-1)} Z_n^{(2a)}
\]
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where \((Z_n^{(1)}, \ldots, Z_n^{(2b)})\) are \((2b)\) independent partition functions of generation \(n\). At generation \(n = 0\), the lattice reduces to a single bond with a random energy \(\epsilon\) drawn from some distribution \(\rho(\epsilon)\) and thus the initial condition for the recursion of equation (9) is simply

\[ Z_{n=0} = e^{-\beta \epsilon}. \]  

(10)

In the low-temperature phase where the free-energy width \(\Delta F(L)\) grows with the scale \(L\), the recursion is dominated at large scale by the maximal term in equation (9)

\[ Z_{n+1} \simeq \max_{1 \leq a \leq b} \left( Z_n^{(2a-1)} Z_n^{(2a)} \right) \]  

(11)

or equivalently in terms of free energies \(F_n = -T \ln Z_n\)

\[ F_{n+1} \simeq \min_{1 \leq a \leq b} \left( F_n^{(2a-1)} + F_n^{(2a)} \right). \]  

(12)

This effective low-temperature recursion coincides with the recursion of the energy \(E_0\) of the ground state studied in [26, 27]. The whole low-temperature phase is thus described by the zero-temperature fixed point.

2.1.2. Renormalization at zero temperature. We now focus on the statistics of the ground state energy of the directed polymer [26, 28, 29, 32]. At \(T = 0\), the recursion for the ground state energy involves the following minimization [26]:

\[ E_{n+1} = \min \left[ E_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(2)}; E_n^{(3)} + E_n^{(4)}; \ldots; E_n^{(2b-1)} + E_n^{(2b)} \right]. \]  

(13)

This translates into the following recursion for the probability \(P_n(E)\) [26]:

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE \, P_{n+1}(E) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dS \, Q_n(S) \right]^b \]  

(14)

where \(Q_n(S)\) is the distribution of the sum \(S = E_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(2)}\)

\[ Q_n(S) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE_2 \, P_n(E_1) P_n(E_2) \delta(S - (E_1 + E_2)). \]  

(15)

2.1.3. Renormalization in the scaling regime. For large \(n\), one expects the scaling [26]

\[ P_n(E) \simeq \frac{1}{n!} \Pi_b \left( \frac{E - \gamma_n}{\delta_n} \right) \]  

(16)

where the term \(\gamma_n\) is extensive in the length \(L_n\)

\[ \gamma_n \simeq \epsilon_0 L_n \]  

(17)

i.e. \(\gamma_{n+1}/\gamma_n \to 2\). The width \(\delta_n\) scales with the length \(L_n\) with some \textit{a priori} unknown exponent \(\omega\) [26]

\[ \delta_n \simeq \lambda^n \simeq L_n^\omega \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \equiv 2^\omega. \]  

(18)
Replacing the scaling form of equation (16) in the recursion of equations (14) and (15) yields

\[
\int_{(z-\gamma_{n+})/\delta_{n+}}^{+\infty} dx \Pi_b(x) = \left[ \int_{(z-2\gamma_{n})/\delta_{n}}^{+\infty} dx \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_2 \Pi_b(x_1) \Pi_b(x_2) \delta(x - (x_1 + x_2)) \right]^b .
\]

(19)

Using \( \gamma_{n+1}/\gamma_n = 2 \) and \( \delta_{n+1}/\delta_n \to \lambda = 2^\omega \), one obtains

\[
\int_{u/\lambda}^{+\infty} dx \Pi_b(x) = \left[ \int_{u}^{+\infty} dx G_b(x) \right]^b
\]

(20)

where

\[
G_b(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_2 \Pi_b(x_1) \Pi_b(x_2) \delta(x - (x_1 + x_2)).
\]

(21)

The recursion simplifies in the limit \( b = 1 \), where it reduces to the Central Limit theorem for the sum of random variables with \[26\]

\[
\omega(b = 1) = \frac{1}{2} \\
\Pi_{b=1}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}.
\]

(22)

We refer to \[26\] where an expansion in \( b = 1 + \epsilon \) has been developed.

Another limit where the recursion simplifies is \( b \to \infty \). In the limit, a single iteration consists in taking the minimum of a large number \( b \to \infty \) of random variables. The rescaled distribution is then the Gumbel distribution \[1\]

\[
\omega(b \to \infty) = 0 \\
\Pi_{b=\infty}(x) = e^{-e^x}.
\]

(23)

For \( 1 < b < +\infty \), the exponent \( \omega(b) \) is expected to decay from \( \omega(b = 1) = 1/2 \) to \( \omega(b = \infty) = 0 \), Accordingly, the rescaled distribution \( \Pi_b(x) \) is expected to interpolate between the Gaussian and the Gumbel distribution.

### 2.2. Relations between the tail exponents and the droplet exponent

Let us now focus on the tail exponents \( \eta(b) \) and \( \eta'(b) \) of the probability distribution \( \Pi_b \)

\[
\ln \Pi_b(x) \underset{x \to -\infty}{\simeq} -c(-x)^{\eta(b)} + \cdots
\]

\[
\ln \Pi_b(x) \underset{x \to +\infty}{\simeq} -dx^{\eta'(b)} + \cdots
\]

(24)

From the two extreme cases of equations \(22\) and \(23\), one expects that the left exponent \( \eta(b) \) varies between

\[
\eta(b = 1) = 2 \\
\eta(b = \infty) = 1
\]

(25)
whereas the right exponent $\eta'(b)$ varies between
\[ \eta'(b = 1) = 2, \quad \eta'(b = \infty) = \infty. \] (26)

The aim of this section is to show that these tail exponents are simply related to the
droplet exponent $\omega(b)$ via
\[ \eta(b) = \frac{1}{1 - \omega(b)} \]
\[ \eta'(b) = \frac{\ln(2b)}{\ln 2} \eta(b). \] (27)

To make things clearer, we have chosen to present here in the text only simple saddle-point
arguments at leading order. We refer to appendix A (see equations (A.14) and (A.31))
for a much more detailed proof with subleading corrections.

2.2.1. Left-tail exponent $\eta$. Assume that the left-tail decay of the probability distribution
$\Pi_b(x)$ is given at leading order by equation (24). A saddle-point analysis shows that the
probability distribution $G_b$ (equation (21)) of the sum $x = x_1 + x_2$ presents the tail
\[ \ln G_b(x) \overset{x \to -\infty}{\approx} -2c \left( -\frac{x}{2} \right)^\eta + \cdots. \] (28)

The recursion of equation (20) yields by differentiation that the tail of $\Pi_b$ is related to the
tail of $G_b$ via
\[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_b \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) u \to -\infty \sim bG_b(u) \left[ \int_u^{+\infty} dy G_b(y) \right]^{b-1} \overset{u \to -\infty}{\sim} bG_b(u) \overset{u \to -\infty}{\propto} be^{-2c(-u/2)^\eta} + \cdots. \] (29)

Using $\lambda = 2^\omega$, this yields in terms of the variable $x = u/\lambda$
\[ \ln \Pi_b \left( x \right) \overset{x \to -\infty}{\approx} -2c \left( -\frac{\lambda x}{2} \right)^\eta + \cdots = -2^{1+\eta(\omega-1)}c \left( -x \right)^\eta + \cdots. \] (30)

The consistency with the scaling form of the tail of equation (24) yields $\eta = 1/(1 - \omega)$ as stated in equation (27).

2.2.2. Right-tail exponent $\eta'$. Assume that the right-tail decay of the probability distribution
$\Pi_b(x)$ is given at leading order by (equation (24)). A saddle-point analysis shows that the probability distribution $G_b$ (equation (21)) of the sum $x = x_1 + x_2$ presents the tail
\[ \ln G_b(x) \overset{x \to +\infty}{\approx} -2c \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{\eta'} + \cdots. \] (31)

The recursion of equation (20) yields by differentiation that the tail of $\Pi_b$ is related to the
tail of $G_b$ via
\[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_b \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) u \to +\infty \sim bG_b(u) \left[ \int_u^{+\infty} dy G_b(y) \right]^{b-1} \overset{u \to -\infty}{\propto} [G_b(u)]^b \overset{u \to -\infty}{\propto} e^{-2bc(-u/2)^{\eta'}} + \cdots. \] (32)

Using $\lambda = 2^\omega$, this yields in terms of the variable $x = u/\lambda$
\[ \ln \Pi_b \left( x \right) \overset{x \to +\infty}{\approx} -2bc \left( -\frac{\lambda x}{2} \right)^{\eta'} + \cdots = -2^{1+\eta'(\omega-1)}bc \left( -x \right)^{\eta'} + \cdots. \] (33)

The consistency with the scaling form of the tail of equation (24) yields $\eta'(1 - \omega) = \ln(2b)/\ln 2$, as stated in equation (27).
2.2.3. Discussion. The relations of equation (27) have already been found for the special case \( b = 2 \) in [29]. However, in [29], a third relation between the three exponents was also written, leading to the simple values \( \eta(b = 2) = 3/2 \) and \( \eta'(b = 2) = 3 \) that seem now excluded numerically (see below).

2.3. Numerical results of the ground state energy statistics

2.3.1. Method: numerical recursion of the probability distribution. As explained in [26], it is more convenient numerically to consider the iteration of discrete probability distributions of the form

\[
P_n(e) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n(m) \delta_{e,m}
\]

where the energy \( e \) can take only integer values. This form is conserved via the recursion of equation (13) that only involves summation of energies and choice of minimal value. The convolution step of equation (15) can be written as

\[
Q_n(e) \equiv (P_n \ast P_n)(e) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} b_n(m) \delta_{e,m}
\]

with the following rules:

\[
b_n(m) = \sum_{m'=m}^{+\infty} a_n(m') a_n(m - m').
\]

Since the function

\[
\int_x^{+\infty} de Q_n(e) = \sum_{m>x} b_n(m) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n(m) \theta(m-1 < x < m)
\]

is constant on intervals with values

\[
c_n(m) = \sum_{m'=m}^{+\infty} b_n(m')
\]

it is easy to raise it to the power \( b \)

\[
\left[ \int_x^{+\infty} de Q_n(e) \right]^b = \sum_{m'=m}^{+\infty} [c_n(m)]^b \theta(m-1 < x < m).
\]

Since we have

\[
\int_x^{+\infty} de P_{n+1}(e) = \sum_{m>x} a_{n+1}(m) = \sum_{m'=m}^{+\infty} \sum_{m'=m}^{+\infty} a_{n+1}(m') \theta(m-1 < x < m)
\]

the recursion of equation (14) yields

\[
\sum_{m'=m}^{+\infty} a_{n+1}(m') = [c_n(m)]^b
\]
and thus the coefficients at generation \((n+1)\) can be obtained via
\[
a_{n+1}(m) = [c_n(m)]^b - [c_n(m + 1)]^b.
\]

This method allows us to obtain very accurate results for the fluctuation exponent \(\omega(b)\) and for the tail exponents \(\eta(b)\) and \(\eta'(b)\) because the probability distribution can be evaluated very far in the tails. The results presented below have been obtained by iteration up to \(n_g \sim 30\) generations of the discrete distribution of equation (34) with numerical tail cutoffs of the order of \(-10000 \leq m - m_{\text{center}}(n) \leq 1000\) around the moving center \(m_{\text{center}}(n)\) for each generation \(n\).

### 2.3.2. Numerical results for the fluctuation exponent \(\omega(b)\).

We first show in figure 2 the log–log plot of width \(\Delta E_0 \sim L^{\omega(b)}\) of the ground state energy probability distribution. The measures of the slopes yield the values given in table 1. The values of \(\omega(b)\) are in agreement with the existing previous numerical measures [26, 28, 29, 32]. The curve \(\omega(b)\) shown in figure 2(b) seems to suggest that the droplet exponent \(\omega\) remains positive as long as the effective dimension \(d_{\text{eff}}(b) = \ln(2b)/\ln 2\) (equation (8)) remains finite. Note that, for hypercubic lattices, the existence of a finite upper critical dimension \(d_c\) above which the droplet exponent vanishes has remained a very controversial issue between the numerical studies [35]–[38] and various theoretical approaches [39]–[41].

### 2.3.3. Numerical results for the rescaled probability distribution \(\Pi_b(x)\).

We show in figure 3 the asymptotic rescaled probability distributions \(\Pi_b(x)\) defined in equation (1). In figure 3(a) we show \(\Pi_b(x)\) in the bulk for \(b = 2, 4, 8\). In figure 3(b) we show \(\ln \Pi_b(x)\) to see the behaviors far into the tails.

From figure 4, we have measured the tail exponents defined by equation (24). The left-tail exponent \(\eta(b)\) can be measured as the slopes of the curves of figure 4(a). The
Figure 3. Rescaled probability distribution of the ground state energy of the directed polymer: (a) bulk representation for \( b = 2, 4, 8 \); (b) log plot for \( b = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 16, 24 \) to see the behavior far into the tails.

Table 1. Results for the exponents \( \omega(b) \) and \( \eta(b) \) as the number \( b \) of branches varies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( \omega(b) )</th>
<th>( \eta(b) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

results \( \eta(b) \) are given in table 1. The relation \( \eta(b) = 1/(1 - \omega(b)) \) (equation (27)) is well satisfied by our numerical results.

The right-tail exponent \( \eta'(b) \) turns out to be more difficult to measure precisely, because the corrections to the leading behavior are much stronger, as shown by the curvatures of our results of figure 4(b). However, the values predicted by the relation of equation (27)

\[
\eta'(b) = \frac{\ln(2b)}{\ln 2} \eta(b)
\]

are compatible with our data.

2.4. Extension to the critical point

On the diamond hierarchical lattice, the free-energy fluctuations of the directed polymer either grow as \( L^\omega \) for \( T < T_c \), or decay as \( L^{-\omega'} \) for \( T > T_c \) or remain of the order of \( O(1) \)
Figure 4. Log–log plot of the tails of the rescaled probability distribution of the ground state energy of the directed polymer for branching ratio $b = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 16, 24$. (a) Measure of the exponent $\eta(b)$ governing the left tail $\ln \Pi_b(x \to -\infty) \sim -(-x)^{\eta(b)}$. (b) Measure of the exponent $\eta'(b)$ governing the right tail $\ln \Pi_b(x \to +\infty) \sim -x^{\eta'(b)}$. The curvatures of $\ln(-\ln \Pi_b(x))$ as a function of $\ln(x)$ show that the corrections to the leading behavior are stronger than for the left tail shown in (a).

exactly at $T_c$. The study of the tails of the critical rescaled distribution [42] is a special case of the above relations (equation (27)) with $\omega_c = 0$

$$\eta_c(b) = 1$$

$$\eta'_c(b) = \frac{\ln(2b)}{\ln 2}$$

We refer to [42] for more details.

3. Ising and Potts random ferromagnets on diamond lattice

In this section, we study the tails of the rescaled distribution $\tilde{\Pi}(x)$ for the excitations in random Ising and Potts ferromagnets (equation (7)).

3.1. Reminder on the exact renormalization for the effective coupling

The Ising Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{Ising}} = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{i,j} S_i S_j$$

(45)

where the spins take the values $S_i = \pm 1$ and where the couplings $J_{i,j}$ are positive random variables (see below section 4 on spin glass for couplings of random sign). The Potts Hamiltonian is a generalization where the variable $\sigma_i$ can take $q$ different values:

$$H_{\text{Potts}} = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} 2J_{i,j} \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}$$

(46)

(We choose $(2J)$ to recover Ising for $q = 2$.)
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3.1.1. Renormalization at finite temperature. The effective coupling between the two end points $A$ and $B$ of the diamond lattice of figure 1 is defined by

$$e^{-2\beta J_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{Z_{++}}{Z_{+-}} = e^{-\beta(F_{++} - F_{+-})}$$

(47)

where $Z_{++}$ and $Z_{+-}$ are the partition functions corresponding, respectively, to the same color at both ends or to two different colors at both ends. So the effective coupling represents the free-energy cost of creating an interface between the two ends at distance $L$. The renormalization equation is, in terms of the variable $y = e^{2\beta J}$ [18]–[20]:

$$y_{n+1} = b \prod_{i=1}^b \left( \frac{y_n^{(i_1)} y_n^{(i_2)} + (q-1)}{y_n^{(i_1)} + y_n^{(i_2)} + (q-2)} \right).$$

(48)

In the low-temperature phase where the effective couplings $J_n$ grow with the length $L_n = 2^n$, the contribution of each branch $(i)$ is dominated by the minimal coupling between $(J_n^{(i_1)}, J_n^{(i_2)})$. This leads to the effective zero-temperature recursion

$$J_{n+1} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^b \min[J_n^{(i_1)}, J_n^{(i_2)}].$$

(49)

The whole low-temperature phase is thus described by the zero-temperature fixed point.

3.1.2. Renormalization at zero temperature. We now focus on the zero-temperature renormalization

$$J_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^b \min[J_n^{(i_1)}, J_n^{(i_2)}].$$

(50)

Note that two operations ‘sum’ and ‘min’ occur in the opposite order with respect to the directed polymer case of equation (13). Equation (50) translates into the following recursion for the probability $P_n(J)$:

$$P_{n+1}(J) = \int_0^{+\infty} dK_1 P_n^{\text{min}}(K_1) \cdots \int_0^{+\infty} dK_b P_n^{\text{min}}(K_b) \delta(J - (K_1 + K_2 + \cdots + K_b))$$

(51)

where $P_n^{\text{min}}(K)$ is the distribution of the minimum $K = \min(J_n^{(1)}, J_n^{(2)})$ of two variables drawn with the distribution $P_n(J)$:

$$\int_z^{+\infty} dK P_n^{\text{min}}(K) = \left[ \int_z^{+\infty} dJ P_n(J) \right]^2.$$

(52)

3.1.3. Renormalization in the scaling regime. For large $n$, one expects the scaling

$$P_n(J) \simeq \frac{1}{L_n^{\omega_n}} \Pi_b \left( \frac{J - \gamma_n}{L_n^{\omega_n}} \right).$$

(53)
Replacing the scaling form of equation (53) in the recursion of equations (51) and (52) yields

\[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{\Pi}_b \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 \widetilde{\Pi}_b^{\min}(x_1) \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_b \widetilde{\Pi}_b^{\min}(x_b) \delta(u - (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_b)) \] (54)

and

\[ \int_{z}^{+\infty} dx \widetilde{\Pi}_b^{\min}(x) = \left[ \int_{z}^{+\infty} dx \widetilde{\Pi}_b(x) \right]^2 \] (55)

and where the term \( \gamma_n \) should grow asymptotically as \( \gamma_{n+1}/\gamma_n \to b \). In terms of the effective dimension \( d_{\text{eff}}(b) \) of equation (8), this corresponds to

\[ \gamma_n \sim b^n L_{\ln b/\ln 2}^{-1} \] (56)

as it should for an interface of dimension \( d_s = d_{\text{eff}}(b) - 1 \).

The recursion simplifies in the limit \( b \to \infty \) where a single iteration consists in summing a large number of random variables

\[ \widetilde{\Pi}_{b \to \infty}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}. \] (57)

(Note that here the usual other simple limit \( b = 1 \) is not interesting since it does not correspond to a positive droplet exponent.)

### 3.2. Relation between the tail exponents and the droplet exponent

Let us now focus on the tail exponents \( \eta(b) \) and \( \eta'(b) \) of the probability distribution \( \widetilde{\Pi}_b \) defined as in equation (24). The aim of this section is to show that these tail exponents are simply related to the droplet exponent \( \omega(b) \) via

\[ \eta(b) = \frac{\ln b/\ln 2}{\ln b/\ln 2 - \omega(b)} \]
\[ \eta'(b) = \frac{\ln(2b)/\ln 2}{\ln b/\ln 2 - \omega(b)}. \] (58)

As in the directed polymer case, these relations can be obtained via simple saddle-point arguments.

#### 3.2.1. Left-tail exponent \( \eta \).

If the left tail of \( \widetilde{\Pi}_b(x) \) is described by equation (24), the distribution \( \widetilde{\Pi}_b^{\min} \) presents the same decay by differentiation of equation (55)

\[ \widetilde{\Pi}_b^{\min}(x) = 2\widetilde{\Pi}_b(x) \int_{x}^{+\infty} dy \widetilde{\Pi}_b(y) \sim 2\widetilde{\Pi}_b(x) \sim 2e^{-c(-x)^\eta}. \] (59)

A saddle-point analysis shows that the convolution of \( b \) variables \( K_i \) then presents the following decay (equation (54)):

\[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \widetilde{\Pi}_b \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \sim e^{-bc(-u/b)^\eta}. \] (60)

Using \( \lambda = 2^\omega \), this yields in terms of the variable \( x = u/\lambda \)

\[ \ln \widetilde{\Pi}_b(x) \sim b \left( -\frac{\lambda x}{b} \right)^\eta \sim b^{1-\eta} 2^{\eta \omega} c(-x)^\eta. \] (61)

The consistency with the tail of equation (24) yields the following constraint \( 2^{\omega} = b^{\eta-1} \) leading to the result for \( \eta(b) \) given in equation (58).
3.2.2. Right-tail exponent $\eta'$. If the right tail of $\tilde{\Pi}_b(x)$ is described by equation (24), the distribution $\tilde{\Pi}_{b}^{\min}$ presents the following exponential decay by differentiation of equation (55):

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{b}^{\min}(x) = 2\pi_b(x) \int_x^{+\infty} dy \tilde{\Pi}_b(y) \propto \pi_b^2(x) \propto e^{-2dx'\eta'}.$$  \hfill (62)

A saddle-point analysis shows that the convolution of $b$ variables $K_i$ then presents the following decay (equation (54)):

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{\Pi}_b \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \propto e^{-2bd(u/b)\eta'}.$$  \hfill (63)

Using $\lambda = 2^{\omega}$, this yields in terms of the variable $x = u/\lambda$

$$\ln \pi_b(x) \propto -2bd \left( \frac{\lambda x}{b} \right) \eta' \propto -b^{1-\eta'} 2^{1+\eta'\omega} dx'\eta'. $$  \hfill (64)

The consistency with the tail of equation (24) yields the following constraint: $2^{1+\eta'\omega} = b^{1-\eta'}$, leading to the result for $\eta'(b)$ given in equation (58).

3.3. Extension to the critical point

As in the directed polymer case (equation (44)), one may try to extend the results on the tail behaviors in the low-temperature phase where $\omega > 0$ to the critical point where $\omega_c = 0$. The right-tail exponent becomes at criticality (equation (58))

$$\eta'(b) = \frac{\ln(2b)}{\ln b}. $$  \hfill (65)

For the left tail, however, the problem is qualitatively different at criticality and will not be discussed here (the critical invariant distribution $P_c(J)$ does not extend to $(−\infty)$ anymore, but reaches the natural boundary $J = 0$).

4. Spin glasses on diamond lattice

We now consider the case of Ising spin glasses described by equation (45), but now the random couplings $J_{i,j}$ can be positive or negative and are distributed with a symmetric distribution $P_0(J)$

$$P_0(J) = P_0(-J). $$  \hfill (66)

4.1. Reminder on the exact renormalization for the effective coupling

4.1.1. Renormalization at zero temperature. The renormalization at finite temperature is still described by equation (48) with $q = 2$. However, the fact that the couplings are of arbitrary sign yields that the zero-temperature renormalization is now

$$J_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{b} \text{sgn} \left( J_n^{(i_1)} J_n^{(i_2)} \right) \min \left[ |J_n^{(i_1)}|, |J_n^{(i_2)}| \right]. $$  \hfill (67)
instead of equation (50) corresponding to the case of positive couplings only. The symmetry of the initial condition (equation (67)) is conserved by the renormalization

\[ P_n(J) = P_n(-J). \]  

(68)

To translate the renormalization of equation (67) into a recursion for the probability distribution \( P_n(J) \), it is convenient to introduce the probability distribution \( P_n^{abs}(J) \) of \(|J_n|\)

\[ P_n^{abs}(J > 0) = P_n(J) + P_n(-J) = 2P_n(J) \]  

(69)

and the probability distribution \( Q_n^{abs}(K) \) of \( K = \min[|J_n^{(1)}|, |J_n^{(2)}|] \geq 0 \) satisfying

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dK Q_n^{abs}(K) = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dJ P_n^{abs}(J) \right)^2 \]  

(70)

(the value \( z = 0 \) corresponds to the normalization condition of both distributions). The probability distribution \( Q_n(J) \) of \( K = \text{sgn}(J_n^{(1)} J_n^{(2)}) \min[|J_n^{(1)}|, |J_n^{(2)}|] \geq 0 \) is symmetric in \( K \rightarrow -K \)

\[ Q_n(K \neq 0) = \frac{1}{2} Q_n^{abs}(|K|). \]  

(71)

The recursion of equation (67) corresponds to the convolution

\[ P_{n+1}(J) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dK_1 Q_n(K_1) \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dK_b Q_n(K_b) \delta(J - (K_1 + K_2 + \cdots + K_b)). \]  

(72)

4.1.2. Renormalization in the scaling regime. For large \( n \), one expects the scaling

\[ P_n(J) \sim \frac{1}{L_n^{\omega_n}} \tilde{\Pi}_b \left( \frac{J}{L_n^{\omega_n}} \right) \]  

(73)

where \( \gamma_n = 0 \), in contrast with the ferromagnetic case of equation (53), and where the scaling function \( \tilde{\Pi}_b \) is symmetric (equation (68))

\[ \tilde{\Pi}_b(x) = \tilde{\Pi}_b(-x). \]  

(74)

4.2. Relation between the tail exponent and the droplet exponent

Note that the true rescaled distribution \( \tilde{\Pi}_b^{true}(x) \) as defined by equation (7) with a positive energy for excitations above the ground state actually corresponds to the distribution of the absolute value of the rescaled coupling \( \tilde{\Pi}_b(x) \) defined in equation (73)

\[ \tilde{\Pi}_b^{true}(x) = \tilde{\Pi}_b(x) + \tilde{\Pi}_b(-x). \]  

(75)

So the true distribution \( \tilde{\Pi}_b^{true}(x) \) begins at \( x = 0 \) with a non-zero value \( \tilde{\Pi}_b^{true}(0) \) [4] and there exists a single tail as \( x \rightarrow +\infty \). So, in contrast with the previous cases of the directed polymer and of the ferromagnetic Potts model described by two tail exponents (equation (24)), the distribution \( \tilde{\Pi}_b(x) \) of the rescaled coupling for spin glasses presents a single exponent \( \eta'(b) \) as a consequence of the symmetry of equation (74):

\[ \ln \tilde{\Pi}_b(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} -d|x|^{\eta'}. \]  

(76)

We will not repeat here the calculations that are similar to the case of the right tail of the Potts model and that lead to the same relation between exponents (equation (58)):

\[ \eta'(b) = \frac{\ln(2b)/\ln 2}{\ln b/\ln 2 - \omega(b)}. \]  

(77)
5. Generalization of the tail exponents’ relations to other lattices

In the previous sections, we have derived the relations that exist between the tail exponents \((\eta, \eta')\) and the scaling exponent \(\omega\) for various disordered models on the diamond hierarchical lattices from the exact renormalization recursions. The obtained relations are actually very simple in terms of the effective dimension \(d_{\text{eff}}(b)\) of these lattices (equation (8)). This suggests that these relations should have a simple interpretation. In this section, we explain the physical meaning of these relations and generalize them to other lattices.

5.1. Tail exponents for the directed polymer

5.1.1. Physical interpretation of the left tail. The relation \(\eta = 1/(1 - \omega)\) (equation (27)) derived previously from the exact renormalization on the diamond lattice can be interpreted as follows. The left tail of the ground state energy of the directed polymer corresponds to samples that leads to much lower energy than the average. Let us evaluate the probability to obtain a ground state energy \(E_0 = \gamma_n - aL_n\) extensively below the averaged value \(\gamma_n\) of the scaling function of equation (16) with the tail behavior described by equation (24)

\[
P_n(E_0 = \gamma_n - aL_n) \approx \frac{1}{L_n^\omega} \prod_b \left( -a \frac{L_n}{L_n^\omega} \right) L_n \to \infty e^{-c_a \gamma_n L_n^{(1-\omega)}}.
\]  

(78)

On the other hand, to obtain such a ground state energy \(E_0 = \gamma_n - aL_n\) extensively below the averaged value \(\gamma_n\), it seems reasonable to ask that each bond of the ground state configuration of length \(L\) should have an energy \(\epsilon_i\) lower than the average, which happens with the exponentially small probability

\[
\left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) \right]^L \sim e^{-c_{\text{st}} L}.
\]  

(79)

The identification of the length exponents in equations (78) and (79) yields

\[
\eta(1 - \omega) = 1
\]  

(80)

which corresponds to the relation found for the diamond lattice (equation (27)). From this interpretation in terms of the rare disordered samples that govern the left tail of the ground state energy configuration, we expect that the relation of equation (80) is actually valid on any lattice, and in particular for hypercubic lattices of \(1 + d\) dimensions. The relation is satisfied by the exact exponents in \(1 + 1\) dimensions with \(\omega = 1/3\) [8]–[11] and \(\eta = 3/2\) [10]–[12]. The relation of equation (80) has been previously derived for hypercubic lattices via the Zhang argument [6] (the argument is recalled in appendix B for comparison) and has been checked numerically in [43] for \(d = 2, 3\). However, the Zhang argument only concerns the left tail because it is based on the existence of a Lyapunov exponent for positive moments of the partition function. It cannot be extended easily to the right tail that would be in correspondence with negative moments. This is in contrast with the rare events analysis presented here that can be extended to the right tail as we now explain.
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5.1.2. Physical interpretation of the right tail. The relation $\eta' = d_{\text{eff}}(b)/(1 - \omega)$ (equation (27)) derived previously from the exact renormalization on the diamond lattice can be interpreted as follows. The right tail of the ground state energy of the directed polymer corresponds to samples that lead to much higher energy than the average. Let us evaluate the probability to obtain a ground state energy $E_0 = \gamma_n + aL_n$ extensively higher than the averaged value $\gamma_n$ of the scaling function of equation (16) with tail behavior described by equation (24)

$$P_n(E_0 = \gamma_n + aL_n) \simeq \frac{1}{L_n^\omega} \Pi_b \left( a \frac{L_n}{L_n^\omega} \right) L_n \rightarrow \infty e^{da\eta' L_n^\omega(1-\omega)}. \quad (81)$$

On the other hand, to obtain such a ground state energy $E_0 = \gamma_n + aL_n$ extensively higher than the averaged value $\gamma_n$, it seems reasonable to ask that all $L_{\text{eff}}^b$ bonds of the lattice should have an energy $\epsilon_i$ higher than the average, which happens with the exponentially small probability

$$\left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ldots \ldots \ldots d\rho(\epsilon) \right] \sim e^{-\text{cst}L_{\text{eff}}^b}. \quad (82)$$

The identification of the length exponents in equations (81) and (82)

$$\eta'(1 - \omega) = d_{\text{eff}} \quad (83)$$

exactly corresponds to the relation found for the diamond lattice (equation (27)). From this interpretation in terms of the rare disordered samples, we expect that the relation of equation (83) is actually valid on any lattice, and in particular for hypercubic lattices of $d_{\text{eff}} = 1 + d$ dimensions. The relation is satisfied by the exact exponents in $1+1$ dimensions with $\omega = 1/3$ [8]-[11] and $\eta' = 3$ [10]-[12].

5.2. Tail exponents for the ferromagnetic random Potts model

5.2.1. Physical interpretation of the left tail. The relation derived for the left tail of the Potts model on the diamond lattice (equation (58)) is, in terms of the effective dimension $d_{\text{eff}}(b)$ of equation (8):

$$\eta(b) = \frac{d_{\text{eff}}(b) - 1}{d_{\text{eff}}(b) - 1 - \omega(b)}. \quad (84)$$

We now propose the following physical interpretation. The left tail corresponds to samples that lead to much lower effective coupling than the average $\gamma_n \sim L_{\text{eff}}^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}$ (equation (56)). Let us evaluate the probability to obtain an effective coupling $J = \gamma_n - aL_{\text{eff}}^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}$ extensively below the averaged value $\gamma_n$ of the scaling function of equation (53) with tail behavior described by equation (24)

$$P_n(J = \gamma_n - aL_{\text{eff}}^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}) \simeq \frac{1}{L_n^\omega} \Pi_b \left( -a \frac{L_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}}{L_n^\omega} \right) L_n \rightarrow \infty e^{-ca\eta L_n^{\eta(d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1-\omega)}}. \quad (85)$$

On the other hand, to obtain such a low effective coupling $J = \gamma_n - aL_{\text{eff}}^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}$ extensively below the averaged value $\gamma_n$, it seems reasonable to ask that each bond of the interface
of dimension $L_{\text{d eff}}^{(b)-1}$ should have a coupling $J_i$ lower than the average, which happens with the exponentially small probability
\[ \left[ \int_0^{-\infty} dJ P_0(J) \right] L_{\text{d eff}}^{(b)-1} \sim e^{-\text{cst}} L_{\text{d eff}}^{(b)-1}. \] (86)

The identification of the length exponents in equations (85) and (86)
\[ \eta(d_{\text{eff}}(b) - 1 - \omega) = d_{\text{eff}}(b) - 1 \] (87)

exactly corresponds to the relation found for the diamond lattice (equation (84)).

5.2.2. Physical interpretation of the right tail. The relation derived for the left tail of the Potts model on the diamond lattice (equation (58)) is, in terms of the effective dimension $d_{\text{eff}}(b)$ of equation (8):
\[ \eta'(b) = \frac{d_{\text{eff}}(b)}{d_{\text{eff}}(b) - 1 - \omega(b)}. \] (88)

We now propose the following physical interpretation. The right tail corresponds to samples that lead to much higher effective coupling than the average $\gamma_n \sim L_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}$ (equation (56)). Let us evaluate the probability to obtain an effective coupling $J = \gamma_n + aL_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}$ extensively above the averaged value $\gamma_n$ of the scaling function of equation (53) with tail behavior described by equation (24)
\[ P_n(J = \gamma_n + aL_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}) \sim \frac{1}{L_n} \tilde{\omega}(aL_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}) \left( \frac{aL_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}}{L_n^\omega} \right)^{L_n \to \infty} e^{-d\eta'(b) L_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1 -\omega}}. \] (89)

On the other hand, to obtain such a high effective coupling $J = \gamma_n + aL_n^{d_{\text{eff}}(b)-1}$ extensively above the averaged value $\gamma_n$, it seems reasonable to ask that all bonds of the sample of dimension $L_{\text{d eff}}^{(b)}$ should have a coupling $J_i$ higher than the average, which happens with the exponentially small probability
\[ \left[ \int_0^{+\infty} dJ P_0(J) \right] L_{\text{d eff}}^{(b)} \sim e^{-\text{cst}} L_{\text{d eff}}^{(b)}. \] (90)

The identification of the length exponents in equations (89) and (90)
\[ \eta'(d_{\text{eff}}(b) - 1 - \omega) = d_{\text{eff}}(b) \] (91)

exactly corresponds to the relation found for the diamond lattice (equation (88)).

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the statistics of excitations of finite-dimensional random models (directed polymer, ferromagnetic random Potts model, Ising spin glasses) in their low-temperature phase characterized by a positive droplet exponent $\omega > 0$. We have shown that the tails of the rescaled probability distribution are characterized by two tail exponents $(\eta, \eta')$ that are simply related to the droplet exponent $\omega$. We have first proved these relations on the diamond hierarchical lattices where exact renormalizations exist
for the rescaled probability distribution. We have then given the physical meaning of these relations in terms of the measure of the rare disorder configurations governing the tails. This interpretation allows us to understand the asymmetry $\eta < \eta'$ because a ‘good’ sample contributing to the left tail is a sample containing $L^{d_s}$ ‘good’ random variables for an interface of dimension $d_s < d$, whereas a ‘bad’ sample contributing to the right tail is a sample containing $L^d$ ‘bad’ random variables for the bulk of dimension $d$. We have then argued that this physical interpretation means that the relations between the tail exponent $(\eta, \eta')$ and the droplet exponent $\omega$ should actually remain true on arbitrary lattices when expressed in terms of the dimensions $(d_s, d)$, namely

$$\eta = \frac{d_s}{d_s - \omega}$$

$$\eta' = \frac{d}{d_s - \omega}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (92)

The directed polymer corresponds to the case of a linear object $d_s = 1$ embedded in a space of total dimension $d$, whereas the ferromagnetic random Potts model corresponds to an interface of dimension $d_s = d - 1$ in a space of dimension $d$. These two cases merge for the special case of a linear object $d_s = 1$ embedded in a space of total dimension $d = 2$, which is not surprising since the directed polymer model was precisely invented to model a one-dimensional interface in two-dimensional ferromagnetic spin models at low temperature [44]. This special case also explains why it is the distribution of the ground state energy of the directed polymer model (equation (1)) which is in direct correspondence with the distribution of excitations in ferromagnetic spin models (equation (7)).

The case of spin glasses is different for at least two reasons. First of all, only the right-tail exponent $\eta'$ exists, because the distribution of the energy of excitations extends down to $E = 0$ as a consequence of the symmetry $J \rightarrow -J$ (see the discussion around equations (75) and (76)). Second, in real space, the dimension $d_s$ is expected to be different from the value $(d - 1)$ and to reflect the fractal nature of the droplet boundary [4].

As a final remark, we should stress that the tail exponents discussed here concern the universal scaling distributions of the rescaled variables. But of course, as in the Central Limit theorem, non-universal tails could also be present in random systems with particular initial disorder distributions.

**Appendix A. Tail exponents of the ground state energy distribution for the directed polymer on the diamond lattice**

In this appendix, we derive the relations between these tail exponents $\eta(b), \eta'(b)$ defined in equation (24) and the fluctuation exponent $\omega(b)$. We start from the recursion equations (19) and (20) in the scaling regime. The convolution relation of equation (21) is simple in Fourier

$$\hat{G}(q) = \left[\hat{F}(q)\right]^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)
Disorder-dominated phases of random systems

with

\[
\hat{G}_b(q) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, e^{ix} G_b(x) \quad G_b(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{-iqx} \hat{G}_b(q)
\]

\[
\hat{F}_b(q) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, e^{ix} \Pi_b(x) \quad \Pi_b(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{-iqx} \hat{F}_b(q)
\]

(A.2)

but the recursion relation equation (20) is non-local in Fourier. This is why it is difficult to obtain an explicit solution for the probability distribution \(\Pi_b\). In the following, we show that the problem simplifies for the tails of the distribution \(\Pi_b\).

A.1. Study of the left-tail form \(\Pi_b(x \to -\infty)\)

We write the left-tail of \(\Pi_b(x)\) as

\[
\Pi_b(x) \sim \left. \frac{1}{c|x|^{\eta} + \Phi(|x|)} \right|_{x \to -\infty}
\]

(A.3)

where the function \(\Phi(|x|)\) is subleading with respect to the first term of order \(|x|^\eta\). This left tail will determine the asymptotic of the Fourier transform \(\hat{F}_b(q)\) of equation (A.2) for \(q = is\) with real \(s \to +\infty\)

\[
\hat{\Pi}_b(q = is) \sim \left. \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, e^{-sx} \Pi_b(x) \right|_{s \to +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, e^{sx} e^{-c|x|^{\eta} + \Phi(x)}.
\]

(A.4)

Since \(\Phi(x)\) is subleading, we perform a saddle point calculation with the two first terms, yielding the saddle value

\[
x_*(s) = \left( \frac{s}{c\eta} \right)^{1/(\eta-1)}.
\]

(A.5)

One obtains

\[
\hat{\Pi}_b(q = is) \sim \left. \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{c\eta(\eta - 1)x_*^{2}(s) + \Phi(x_*(s))}} \right|_{s \to +\infty} \frac{2\pi}{c\eta(\eta - 1)x_*^{2}(s) + 2\Phi(x_*(s))}.
\]

(A.6)

A.1.1. Use of the convolution equation. The convolution equation is simple in Fourier (equation (A.1))

\[
\hat{G}_b(q = is) = (\hat{\Pi}_b(q = is))^2 \sim \left. \frac{2\pi}{c\eta(\eta - 1)x_*^{2}(s) + 2\Phi(x_*(s))} \right|_{s \to +\infty} \frac{2\pi}{c\eta(\eta - 1)x_*^{2}(s) + 2\Phi(x_*(s))}.
\]

(A.7)

A.1.2. Use of the recursion equation. We now consider the recursion of equation (20) in the tail \(u \to -\infty\). Using the normalization

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, \Pi_b(x) = 1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, G_b(x)
\]

(A.8)

we may rewrite it as

\[
1 - \int_{-\infty}^{u/\lambda} dx \, \Pi_b(x) = \left[ 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{u} dx \, G_b(x) \right]^b.
\]

(A.9)
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At leading order in the tail, one has
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{u/\lambda} dx \Pi_b(x) = b \int_{-\infty}^{u} dx \ G_b(x) + \cdots. \]  
(A.10)

The identification
\[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_b \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \bigg|_{u \to -\infty} \simeq b G_b(u) \]  
(A.11)

becomes in Fourier
\[ \hat{\Pi}_b(q = i\lambda s) \simeq s \to +\infty b \hat{G}_b(q = is). \]  
(A.12)

A.1.3. Identification. Rewriting equation (A.12) using equations (A.6) and (A.7) yields the following constraints. The identification of the leading term in the exponential yields
\[ \lambda^{\eta/(\eta - 1)} = 2 \]  
(A.13)

and with the notation \( \lambda \equiv 2^\omega \) (equation (18)) this gives
\[ \eta = \frac{1}{1 - \omega}. \]  
(A.14)

The identification of subleading terms is compatible with the power-law form
\[ e^{\Phi(x)} \simeq A x^{-\nu} \]  
(A.15)

with the parameters
\[ \nu = \frac{2 - \eta}{2}, \]
\[ A = \frac{1}{b^2} \sqrt{\frac{c\eta(\eta - 1)}{2\pi}}. \]  
(A.16)

In Fourier, this corresponds to pure exponential forms
\[ \hat{\Pi}_b(q = is) \simeq s \to +\infty \frac{1}{b} e^{c(\eta - 1)(s/c\eta)^{\eta/(\eta - 1)}} \]
\[ \hat{G}_b(q = is) = (\hat{\Pi}_b(q = is))^2 \simeq s \to +\infty \frac{1}{b^2} e^{2c(\eta - 1)(s/c\eta)^{\eta/(\eta - 1)}}. \]  
(A.17)

A.2. Study of the right-tail form \( \Pi_b(x \to +\infty) \)

We write the right tail of \( \Pi_b(x) \) as
\[ \Pi_b(x) \simeq x \to +\infty e^{-dx^{\nu} + \Psi(x)} \]  
(A.18)

where the function \( \Psi(x) \) is subleading with respect to the first term of order \( x^{\nu} \). This right tail will dominate the Fourier transform for \( q = -is \) with real \( s \to +\infty \)
\[ \hat{\Pi}_b(q = -is) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{sx} \Pi_b(x) \simeq s \to +\infty \int dx e^{sx - dx^{\nu} + \Psi(x)}. \]  
(A.19)
Since Ψ(\(x\)) is subleading, we perform a saddle point calculation in the two first terms, yielding the saddle value

\[
x_+ (s) = \left( \frac{s}{d \eta'} \right)^{1/(\eta' - 1)}.
\]  
(A.20)

One obtains

\[
\hat{\Pi}_b (q = -is) \sim \frac{2\pi}{d \eta'(\eta' - 1)x_+^{\eta'-2}(s)} e^{d(\eta'-1)x_+^\eta'(s) + \Psi(x_+(s))},
\]  
(A.21)

A.2.1. Use of the convolution equation. The convolution equation is simple in Fourier (equation (A.1))

\[
\hat{G}_b(q = -is) = (\hat{\Pi}_b(q = -is))^2 \approx s \to \infty \frac{2\pi}{d \eta'(\eta' - 1)x_+^{\eta'-2}(s)} e^{2d(\eta'-1)x_+^\eta'(s) + 2\Psi(x_+(s))}.
\]  
(A.22)

The asymptotic behavior of \(G_b\) for \(x \to \infty\) is then

\[
G_b(x) \approx e^{-Dx^\eta'+\rho(x)}
\]  
(A.23)

with the correspondence

\[
D = d^{1-\eta'} e^{\rho(2x)} = \frac{\pi}{d \eta'(\eta' - 1)x_+^{\eta'-2}}.
\]  
(A.24)

This suggests the power-law forms

\[
e^{\Psi(x)} \approx B x^{-\kappa}
e^{\rho(x)} \approx B x^{-\sigma}
\]  
(A.25)

with the following relations between exponents and amplitudes:

\[
\sigma = 2\kappa + \eta' - 2
\]  
(A.26)

A.2.2. Use of the recursion equation. We now consider the recursion of equation (20) in the tail \(u \to +\infty\). We may use equation (A.18) and use a saddle-point calculation at the left boundary \(x_{\text{left}} = u/\lambda\) yielding

\[
\int_{u/\lambda}^{+\infty} dx \, \Pi_b(x) \sim \frac{1}{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{d \eta'(u/\lambda)^{\eta' - 1}} e^{-d(u/\lambda)^\eta' + \Psi(u/\lambda)},
\]  
(A.27)

Similarly from equation (A.23)

\[
\int_u^{+\infty} dx \, G_b(x) \sim \frac{1}{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{D \eta' u^{\eta' - 1}} e^{-Du^\eta' + \rho(u)}.
\]  
(A.28)

So equation (20) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{d \eta'(u/\lambda)^{\eta' - 1}} e^{-d(u/\lambda)^\eta' + \Psi(u/\lambda)} = \left[ \frac{1}{D \eta' u^{\eta' - 1}} \right] b e^{-bDu^\eta' + b\rho(u)}.
\]  
(A.29)
A.2.3. Identification. The identification of the leading term gives using equation (A.24)

\[ \lambda^{\eta'} = \frac{d}{bD} = \frac{2^{\eta'-1}}{b}. \]  

(A.30)

Comparison with equation (A.13) yields that the two exponents \( \eta \) and \( \eta' \) are related via

\[ 2^{\eta'/\eta} = (2b). \]  

(A.31)

The subleading terms yield

\[ e^{b\rho(u)-\Psi(u/\lambda)} = \frac{(D\eta' u^{\eta'-1})^b}{d\eta' (u/\lambda)^{\eta'-1}} = (2d\eta' u^{\eta'-1})^{b-1} (2b)^{(1-\eta')/\eta'}. \]  

(A.32)

Using the power-law forms of equations (A.25), one obtains via the identification

\[ \kappa - b\sigma = (b-1)(\eta' - 1) \]

\[ \frac{(B^b)}{B_\lambda^\kappa} = (2d\eta')^{b-1} (2b)^{(1-\eta')/\eta'}. \]  

(A.33)

Consistency with equation (A.26) yields

\[ \kappa = \frac{1}{2b-1} \left[ -\frac{b}{2} (\eta' - 2) - (b-1)(\eta' - 1) \right] \]

\[ \sigma = \frac{1}{2b-1} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\eta' - 2) - 2(b-1)(\eta' - 1) \right]. \]  

(A.34)

Appendix B. Reminder of the Zhang argument for the left tail of the directed polymer

Let us now recall Zhang’s argument [6] that allows us to determine the exponent \( \eta \) of the left tail of the free energy distribution of the directed polymer

\[ P_{L}(F \to -\infty) \sim e^{-(|F|/L^\omega)^\eta}. \]  

(B.1)

Positive moments of the partition function can be evaluated by the saddle-point method, with a saddle value \( F^* \) lying in the negative tail (B.1)

\[ \overline{Z_L^k} = \int dF P_L(F)e^{-k\beta F} \sim \int dF e^{-(|F|/L^\omega)^\eta} e^{-k\beta F_L} \sim e^{c(k)L^\omega/(\eta-1)}. \]  

(B.2)

Since these moments of the partition function have to diverge exponentially in \( L \), the exponent \( \eta \) of the tail (B.1) is, in terms of the droplet exponent:

\[ \eta = \frac{1}{1 - \omega}. \]  

(B.3)

This argument can be extended to the free-energy distribution of other random systems. However, as recalled in the introduction, the distribution of the free energy over the samples is simply Gaussian with \( \eta = 2 \) and \( \omega = d/2 \) for spin models in any finite dimension \( d \). The distribution of the ground state energy is non-Gaussian for the mean-field Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model of spin glasses and we refer to [43] for a discussion of the corresponding tail exponent \( \eta \).
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